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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This research provides a profile of the social and economic well-being of rural Pennsylvania women through a detailed understanding of their lives, livelihoods, and families. It used data from the 2005-2009 and 2014-2018 American Community Survey (ACS).

## Key Findings

Unless specifically stated, the findings below pertain to trends and patterns in the 2014-2018 population.

- Pennsylvania had about 251,000 fewer rural residents in 2014-2018 than in 2005-2009, while the overall state population increased by about 275,000 people.
- The rural population was relatively older than the urban population in 2014-2018 and has been aging faster from 2005-2009.
- Rural populations were overwhelmingly white and non-Hispanic, although young and middle-aged rural women were more diverse in 2014-2018 than in 2005-2009.
- Older rural women tended to live alone more so than their younger rural peers. However, from 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, fewer older rural women lived alone. Rates of living alone were lower in rural versus urban locations.
- Overall, rural women were more likely to be married than urban women and rural and urban men. However, from 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, young and middle-aged rural women were less likely to be married.
- Rural women had less access to communication technologies than urban women. Access decreased with age among rural women.
- The most common level of educational attainment among rural women was high school; 42 percent of young rural women, 46 percent of middle-aged rural women, and 56 percent of older rural
women completed no more than high school. From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, completion of college increased across all age and population groups. Completed schooling was higher among urban women than rural women, and lower for rural men than rural women.
- Most young and middle-aged rural women participated in the labor force and were currently employed. Nevertheless, for all age groups, rural women had the lowest labor force participation rates compared to rural men and urban women and men.
- Four of the top 10 occupations held by rural and urban women were at high risk of being eliminated due to automation.
- Compared to rural men, the jobs held by rural women, on average, required more education, while rural women's jobs required less schooling than urban women and men.
- Among all age groups, rural women had the lowest mean earnings (personal wages and salaries) and the lowest mean family incomes.
- Poverty rates were higher for rural and urban women than for rural and urban men.

This research provides a profile of the social and economic well-being of rural Pennsylvania women through a detailed understanding of their lives and livelihoods within their communities and families. Using multiple years of data from the American Community Survey (ACS), the research describes the life circumstances of contemporary rural women (Ruggles, et al. 2020; StataCorp, 2019). Rural women, grouped into three broad age groups (young adults, aged 18-34 years; middle-aged adults, aged 35-64 years; and older adults, aged 65 years and older), were compared to their urban counterparts as well as to both rural men and urban men. Trends spanning about a decade, based on the 2014-2018 ACS and the 2005-2009 ACS, were analyzed to provide depth to the profiles of these women's lives. Many of the challenges faced by rural women resembled issues shared by rural men and urban women and men, while other concerns were unique to rural women.

Importantly, rural Pennsylvania is aging at a rapid pace compared to the rest of the state. As
depicted by the wider and narrower horizontal bands in the population pyramid for rural Pennsylvania (Figure A), the age and gender structure of the rural population includes relatively fewer young-middleaged adults (aged 25-34 years) compared to middle-middle-aged and older-middle-aged adults (aged $35-64$ years). The wide "bulge" for rural adults aged 50-64 years is the aging post-World War II "baby boomer" generation.

Pennsylvania's rural population has decreased in size, while the urban population and the state as a whole have experienced modest growth over the previous decade. It would be an oversimplification to say that rural Pennsylvania and its women have experienced "decline." Community and economic development have been uneven across Pennsylvania (Alter, Fuller, Raybuck \& Sontheimer, 2018), and rural women and rural men are being "left behind" (Breathitt, 1967) relative to their urban counterparts. Notably, rural women experienced gains in some areas, and often gains and losses were unevenly shared.


Data source: 2014-2018 American Community Survey.

Referred to as "the great equalizer," education and schooling provide people with basic literacy and communication skills, with specialized and advanced knowledge, and with experiences that enhance their human and social capital. Figure B depicts educational attainment by age group across the four populations under study. The educational attainment of rural women increased in the previous decade, as it has for Pennsylvanians as a whole. High school graduation remained the most common level of completed schooling for rural women. College completion increased for Pennsylvania women, and those gains were stronger for rural women than rural men.

Figure B.
Educational Attainment


For many adults, educational attainment is connected to their employability, work experience, and earnings. About two-thirds of rural women participated in the labor force, and in the previous decade labor force participation rates increased for older rural women. Participation rates were lowest for rural women compared to rural men and urban women and men (Figure C). While unemployment declined for young and middle-aged rural women, those groups also were less recently employed than others. Increasingly the jobs held by Pennsylvania women required college credentials. Many of the
most commonly held jobs among rural women are at risk of replacement due to automation or other economic restructuring. However, rural men face this threat of precarious employment more acutely.

More rural women work now than in the past, and their time "on the clock" increased. Relative to rural men and to urban women and men, though, rural women continued to work fewer hours overall. Trends and patterns in earnings were also mixed. Personal wages and salaries of working rural women increased over the previous decade, while, relatively speaking, they also earned the least.

## Figure C.



Rural jobs tend to pay less than urban ones, contributing to overall higher rates of poverty in rural places (Cromartie, 2018; Tickamyer, Sherman, \& Warlick, 2017; Mather \& Jarosz, 2014). Despite increased personal earnings and family incomes for rural women of all ages, poverty became more prevalent among middle-aged women (ages 35-64 years) in rural and urban populations. Relatedly, mean income-to-needs ratios were stagnant for middle-aged rural women and declined for urban women in their middle years. Older women had the lowest labor force participation by age group, and, as a group, they also had a lower poverty prevalence compared to 10 years prior. Older rural women
increasingly lived in families receiving support from government programs, (e.g., Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program and Social Security, etc.).

Figure D.


Source: Author's analysis of 2014-2018 American Community Survey, based on weighted descriptive statistics

These varied indicators are pressing given the high economic dependency of Pennsylvania's rural population. The ratio of people outside the labor force relative to workers is higher in rural areas, and the rural-urban gap is widening. If rural sectors continue to restructure, lose capital investments, and slacken in labor demand (Blinder, 2009; Frey \& Osborne, 2013), it will be crucial to monitor the social consequences of these economic shifts on rural communities and families.
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## Introduction

This research provides an extended profile of the social and economic well-being of rural Pennsylvania women. Using highly credible secondary data sources, the research describes the life circumstances of contemporary rural women. Rural Pennsylvania women, grouped into three broad age categories, are compared to their urban counterparts as well as to both rural men and urban men in the state. Trends spanning about a decade are depicted, and contextual measures and geographic considerations provide depth to the profiles of these women's lives.

## Background

As Pennsylvania's population growth has slowed in recent years (Census, 2019), community and economic development has been uneven across Pennsylvania (Alter, Fuller, Raybuck \& Sontheimer, 2018). Depending on the time period under investigation, rural locations have tended to experience population declines due to steady out-migration, waning in-migration, and slowed or declining natural increase (the balance between births and deaths). Some scholars point out that urban and suburban sprawl reduce the geographic areas that can be designated as rural (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, \& Medicine, 2016), which contributes to rural places being "left behind" more densely settled areas (Breathitt, 1967). While these dynamics are not new or particular to Pennsylvania, they likely have substantial consequences on the vibrancy and resilience of our rural communities (Mather \& Jarosz, 2014).

While women and rural residents-as groups-have tended to be stereotyped, undervalued, and overlooked (Jarosz \& Mather, 2017), the contributions of rural women to the Commonwealth are sizeable. For example, Pennsylvania women participate in the labor force and are employed at high rates, and, overall, they comprised about 45 percent of the state's employed labor force (Census, 2019a). Not only are un- and under-employment rates higher in rural places, but rural jobs also tend to pay less than urban jobs, contributing to overall higher rates of poverty in rural Pennsylvania (Cromartie, 2018; Tickamyer, Sherman, \& Warlick, 2017; Mather \& Jarosz, 2014). As economic
sectors continue to restructure and adapt to broader changes in capital, labor demands, and supply chains (Blinder, 2009; Frey \& Osborne, 2017), there is a need to track the social consequences of these shifts on communities and families.

This profile of rural Pennsylvania women draws on a life course framework (Giele \& Elder, 1998), which emphasizes four interlocking dimensions: 1) location in historical time and geographic place where personal experiences are socially and culturally patterned; 2) linked lives where individual experiences are inexorably connected within families and social networks; 3) human agency and motivation as shaped by resources and hardships; and 4) the timing of life events, which occur at the intersection of developmental age, birth cohort, and historical period. Thus rural-urban disparities among women and between women and men are understood by age group (young adults ages 18-34 years, middle-aged adults 35-64 years, and older adults 65 years and older) and historical time period (contemporary versus a decade prior).

The research also provides statistical profiles that align with policy needs. For example, the 2016-2020 State Plan on Aging articulates four overarching goals to improve the lives of aging Pennsylvanians, including empowering the formal and informal workforce that cares and supports the elderly, with respect to independent living (aging in place) and victimization (guarding against elder abuse). As women live longer than men (Jarosz \& Mather, 2017), they dominate the aged (65 years and older) and the oldest age group ( 85 years and older). Women also tend to occupy caregiver roles within extended families and provide remunerative care within the health and human/social services sectors (Duffy, Armenia, \& Stacey, 2015).

This report explores policy-relevant analyses so that policymakers are presented with potentially actionable findings. Special attention is drawn to topics where traditionally separate domains of life are intertwined. For example, family roles intersect such that experiences of others within one's family influence personal experiences and decision-making. Rural women with strained resources may have difficulty accessing desirable employment, due to family demands.

## Goals and Objectives

The primary goal of the research was to provide statistical profiles of rural Pennsylvania women disaggregated across three adult age groups (18-34 years, 35-64 years, and 65 years and older). A second goal compared rural women to urban women within the three broad age groups. The third goal extended to four population subgroups: rural women, rural men, urban women, and urban men to address gender differences-a rural gender difference and an urban gender difference. A fourth and final goal considered time trends. All analyses spanned 10 topical domains informing the research objectives: demographics, family, home and housing, communication resources, institutions, school, work, socio-economic status, movement, and needs. An $11^{\text {th }}$ domain, health, was included in all but the objectives for the fourth goal, due to data availability.

Goals One and Two were tied to the life course perspective's emphasis on geographic place (e.g., rural versus urban) where personal experiences are socially and culturally patterned and the timing of life events (e.g., at the intersection of developmental age, birth cohort, and historical time). Additionally, the life course framework (Giele \& Elder, 1998) focuses on linked lives, where experiences connect families and social networks. Together these forces shape personal well-being, enabling resources, and life challenges or hardships. Goal One examined life experiences in the context of age group. Goal Two informed the question whether and to what degree have rural women been "left behind" (or have caught up to or surpassed) their non-rural counterparts. Extending the social and cultural patterning of personal experience within a life course framework, Goal Three discerned gender differences among rural populations and whether gender experiences differ between rural and urban populations. Analyses over time (Goal Four) compared contemporary rural women (2014-2018) to rural women about 10 years prior (2005-2009) and investigated age group, rural-urban, and gender contrasts to consider whether these subgroups registered similar or divergent trends, as persistent or widening gaps may indicate areas for policy initiatives. The life course perspective-incorporating historical time period with developmental age group-strongly influenced the focus of the fourth goal.

Finally, efforts were made to illustrate results geographically beyond the broad rural versus urban classification.

## Methods

## Data

The research used public use microdata derived from the American Community Survey (ACS), which is conducted on a rolling basis by the U.S. Census Bureau. Each year, the ACS includes a 1 percent stratified random sample of national U.S. population. Only data for Pennsylvania were included in this research.

The main, contemporary data covered the period 2014-2018 and the older, comparative data included 2005-2009, approximately a decade apart. The researchers obtained the 5-year ACS data (each representing a 5 percent sample of the total population) from the Integrated Public Use Microdata Series (IPUMS-USA), distributed by the Minnesota Population Center at the University of Minnesota (Ruggles, et al. 2020). The IPUMS-USA versions of the data were harmonized so that minor changes in similar survey questions were bought into alignment and could be analyzed as the same variable, with the same name across different time periods or versions of the survey.

The ACS was representative of the total population, although for analytic purposes, this research only provides information for individuals dwelling in the community, including households (non-group quarters) and non-institutional group quarters (such as college or military dormitories, boarding schools, hotels, and rooming houses). Information on institutionalized individuals (in prisons, mental health institutions, long-term care and skilled nursing facilities, for example) were excluded from this research.

The samples analyzed for this report correspond to specific populations of interest:

1) Rural adult (age 18+ years) women, non-institutionalized.
2) Adult (age 18+ years) women, non-institutionalized.
3) Adults (age 18+ years), non-institutionalized.

The specific measures used to define these samples are described in "Population definition" section and in Appendix A.

## Measures

The measures and variables used in this research are described briefly below. More detailed descriptions are included in Appendix A.

Population definition. To construct a profile of rural women, rural location was determined by the smallest geographic unit available in the public use ACS data: the public use microdata area or PUMA. PUMA boundaries are drawn to contain at least 100,000 people. The 2014-2018 ACS uses PUMAs defined following the 2010 decennial Census. Pennsylvania included 92 PUMAs. Following the Center for Rural Pennsylvania's definition, a PUMA was defined as rural if the population per square mile within the PUMA was less than 284, or urban if the population per square mile was 284 or higher. Table A1 in the appendix lists the 30 PUMAs designated as rural and the 62 urban PUMAs, which were also mapped in Figure 1. Due to changes in settlement patterns, some of the PUMA boundaries shift from one decennial Census to another. The PUMAs listed in the 2005-2009 ACS (and mapped in Figure 2) were based on the population distribution measured in the 2000 Census. Although Pennsylvania included the same number of PUMAs (92) in the 2005-2009 ACS as in the 2014-2018 ACS, they were not the exact same PUMAs in the two time periods. Following the Center for Rural Pennsylvania's definition, 33 PUMAs were rural and 59 were urban in the 2005-2009 data (Table A1).

All individuals included in the ACS reported their gender as either male or female, and their age was measured in years (from 0 to 94 years, with top-coding at 95 and older). Samples were constructed for adults using ages 18 and older. For analytic purposes, adult ages were grouped as follows: 18 to 34 years; 35 to 64 years; and 65 years and older.

Appendix Table A2 provides information about each variable and measure, including the original source survey questions, used in this research.

Demographics. In addition to age and gender, racial group identification (five categories: white, Black, Native American, Asian American, or Other race/Multiple races) and Hispanic ethnicity were measured. Immigration status, capturing whether the person was an immigrant (including a naturalized citizen or not a citizen) or that the person was born in the United States, was measured.

Family. A large array of family measures was included in this research: family and household structure, including living alone, family size, family composition, and other living arrangements. Current marital status (recoded into five groups) was measured for both time periods. Marital histories were measured in detail over five variables in the 2014-2018 ACS, but not the 2005-2009 ACS.

Home and housing. Using its housing questionnaire answered by the primary respondent, the ACS collected a large variety of information about the housing unit, the property on which it sat, and the household itself. The type of housing (recoded into four types), housing tenure (analyzed over five categories), the property's farm status, and home size (two measures) were studied. Three measures of housing costs were included in this research to capture the regular costs of the housing unit. All dollar amounts were corrected to reflect the value of dollars from the last year of the survey. (For the 20142018 ACS, values are in 2018 dollars, and for the 2005-2009, values are in 2009 dollars. Postestimation, 2005-2009 dollar values were inflated by 17 percent, based on the annual Consumer Price Index (CPI) to reflect 2018 dollars). The method of heating for each home (recoded into six types) and the regular costs of utilities were measured as well.

Communication resources. In the 2014-2018 ACS, several questions administered at the household level collected information about communication and electronic resources available in the home. Three measures included: home phone and/or smartphone access; a count of the number of types of electronic devices; and internet access (recoded into four categories). In the 2005-2009 ACS, the only measure of communication was having or not having a home phone.

Institutions. Based on its housing sampling frame, the ACS collected information targeting the total population. For this research certain kinds of living arrangements-institutionalized group
quarters-were excluded. A variable indicator for non-institutionalized group quarters versus household (non-group quarters) was included. A measure of whether a person had some form of military service was included.

Schooling. Educational attainment (completed schooling) was assessed and recoded into four categories: less than high school; high school diploma or GED; some college (including an associate degree); or a bachelor's degree or higher (including post-baccalaureate degrees). Current school enrollment (within the past three months) was measured according to three levels of attendance.

Work. The ACS collected detailed information about past and present work experiences. Measures analyzed for this research include labor force participation, employment status, and work history and usual hours worked per week.

Socio-economic status (SES). Individuals with current employment or a work history were asked follow-up questions about their type of job, their main work responsibilities, and place of work, which in turn informed assignment to occupational codes (Census, 2021). Occupation codes from 2010 were used in this research for both the 2014-2018 and the 2005-2009 ACS. Occupational codes were sorted into their relative ranking into a socio-economic index (SEI) based on the typical educational credentials help by incumbents (Hauser and Warren, 1997). To capture one dimension of job precarity, the probability of occupations becoming automated was found using published values calculated (Frey and Osborne, 2013; Devaraj, Wornell, Faulk, and Hicks, 2020) based on extant data from the U.S. Department of Labor.

The ACS also collects information about the earnings and income of family and household members from a variety of sources. For this research, individual wage and salary earnings were analyzed. A family income variable was constructed across all types of earnings and a sum of all family members.

Movement. Household access to vehicles was measured (from 0 to 4, and top-coded at 5 or more). Workers' commuting time and mode of travel from home to the workplace were collected.

Needs. Measures of income, from individual earnings to family income, are subject to divisions of labor within the family and the number of "breadwinners" in the home. As a result, many social scientists adjust income in relation to the federal poverty thresholds, which were set based on the size and age composition of the family. For this research, the family-level, income-to-needs ratio was used to capture family income relative to the family's needs using poverty thresholds. A poverty status measure categorized families into three groups: "poor" families had ratios less than or equal to 100 percent; "near poor" families had ratios from 101 percent to 200 percent of the poverty threshold; and "not poor" families had ratios greater than 200 percent of the poverty threshold.

Based on family members' receipt of different sources of income, three indicators were constructed to capture participation in different types of public assistance programs in the prior 12 months: Social Security or Railroad income; Supplemental Security Income; and state or local assistance. Whether or not a household received benefits from the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) within the past 12 months was also studied here. Households were also categorized as being linguistically isolated if the home included no one who spoke only English and no one who spoke English "very well" along with another language at home.

Health. Measures related to health were only available in the more recent time period, the 2014-2018 ACS. Individuals were categorized as having health insurance or being uninsured. Six indicators of disability types were measured in the ACS and included in this research (vision difficulty, hearing difficulty, cognitive impairment, mobility impairment, self-care limitation, and restriction in independent living) along with a summary disability measure.

Figure 1. Rural Areas in Pennsylvania, 2014-2018, based on CRPA criteria.


PUMAs in 2014-2018 American Community Survey

Figure 2. Rural Areas in Pennsylvania, 2005-2009, based on CRPA criteria.


## Analyses

The ACS data were analyzed using the statistical software, Stata/SE 16.1 (StataCorp 2019a). A detailed description of the steps followed to generate the statistical estimates for this research is provided in the Analyses section of Appendix A. All estimates were weighted and design-adjusted.

The analyses were conducted following the four stated goals of the project: 1) to examine age group differences in the experiences and life circumstances of rural women; 2) to examine rural-urban differences in the experiences and life circumstances of women by age group; 3 ) to examine gender and rural-urban differences in the experiences and life circumstances of Pennsylvanians by age group; and 4) to examine time trends in the experiences and life circumstances of rural women.

To examine age group differences in the experiences and life circumstances of rural women (Goal One), each characteristic of interest was modeled as a function of age group: 18 to 34 year olds (or young); 35-64 year olds (or middle-aged); 64 year olds and older (or older). The analytic sample for this goal was restricted to non-institutionalized rural adult women (ages 18 and older). Rural-urban differences in the experiences and life circumstances of women by age group (Goal Two) for each characteristic of interest was modeled as a function of rural residential status, net of age group. The analytic sample for this goal was restricted to non-institutionalized adult women (ages 18 and older), including those in rural and urban locations. Gender and rural-urban differences in the experiences and life circumstances of Pennsylvania residents by age group (Goal Three) for each characteristic of interest was modeled as a function of gender, rural residential status, and a Gender by Rural interaction term, controlling age group. The analytic sample for this goal was restricted to non-institutionalized adults (ages 18 and older), including both women and men.

To simplify the presentation of results, a summary table capturing the results of 250 formal hypotheses across Goals One through Three was constructed (Appendix Table B3). Model-based predicted values were produced and presented along with their 95 percent confidence intervals in Appendix Table B4, based on the 2014-2018 ACS.

Time trends in the experiences and life circumstances of rural Pennsylvania women (Goal Four) were undertaken using the 2005-2009 ACS. Traditional demographic metrics were produced to compare rural and urban populations across the two time points. First, population pyramid graphs (Figure 7) were constructed to compare the age and gender structure of these populations in the current
period (using 2014-2018 ACS data) and the prior decade (based on 2005-2009 ACS). Next, seven types of summary measures of the age and/or gender compositions of the rural and urban populations were also calculated: gender ratios; overall or total dependency ratios; child dependency ratios; economic dependency ratios; aged dependency ratios; aging indexes; and caretaker ratios (Table 1). In Appendix Table B5, the top 10 most prevalent occupations held by rural and urban women and men were reported for 2014-2018 and 2005-2009. Lastly, parallel analyses to Goals One through Three were replicated using the 2005-2009 ACS. Model-based predicted values were produced and presented along with their 95 percent confidence intervals in Appendix Table B6.

## Results

Following the plan of analysis outlined above, the statistical findings are discussed in sequence below, with lengthy supporting tables included in Appendix B. Table B3 summarizes the results of statistical comparisons (based on 250 hypothesis tests) across Goals One, Two, and Three. The detailed table of findings for the 2014-2018 ACS (Table B4) includes estimates for all gender, rural status, and age group populations produced under Goal Three.

## Research Goals

The first goal was to detect age group differences among rural women in Pennsylvania using data from the contemporary period, 2014-2018 ACS. The three age groups compared in Goal One included: 18 to 34 year-olds, 35 to 64 year-olds, and women aged 65 years and older. In the panels of variables within Table B4, estimates across age group for rural women are within the top left region.

Keeping age groups in mind, the second goal compared rural women to urban women. Within the Table B4 panels, estimates for urban women across age groups appear on the left side immediately below the estimates for rural women. Goal Three added rural men and urban men to the contrasts. Estimates from rural and urban men are located within the panels of variables on the right side of Table B4. Approximately 1,400 estimates (either percentages or means) are listed along with their 95 percent
confidence intervals. The presentation of findings below focuses on Goal One (age group differences among rural women), with commentary on Goal Two (comparison to urban women) and Goal Three (comparison to men, especially rural men). Given the volume of results, only a limited selection of characteristics of interest, pertaining to education, employment, and poverty were identified for illustration in graphs. Characteristics with low variability among rural women or across the population groups were not good candidates for graphs.

Demographics. The racial and ethnic composition of rural women varied by age group, such that younger women (ages 18 to 34 years old) were more diverse than their older counterparts (Table B4, Panel A). In particular, the proportions of white, Black, Asian American, and multiple or other women's races varied by age group, as do the proportions of Hispanic and non-Hispanic women. No such age group differences were detected for the few rural Pennsylvanian women who identified as Native American (American Indian or Alaskan Native). The proportion of rural women who were immigrants to the United States differs between the youngest and the oldest age group.

Results indicate that urban women are less white and more Hispanic than rural women across all age groups. The racial and ethnic profiles of rural women versus rural men were similar, while the racial composition among urban populations differed by gender. Urban women were less white and more Black than urban men across all age groups. Across all age groups there were relatively fewer immigrants among rural populations than urban groups, and there were no gender differences in these patterns.

Family. Family and living arrangements differed by age group among rural women. Older women (ages 65 and older) were the most likely to live alone (Table B4, Panel B). Among women aged 35 to 64 years old and young women (aged 18 to 34 ) relatively fewer lived alone. Urban women lived alone more frequently than rural women. For all age groups there were rural-urban differences in living alone, but no gender differences were found.

Across age groups, there were differences in those who lived with minor children in the family,
as 39 percent of young women and 38 percent of middle-aged women, and only 4 percent of older women lived with any children (ages 0-17 years) in their family home. Family size decreased by age group among rural women, such that mean family size was 2.93 among young women, 2.84 among middle-aged women, and 1.93 among older women. Similarly, the mean number of minor children living in the family decreased by age group among rural women, from 0.70 for young women and 0.71 for middle-aged women, to only 0.09 for older women. The mean number of pre-school-aged children ( 0 to four years) living in the family home decreased by age group among rural women from 0.26 for young women, 0.10 for middle-aged women, and 0.01 for older women.

Compared to middle-aged rural women, middle-aged urban women lived in larger families (2.88 persons) with more children ( 0.74 minors). Among young rural adults, men lived with fewer children ( 0.64 minors), including fewer pre-school aged children ( 0.25 ages 0 to four years), than rural women ages 18 to 25 years old.

Most rural women lived with others, most commonly in married-couple households (50 percent of young women, 68 percent of middle-aged women, and 51 percent of older women. In terms of the number of generations living in the same home, 81 percent of older women, 44 percent of middle-aged women, and 33 percent of young women lived in single-generation homes. Among young women, twogeneration homes were most common (59 percent). Two-generation homes comprised half (51 percent) of middle-aged women's living arrangements and 15 percent of older women's arrangements. Threegeneration homes were least common for all age groups of rural women, representing 8 percent of young women, 6 percent of middle-aged women, and 5 percent of older women.

Three-generation homes were more prevalent among urban women in all age groups compared to rural women. For all age groups, single-generation homes were more prevalent among rural women than urban women. Compared to rural women, across all age groups a higher proportion of rural men lived in single-generation homes and a lower proportion of them lived in three-generation homes.

Among rural women, marital status differed by age group. The proportions of women currently
married (living with their spouses) was highest among those aged 35 to 64 years old, followed by older women (aged 65 years and older), with the lowest proportion among young women. A similar age group pattern registered among divorced women and women who were either separated or currently married but living apart from their spouses. Levels of widowhood increased across age groups, and proportions of those who were never married decreased over the three age groups. The most common martial statuses for 18- to 34 -year-old women was never married ( 63 percent), while 35 - to 64 -year-old women were most commonly currently married and living with their spouses ( 65 percent) Older women (aged 65 and older) tended to be currently married and living with their spouses ( 49 percent) or widowed ( 35 percent).

The number of times rural women were married varied by age group as well. The majority of younger women (aged 18 to 34 years) were never married ( 63 percent), while the majority of $35-$ to $64-$ year old women and older women (aged 65 and older) had been married only one time, 66 and 69 percent, respectively. About one-fifth of 35 - to 64 -year-old women (19 percent) and of older women (aged 65 and older, 21 percent) were married twice. On average, young married women were married for 6.2 years. On average, middle-aged women were married 22.6 years, and older women were married 44.8 years.

Nearly 4 percent of young women were married within the past 12 months, while only 1 percent of women 35 to 64 years were married in that time frame, and almost no older women had been recently married. Recent divorce (within the past 12 months) was rare among rural women. About 3 percent of older women (aged 65 and older) were widowed within the past 12 months.

Marriage patterns differed between rural and urban women. For all age groups, urban women had lower percentages of those married and living with their spouse: 22 percent among young urban women, 59 percent among middle-aged urban women, and 46 percent among older urban women. For all age groups, urban women were more likely to have never been married. For most age groups, urban women experienced fewer marriages overall than rural women. Among young women, urban women
were less likely to be married once or twice than their rural counterparts. Middle-aged urban women had lower percentages of being married twice and being married three or more times. Older urban women also had lower percentages of being married twice and being married three or more times than their rural peers. A higher proportion of older urban women had been married once compared to older rural women. Among currently married women, the mean length of marriage was shorter for urban women compared to rural women of all age groups.

Additionally, marriage patterns differed by gender. Comparing rural men to rural women, marital status differed by age group. For all age groups, rural men had higher rates of having never been married than rural women. Young rural men had lower levels of being married (living with their spouses), divorced, and widowed than young rural women. While divorce and widowhood were less common among middle-aged rural men than middle-aged rural women, middle-aged rural men had a higher percentage of being married (living with their spouse) compared to similarly aged rural women. Among the oldest group of rural residents, a higher proportion of men were divorced or married than women. The percent widowed was lower for older rural men than older rural women. For all but the older age group, rural men had lower percentages of being married once or twice than similarly aged rural women. For all age groups, rural men had shorter current marriages than rural women. A lower percentage of older rural men were widowed in the past 12 months than were older rural women.

Only 1 percent of rural women aged 65 and older and about 2 percent of middle-aged women ( 35 to 64 years) were responsible for raising a minor grandchild in their own home. These percentages were similar for urban women and somewhat lower for rural men compared to rural women.

Home and housing. Most rural women lived in a single-family detached house: 59 percent of young women, 79 percent of middle-aged women, and 77 percent of older women did so (Table B4). Among young women, 12 percent lived in an attached row or two-family house, another 12 percent lived in multi-unit buildings. Respectively among middle-aged women, about 9 percent lived in an attached row or two-family house and 6 percent lived in multi-unit buildings. Eight percent of older
women lived in an attached row or two-family house, and 9 percent lived in multi-unit buildings.
The patterns in housing type differed between urban women and rural men compared to rural women. Across all age groups, fewer urban women lived in detached, single-family homes and more lived in attached row or two-family houses and in multi-unit buildings. The opposite pattern held for rural men relative to rural women.

More than half of older women ( 58 percent) lived in a home that was owned free and clear, while only 27 percent of middle-aged women and 16 percent of young women did so. Among older women, 21 percent lived in a home owned with a mortgage or loan and 4 percent lived in a home owned with a mortgage or loan and second mortgage or home equity loan. The equivalent ownership rates of those with mortgages, respectively, were 43 percent and 10 percent among middle-aged women, and 38 percent and 6 percent of young women. For rental housing, two-fifths of young women lived in rentals ( 38 percent paying rent and 2 percent via non-cash rent). Similar figures for middleaged women were 18 percent in homes paying rent and 2 percent non-cash rent. Among older women, 14 percent lived in rentals and paid cash rent and 3 percent paid non-cash rent.

There were urban-rural differences in housing tenure patterns among women. For all age groups proportionately more urban women paid rent for their homes than rural women, and fewer urban women lived in owner-occupied homes that were owned free and clear compared to rural women. The housing tenure patterns also differed between rural women and rural men, such that rural men in all age groups had lower percentages paying rent and higher percentages owning their home free and clear compared to rural women.

There were no age group differences among rural women who lived on a farm compared to those who did not. About 1 percent of rural women, regardless of age group, lived on a farm. Home sizes differed by age group among rural women, whether measured by the total number of rooms or the number of bedrooms in the home. On average, young women lived in homes with 6.2 rooms and 2.9 bedrooms. Middle-aged women lived in homes with 6.8 rooms and 3.1 bedrooms. Older women lived
in the smallest homes, on average 6.4 rooms and 2.9 bedrooms.
Fewer urban residents lived on farms than rural women, and there were no differences in farm residence between rural women and rural men. The only differences in home size were between older urban men and women, based on the number of rooms in the home.

By age group among rural women, the estimated sale value of the home differed. The mean value of the home (to the nearest $\$ 100$ ) was higher for middle-aged women $(\$ 187,200)$ than for either young women or older women (\$161,300 and \$169,500, respectively). In 2018 dollars, the monthly costs of owner-occupied homes differed among rural women. Young women lived in owner-occupied homes that cost $\$ 1,165$ per month. Statistics were similar for middle-aged women who paid \$1,202 and for older women, who paid $\$ 711$. Monthly rents differed by age group, where younger women lived in more expensive rentals (\$732 per month) versus middle-aged and older women who spent on average $\$ 628$ and $\$ 667$ per month, respectively, for their rental homes.

The methods rural women used for heating their homes differed by age group and by some heating types. Among all age groups, about 39 percent heated their homes with gas delivered through buried service pipes and 6 percent with bottled, tank, or LP gas. About one-quarter ( 26 percent) of young women, 21 percent of middle-aged women, and 22 percent of older women lived in homes heated by electricity. Nineteen percent of young women, 22 percent of middle-aged women, and 26 percent of older women lived in homes heated with other fuels (e.g., oil, kerosene, etc.). Relatively more young and middle-aged women compared to older women lived in homes heated by other combustibles, including wood, coal, or coke. Annual home heating fuel costs (in 2018 dollars) were higher for older women $(\$ 1,420)$ than for either younger or middle-aged women $(\$ 1,251$ and $\$ 1,282$, respectively, and statistically the same).

The percentages of those living in homes heated by gas delivered through buried service pipes were substantially higher for urban (versus rural) women regardless of age group, while nearly all other heating methods were lower for urban women than for rural women. For all age groups,
proportionately more rural men lived in homes heated by other combustibles, including wood, coal, or coke compared to rural women.

The mean annual electric bill was lower for older women $(\$ 1,640)$ than for either younger or middle-aged women $(\$ 1,833$ and $\$ 1,947$, respectively). This pattern held for the annual mean cost of gas utilities. When it came to annual water and sewer costs, older rural women had a lower mean than either young or middle-aged women.

For most utilities, urban women faced higher mean costs than rural women. The main exception was that for all age groups, annual water and sewer costs were the same, and young urban women had the same electricity costs as young rural women.

Communication resources. In 2014-2018, there were age group differences in those with access to home phones and smartphones (Table B4). The majority of young rural women and middleaged rural women had access to both ( 85 percent and 76 percent, respectively). Only 41 percent of older women had access to both in their homes, while 58 percent had only a home phone, and less than 1 percent had only a smartphone. Among middle-aged women, 22 percent had only a home phone and just 1 percent had only a smartphone. For young women, 13 percent had only a home phone and 1 percent had only a smartphone. Very few rural women had no phone access (1 percent across all age groups).

Regarding the different types of electronic and/or computing devices in the home, 58 percent of young women, 54 percent of middle-aged women, and 27 percent of older women had access to three or more types of electronic devices in their homes. Among young women, 27 percent had access to two types of devices, 11 percent had access to one type of device, and 5 percent had access to none. The rates among middle-aged women were 24 percent with access to two types of devices, 15 percent with access to one type of device, and 8 percent with no access. For older women, 18 percent had access to two device types, 26 percent had access to one device type, and 29 percent had no access whatsoever in the home.

Internet access differed by age group among rural women. Most women within each age group had access to high-speed internet at home, with 69 percent of young women, 74 percent of middle-aged women, and 55 percent of older women living in rural homes with access to high-speed internet. However, 8 percent of young women, 11 percent of middle-aged women, and 31 percent of older women lived in rural homes with no internet access at all.

Patterns in communication resources between rural women and urban women were consistent across age groups and resource types. More urban women had access to both a traditional home phone and a smartphone, three or more types of electronic devices, and high-speed internet access at home, compared to rural women. No gender differences were found between rural women and rural men in communication resources.

Institutions. Among community-dwelling rural women, the majority lived in households.
Among young women, 8 percent lived in non-institutionalized group quarters (Table B4). Comparable figures for middle-aged and older women were less than 1 percent. More rural women lived in group quarters compared to urban women, and this rural-urban difference persisted among men.

Roughly 1 percent of rural women of any age group had been involved in military service, either current or past duty or training with the Reserves or National Guard. Due to low frequencies of past or current military service, reported estimates were not disaggregated by age group among women. Unsurprisingly, both rural and urban men had sizeable levels of past or present military service duties.

Schooling. Educational experiences differed among rural women by age group (Table B4, Panel F). Educational attainment (completed schooling) differed substantially by age group. Across all age groups, the most common level of completed schooling was high school: 42 percent of young women (aged 18 to 34 years), 46 percent of women 35 to 64 years old, and 56 percent of older women (aged 65 and older) had no more education beyond a high school diploma or G.E.D. Around two-fifths of young women (22 percent) and one-quarter of middle-aged women ( 25 percent) had completed a bachelor's degree or higher, while 18 percent of older women had completed this level of schooling.

Compared to rural women, urban women had higher levels of completed schooling. Within each age group, higher percentages of urban women had earned a bachelor's degree or higher, and lower percentages completed no more education beyond a high school diploma. Among young and middleaged urban women, relatively fewer had only completed some college compared to their rural peers. Gender patterns in educational attainment among rural residents were found, such that rural men had lower levels of completed schooling compared to rural women. For all age groups, more rural men completed no more than some high school or no more education beyond a high school diploma (or G.E.D.) than rural women. Relatedly, rural men had lower percentages of completing some college and of obtaining a bachelor's degree or higher than rural women (See Figure 3).

Current school enrollment was most common among younger rural women, with 30 percent enrolled in some form of schooling within the past three months (Table B4, Panel F). Only 2 percent of women aged 35 to 64 years old were similarly enrolled, and even fewer (less than 1 percent) of older women were. Among currently enrolled rural women, most were enrolled in some form of public educational institution (not shown). Young urban women were more likely to be enrolled in any schooling and more likely to be enrolled in graduate or professional school than young rural women. By contrast, young rural men were less likely to be enrolled in any schooling, college, or graduate or professional school compared to young rural women.

Figure 3. Educational Attainment across Populations
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Source: Author's analysis of 2014-2018 American Community Survey, based on weighted descriptive statistics

Figure 4. Employment and Labor Force Participation across Populations
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[^0]Work. There were many age group differences in employment among rural women. Similar proportions-over two-thirds-of young women (18 to 34 years old, or 69 percent) and middle-aged women ( 35 to 64 years old, or 71 percent) were currently employed at rural locations in 2014-2018, while only 13 percent of older rural women (age 65 and older) were currently employed (Table B4). The majority ( 87 percent) of older women (age 65 and older) were not in the labor force at all. By contrast, 29 percent of both young and middle-aged women were out of the labor force. About 5 percent of women aged 18 to 34 years and 3 percent of women 34 to 64 years old were unemployed.

Comparing labor force and employment status patterns between rural and urban women was instructive. For all age groups, urban women had higher labor force participation rates than rural women. Among women in the labor force, rural and urban women had similar levels of employment and unemployment. Rural men had higher labor force participation rates and higher rates of unemployment than rural women. Patterns in employment and labor force participation are illustrated in Figure 4.

Figure 5 depicts the labor force participation curve for more detailed (five-year) age groups across the four populations: urban men, rural men, urban women, and rural women (from highest to lowest participation rates from most ages). Notably, urban-rural differences were narrower among women than among men.

About four-fifths of young rural women (77 percent) worked within the prior year, and 73 percent of middle-aged women worked in that time frame (Table B4). Only about 16 percent of older rural women worked within the prior year. An additional 7, 9, and 13 percent of young, middle-aged, and older women, respectively, worked within the previous five years, but not the prior year. The majority (71 percent) of older women had no work experience within the past five years, while the comparable rates for middle-aged women was 19 percent, and 15 percent for young women. Among currently employed rural women and those employed within the prior year, some worked for wages or salary at a job and others were self-employed. Self-employment as a main current or usual job differed
by age group, with 2 percent of young women, 7 percent of middle-aged women, and 11 percent of older women self-employed.

The work history patterns between urban and rural women indicated that urban women had more recent employment than rural women. A similar and more stark contrast was observed in the higher recent employment of rural men compared to rural women.

Among currently employed rural women and those employed within the prior year, the usual hours spent at work per week differed by age group. Young rural women worked an average of 32.8 hours per week. The mean time worked per week for middle-aged women was 37.1 hours, and for older women was 26.9 hours per week.

Time spent at work differed across population groups. Within each age group, the average time spent at work per week was lowest for rural women, with slightly higher means for age-matched urban women. By contrast, rural and urban men had a similarly higher mean for time spent working weekly. Regarding the type of work people do for a living, the most held occupations among rural women and the other population groups in Pennsylvania are listed in Table B5. Rural and urban women share eight of the top 10 most frequently held occupations in common, and for both groups the top 10 occupations comprised 22 percent of jobs. By contrast, rural men and rural women shared only two of the top 10 most frequently held occupations: retail salesperson and their immediate supervisors. The probability that job tasks for each occupation will become automated was derived from Frey and Osborne (2013). Probabilities closer to 1.0 indicated that jobs within the occupation were more likely to be replaced by technological innovations, such as computerization. The weighted probability of automation across the top 10 occupations held by rural women was 0.545 . Comparable probabilities were lower for urban women ( 0.521 ), and higher for rural men ( 0.725 ) and urban men ( 0.557 ).

Figure 5. Labor Force Participation by Age and across Populations


Source: Author's analysis of 2014-2018 American Community Survey, based on weighted descriptive statistics

Socio-economic status (SES). In terms of the relative status ranking of occupations, based on the Hauser-Warren socioeconomic index (SEI) score, there were mean differences across age groups among rural women (Table B4). Middle-aged women held the highest-ranking jobs with a mean 37.1 SEI score. Older women had a mean SEI score of 36.1, and young women had a mean SEI of 33.4. Within each age group, urban women had higher SEI means than rural women. The population with the highest mean SEI was urban men, while rural men had the lowest mean SEI within each age group.

The mean wages and salaries (personal income to the nearest $\$ 100$ ) earned by rural women differed by age group, such that middle-aged-women had the highest mean earnings (around $\$ 44,100$ ), while young women and older women had much lower earnings. The pattern was somewhat different among rural women for the total family income measure. Women aged 35 to 64 years old lived in families with the highest mean family incomes $(\$ 87,300)$, followed by young women $(\$ 65,400)$. Older women had the lowest mean family incomes $(\$ 55,100)$.

For all age groups, urban women had higher mean personal earnings and higher family incomes
than rural women. Rural men had higher mean personal income from wages and salaries than rural women in all age groups, and rural men's mean family incomes were also higher.

Movement. There were age group differences in the number of vehicles accessible to household members (Table B4). Seven percent of young rural women, 5 percent of middle-aged rural women, and 9 percent of older rural women had no access to vehicles at home. More than two-thirds of young ( 70 percent) and middle-aged women ( 74 percent) had two or more vehicles in the household, while a narrower majority of older women ( 56 percent) had access to more than one vehicle.

Urban women had lower percentages of vehicle access at home and lower percentages of access to more than one vehicle compared to rural women across all age groups. Proportionately more rural men had access to two or more vehicles within the household than rural women.

Although there were some age group differences among rural women in the mode of transportation used to commute to work, most women of all age groups (ranging from 79 to 84 percent) traveled to work alone in a private vehicle. Carpooling or reliance on a taxi was the next most common way working rural women commuted to work, with the percent decreasing by age group: 11 percent of young women, 7 percent of middle-aged women, and 6 percent of older women shared rides or were driven to work by someone else. Six percent of young rural women either walked or bicycled to work, while 2 and 3 percent of middle-aged and older women, respectively, traveled that way from home to work. Working from home increased by age group: 3 percent of young rural women, 5 percent of middle-aged rural women, and 8 percent of older rural women worked from home.

Age group also mattered in terms of the mean travel time (in one direction) from home to work among rural women. Although the time differences were narrow, travel times were longest among women aged 35 to 64 years old ( 24 minutes), followed by young women ( 22 minutes). Older women (age 65 and older) had the shortest travel times from home to work ( 20 minutes).

Within all three age groups, urban women used public transportation, walked, or rode a bike to work more than rural women. Relatedly, urban women drove to work alone in a private vehicle less than rural women. Young and middle-age urban women had longer mean travel times for getting to work than their rural peers.

Young and middle-aged rural men drove to work in a private vehicle with others more often than similarly aged rural women. Proportionately fewer rural men of all ages worked from home (or had no commute) compared to rural women. Across all age groups, rural men had longer mean travel times to work compared to rural women.

Needs. Poverty status differed by age group (Table B4). About one-fifth (19 percent) of young women were a part of families designated as "poor," 20 percent were part of "near poor" families, and 61 percent were in "non-poor" families. Among middle-aged rural women, 12 percent lived in economically poor families, 15 percent lived in near-poor families, and 73 percent lived in non-poor families. Among older women, 9 percent lived in poor families, 25 percent lived in near-poor families, and 66 percent lived in non-poor families.

The mean family income-to-needs ratio differed by age group, too. On average, young rural women lived in families with incomes 270 percent above poverty thresholds. Middle-aged rural women, on average, lived in families with incomes 324 percent above poverty thresholds, and older women, on average, lived in families with incomes 290 percent above the poverty threshold.

Similar proportions of urban women lived in families designated as "poor" to rural women across all age groups. However, urban women were more affluent in that, among all age groups, proportionately more were "not poor" and had higher mean income-to-needs ratios than rural women. Rural men were also comparatively more affluent, with higher income-to-needs ratios than rural women, and, for all age groups, proportionately fewer rural men were categorized as "poor" or "near poor." Figure 6 illustrates poverty status across the 2014-2018 population groups.

Receipt of benefits from a variety of public assistance programs differed among rural women by age group. Family receipt of benefits from a state and/or local assistance program decreased over women's age groups, as 4 percent of young women, 3 percent of middle-aged women, and 2 percent of older women lived in families with someone receiving public assistance. There were also age group differences in families' receipt of Supplemental Security Income (SSI) among rural women. Seven percent of rural women aged 35 to 64 years old lived in families who received SSI, while only 5 percent of young and 6 percent of older women had family members (including themselves) who received SSI.

While nearly all (93 percent) older women (aged 65 and older) lived in families that received Social Security or Railroad income within the past months, only 24 percent of women aged 35 to 64 years old, and 12 percent of young women (18 to 34 years) received similar support within their families.

Figure 6. Poverty Status across Populations
Poverty Status


[^1]Age group differences also registered regarding receipt of benefits from the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). Among rural women, 18 percent of young women, 13 percent of middle-aged women and 9 percent of older women lived in households receiving this benefit within the previous 12 months.

Compared to similarly aged rural women, more urban women received means-tested program benefits in the form of state and/or local assistance, SSI, and SNAP. Within each age group, urban women had lower receipt of Social Security or Railroad income. Receipt of state and/or local assistance, SNAP, and Social Security or Railroad income was lower among rural men than rural women within each age group.

Linguistic isolation was rare for rural women as less than 1 percent of rural women of any age experienced isolation. Among urban populations, linguistic isolation was more prevalent.

Health. Though most rural women in all age groups had health insurance, there were age group differences among those who were or were not insured (Table B4). Overall, 9 percent of young women, 6 percent of middle-aged women, and less than 1 percent of older women were uninsured in 20142018.

Patterns in having health insurance did not differ between rural and urban women. There were gender differences in being insured among rural residents. For young and middle-aged adults, rural men had higher levels of being uninsured than rural women.

For the six measures of disability listed in the 2014-2018 ACS, age group differences among rural women were found for all indicators and all were found to increase by age group. Among older and middle-aged women, the most common disability type was mobility impairment, which was experienced by 23 percent and 9 percent, respectively. Restrictions in independent living were experienced by 16 percent of older rural women, while 12 percent of older women had difficulty hearing. Overall, 8 percent of young women, 15 percent of middle-aged women, and 34 percent of older women experienced any of one or more of the six types of disability measured.

While young urban women experienced similar disability patterns as young rural women, some differences emerged between the two groups at middle and older ages. Urban women in these two age groups had lower levels of hearing difficulties and overall disability status compared to their rural peers. Notable gender differences in disability were apparent among rural residents. For all three age groups, rural men had twice as high a level of hearing difficulty compared to rural women. Relative to rural women across all age groups, rural men had lower levels of mobility impairment and restrictions in independent living. Self-care limitations were lower for older rural men as well. Middle-aged and older rural men had lower levels of not having a disability than similarly aged rural women.

## Time Trends

The final research goal considered whether rural Pennsylvania women experienced change over time compared to the previously described profile. This was accomplished by replicating the analyses from Goals One through Three using data from the 2005-2009 ACS. As before, the research compared rural and urban women and men, net of the three adult age groups: 18 - to 34 -year-olds, 35 - to 64 -yearolds, and adults aged 65 years and older.

Population change. Population pyramids illustrate the age and gender structure of a population and were used as indications of a population's expected stability and change. Separate population pyramids were constructed for the 2014-2018 rural population, the 2014-2018 urban population, the 2005-2009 rural population, and the 2005-2009 urban population (Figure 7). While Pennsylvania's overall population size increased by 274,585 from 12,516,596 people in 2005-2009 to 12,791,181 people in 2014-2018, both the absolute number and proportions of rural people decreased over time. The rural population of $4,392,519$ people, representing 35 percent of the state in 2005-2009 declined by 250,688 to $4,141,831$ people in 2014-2018, representing 32 percent of Pennsylvania.

Figure 7. Rural and Urban Pennsylvania Population, 2014-2018 and 2005-2009


While the 2014-2018 population structures were roughly similar in rural and urban areas, youth (at the youngest ages, 0 to 14 years) comprised smaller proportions of the rural population compared to urban residents. Similarly, there were proportionately fewer young-middle-aged adults (aged 25 to 34 years) in rural areas compared to middle-middle-aged and older-middle-aged adults (aged 35 to 64 years). The apparent "bulge" in both rural and urban populations for 50 to 64 year old adults in 20142018 illustrates the tail end of the aging post-World War II "baby boomer" generation. The same bulge of baby boomers was seen during the earlier time period, 2005-2009, among those 40 to 54 years old.

Among rural residents in 2014-2018, men and women aged 55 to 59 years old comprised the largest groups, while in the same time period among urban residents, younger men aged 25 to 29 years old outnumbered men aged 55 to 59 years old and younger women aged 25 to 29 years old nearly tied with women aged 55 to 59 years old. Corresponding to extant projections on the rural U.S. population, from the population pyramid graphs, it appears that the rural Pennsylvania population has been aging more rapidly and may continue to shrink in overall size based on its current size and structure.

Summary measures based on the population composition provide additional metrics beyond the illustrations in population pyramids (Table 1). All the calculated gender ratios increased from a decade ago (2005-2009) to the current period (2014-2018), indicating that the populations became less female over time. The pattern of relatively higher (more male) gender ratios among rural populations compared to urban populations was consistent across the two time periods.

Dependency ratios, which summarize the age structure of populations, were calculated for the rural and urban populations in 2014-2018 and 2005-2009. The total dependency, capturing the number of children and aged persons per 100 working age adults, of the 2014-2018 rural population was slightly higher than among the urban population. By contrast in 2005-2009, there was little urban-rural difference in this measure. The aged dependency ratios (of older adults to working age adults) for rural and urban populations displayed similar patterns: a widening gap between rural and urban adults from 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, along with an increase in aged dependency in rural and urban areas overtime. By contrast, the pattern among the child dependency ratios showed modest declines overtime and very slight, but sustained, rural-urban contrasts. The aging index provided additional evidence of the stronger aging population in rural Pennsylvania. The number of older adults ( 65 years and older) per 100 children (aged 0 to 14 years) increased over the previous decade and showed a steeper increase among rural Pennsylvania residents.

Caretaker ratios, based on the number of adults aged 80 and older per 100 women aged 50 to 64 years (approximately a generation younger), declined from 2005-2009 to 2014-2018 for both rural and
urban groups, mainly due to the aging baby boomer generation in the younger age group.
Economic dependency ratios, which provided the number of people not in the labor force (of all ages) per 100 people in the labor force, were calculated for the rural and urban populations in both periods. Based on these metrics, economic dependency was higher in rural areas than urban areas, and this gap increased over time. The largest economic dependency ratio (65.30 people not in the labor force per 100 in the labor force) was among rural populations in 2014-2018.

## Table 1. Summary Measures of Population Composition.

|  | Age Groups | 2014-2018 |  | 2005-2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural | Urban | Rural | Urban |
| Gender ratios | all ages | 99.88 | 94.12 | 97.42 | 92.93 |
|  | 20-34 | 110.91 | 100.04 | 108.88 | 98.84 |
|  | 35-64 | 101.95 | 94.68 | 100.49 | 94.15 |
|  | $65+$ | 81.23 | 74.22 | 72.05 | 67.21 |
|  | 75+ | 67.78 | 62.70 | 59.52 | 56.84 |
| Dependency ratios |  | 2014-2018 |  | 2005-2009 |  |
|  |  | Rural | Urban | Rural | Urban |
|  | Total dependency | 54.88 | 52.13 | 50.71 | 50.34 |
|  | Child dependency | 25.01 | 26.97 | 26.17 | 28.22 |
|  | Aged dependency | 29.87 | 25.16 | 24.54 | 22.12 |
|  | Aging index | 119.42 | 93.32 | 93.79 | 78.41 |
|  | Caretaker ratio | 45.27 | 43.11 | 48.40 | 49.33 |
|  | Economic dependency | 65.30 | 53.34 | 60.02 | 52.71 |

```
Notes:
    Gender ratio = males / females * 100
    Total dependency ratio = (0-14 + 65 and older) / (15-64) * 100
    Child dependency ratio =(0-14) / (15-64) * 100
    Aged dependency ratio = (65 and older) / (15-64)* 100
    Aging index = (65 and older) / (0-14) * 100
    Caretaker ratio = (80 and older) / (females 50-64)* 100
    Economic dependency ratio = (not in the labor force) / (in the labor force) * 100
```

Rural women over time. Beyond the structural population changes in Pennsylvania over the past decade, the composition and characteristics of rural women shifted as well. In keeping with the estimates provided for the population groups for the contemporary period (2014-2018), Table B6 depicts parallel estimates for rural women by age group, and their peers (urban women, rural men, and urban men) for the prior period, 2005-2009.

Demographics. Young and middle-aged rural women became somewhat more diverse in terms of racial group, Hispanic ethnicity, and immigration status (Table B6, Panel A). This trend was more pronounced among urban women.

Family. Family size rose from a mean of 1.87 to 1.93 among older rural women, while among young rural women the mean number of children (both minors and pre-schoolers) declined among young rural women only (Table B6, Panel B). Somewhat fewer older rural women lived alone. Among all age groups the percent of rural women living in three generation families increased.

Marital status patterns changed from 2005-2009 to 2014-2018. Young and middle-aged rural women were less likely to experience marriage (and any of its exits). The trend for older rural women was to be proportionately more married (and living with their spouse), divorced, and widowed. These family and living arrangements reflect broad changes in well-being, with longer and healthier life spans.

Home and housing. The distribution of housing types was relatively stable over time. Living in multi-unit buildings became more prevalent among middle-aged rural women (Table B6). Among older rural women, more lived in detached, single-family homes than they had previously. Both age groups were less commonly living in "other" types of housing. Consistent with broader housing trends, for rural women of all age groups, home sizes increased based on the mean number of rooms and bedrooms.

Compared to 2005-2009, by 2014-2018, across all age groups, more rural women lived in a home that was owned free and clear or in a rental home. Relatedly, fewer resided in homes with second mortgages. The mean reported sale value of homes (in constant 2018 dollars) declined for rural women of all ages, as it did among rural men. This pattern diverged among urban populations, where there were declines in the mean value of homes only among young and middled-aged urban women and men. Older urban women had no change in mean home values, while the mean values of the homes of older urban men increased over the time period.

The pattern of change in the monthly costs of owner-occupied homes was consistent across rural and urban populations, where mean costs decreased among 18- to 34-year-old women and men and 35- to 64-year-old women and men. By contrast, the mean monthly cost of owner-occupied homes rose from 2005-2009 to 2014-2018 for older adults. Monthly rents increased across all age groups, and genders among both rural and urban populations. Taken together, the dynamics of housing affordability appeared to change over time. Utility costs changed over time as well. Average home heating costs fell over time (in constant dollars), as did gas bills. By contrast, all groups faced higher electricity bills and higher water and sewer utility costs.

Communication resources. Only home telephone service was assessed in the earlier time period, 2005-2009. The estimates provided in Table B6, Panel D should not be directly compared to more detailed statistics from 2014-2018.

Institutions. The percent living in non-institutional group quarters from among all those dwelling in the general community were unchanged over time (Table B6, Panel E). While past and current military service remained constant for rural and urban women, the combined prevalence declined steeply among rural and urban men for all age groups.

Schooling. In 2005-2009 the overall pattern of educational attainment was similar to 2014-2018. In both periods a high school diploma (or G.E.D.) was the most common level of completed school among rural and urban women in all three age groups (Table B6). Nevertheless, over time, the relative proportions of women completing college with a bachelor's degree or more increased across all age and population sub-groups, with higher levels of schooling persisting among urban women compared to their rural peers. Current or recent enrollment, however, tended to be low and declining over time.

Work. Along with other aspects of adult life, there were notable trends in work (Table B6, Panel G). Among young and middle-aged rural women, labor force participation was stable, while levels of participation increased among rural women aged 65 years and older. Unemployment declined for rural women who were 18 to 34 years old or 35 to 64 years old. By contrast, labor force participation rates
increased from 2005-2009 to 2014-2018 among urban women of all ages. For recent work histories, trends emerged, especially among older women. Work within the past year and within the past five years became more common for both rural and urban older women. When it came to the usual hours spent at work per week, mean time spent at work increased for middle-aged and older rural women, but not young rural women. Among all age groups, urban women worked more hours per week. By contrast, the pattern of men's time invested at worked differed by age group. Young working and middle-aged rural and urban men who worked all experienced declines in the time spent on the job each week. Older rural and urban men increased their mean time spent at work.

The types of jobs held by rural women shifted somewhat as is clearly shown by the top 10 occupations they held in 2005-2009 (Table B5). In 2005-2009 the top two occupations held by rural women (secretaries/administrative assistants and cashiers) had high probabilities of shifting to automation, and, despite remaining in the top and third positions, respectively, both declined in prevalence by 2014-2018. The only occupation among the top 10 to increase in prevalence was the registered nurse. Trend patterns for urban women were roughly similar to rural women, as the two groups shared nine of the top 10 occupations in common. For urban women the percent working as either registered nurses or customer service representatives increased, while all the other top 10 types of jobs declined or were unchanged. The percentages in the top 10 occupations of rural and urban men declined or stagnated for all job types except managers and, for urban men only, chefs and cooks.

Looking at the probabilities of the top occupations being replaced through automation, the weighted averages declined for rural women, urban women, and urban men. This potentially indicates the force of automation from 2005-2009 to 2014-2018. By contrast the weighted mean probability of automation increased for rural men, indicating more precarious employment in the future for this group.

Socio-economic status. Total family income (in constant 2018 dollars) increased for nearly all age and population sub-groups, except young urban men, from 2005-2009 to 2014-2018 (Table B6,

Panel H). When it came to personal income from wages and salaries, all but young men experienced increases. Young rural and urban men had the same mean personal income. Mean family income dropped among young urban men. For occupational education, mean scores on the Hauser-Warren SEI (1997) increased for rural women of all ages and for urban women across all age groups. The picture over time differed for some men; young rural men, young urban men, and middle age urban men had no such increase. The gender differences in SEI appear to have widened among rural adults across age groups.

Movement. Trends in vehicle access were most notable among older age groups, where access to no vehicle decreased, while access to two or more vehicles at home increased for older rural women and their urban counterparts and male peers (Table B6, Panel I). In 2014-2018 it took longer to travel to work than in 2005-2009, and this trend was reflected in the mean commute times (in minutes) for women and men of all ages, whether in rural or urban locales.

Needs. The time trends in family needs illustrated widening inequalities across age groups, residence, and gender in Pennsylvania. Based on the income-to-needs ratio, mean family income relative to poverty thresholds increased for young rural women, but not their urban peers. The same metric held steady for middle-aged rural women while it declined for middle-aged urban women. Among older women and older men in both rural and urban locations, the mean income-to-needs ratio increased significantly. Young and middle-aged rural men experienced no change while mean income-to-needs decreased for the urban peers. This inequity was echoed in poverty status, which was measured categorically. The proportions of "not poor" among older adults, regardless of gender and location, increased. Rates of middle-aged adults living in poverty increased for women and men in rural and urban locations and being "near-poor" increased for urban residents. For all but one group, young adults had the same poverty status levels from 2005-2009 to 2014-2018. The exception was young urban men who registered an increase in being "poor" and "near poor."

Receipt of benefits from different public assistance programs changed over time. More rural
and urban adults lived in families receiving SSI across all age groups and both genders. Nearly all subpopulations were more likely to live in families receiving Social Security or Railroad income. Two exceptions were older urban women and older urban men, who had lower percentages in 2014-2018 than 2005-2009. Family receipt of benefits from a state and/or local assistance program increased proportionately for middle-aged rural men and for all older groups, both men and women whether living in rural or urban places. Household receipt of SNAP increased for all age, gender, and residence groups. Patterns of linguistic isolation were unchanged.

## Remaining Areas

Several components originally proposed for this research were not addressed. First, data limitations were encountered, which restricted some analyses of the 2014-2018 and 2005-2009 ACS data. For example, measures pertaining to detailed racial identity, citizenship, ancestry, and detailed military service were recoded into more broad groupings. Low prevalence and low variability in certain measures made estimates across detailed population groups unstable, and therefore were not included in the final report. Given the volume of findings from the ACS, non-ACS data were not directly analyzed for this research.

## Key Findings

Unless specifically stated, the key finding statements below pertain to trends and patterns within the 2014-2018 population.

## Population and demographics

- Pennsylvania had 250,688 fewer rural residents in 2014-2018 than in 2005-2009, while the state's overall population increased by 274,585 people.
- The rural population was relatively older than the urban population in 2014-2018, and from 2005-2009, has been aging at a faster rate.
- Rural populations were overwhelmingly white and non-Hispanic, although young and middleaged rural women were more diverse in 2014-2018 than in 2005-2009.


## Family

- Family sizes tended to decrease by age group among rural women. When comparing 2005-2009 to 2014-2018 data, family size increased among older rural women.
- Older rural women were more likely to live alone compared to their younger rural peers. Rates of living alone were lower in rural versus urban locations. However, when comparing 20052009 to 2014-2018 data, fewer older rural women lived alone.
- Across age groups, three-generation homes were less common among rural women compared to urban women. However, from 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, more rural women lived in three-generation homes.
- Compared to other population groups, rural women were more likely to be married and living with a spouse. From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, young and middle-aged rural women were less likely to be married.


## Home and housing

- Most rural women lived in single-family, detached houses.
- Rural home sizes increased from 2005-2009 to 2014-2018.
- Overall housing values were lower in rural versus urban areas. From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, housing values decreased for all age and population groups, with two exceptions: older urban women experienced no change and older urban men experienced an increase in the value of their housing.
- Across age groups, rates of free and clear home ownership were higher for rural women than urban women. From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, more rural women lived in a home owned free and clear.
- Housing costs (for owner-occupied, rental, and mobile homes) were lower in rural areas compared to urban areas, regardless of gender or age group. From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, rental housing costs for all ages across all populations and owner-occupied costs for older rural and urban adults increased, while owner-occupied costs for young and middle-aged adults decreased in rural and urban groups.
- Within all age groups, water and sewer utility costs were equivalent across population groups, but these costs increased for all Pennsylvania residents from 2005-2009 to 2014-2018.
- Within age groups, gas utility costs were much higher for urban residents than rural residents, while gas bills decreased from 2005-2009 to 2014-2018.
- Electric utility costs were higher in urban versus rural homes, except among homes of young adults, while heating fuel costs were slightly higher for urban versus rural residents regardless of age. From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, electricity bills increased, while overall heating fuel costs declined.


## Communication resources

- Rural women had less access to communication technologies than urban women. Among rural women, access decreased with age.


## Schooling

- The most common level of educational attainment among rural women was high school; 42 percent of young rural women, 46 percent of middle-aged rural women, and 56 percent of older rural women completed no more than high school.
- From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, completion of college increased across all ages and populations.
- Completed schooling was higher among urban women than rural women, and lower for rural men than rural women.


## Work

- Most young and middle-aged rural women participated in the labor force and were currently employed. Nevertheless, for all age groups, rural women had the lowest labor force participation rates compared to other population groups. From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, labor force participation increased for all urban women and for older rural women, while rates were steady for young and middle-aged women.
- For any age category among the population groups, rural women were the least likely to have a recent work history, defined as holding a job within the past five years. Older rural and urban women were more likely to have worked recently in 2014-2018 than in 2005-2009.
- Among those who worked within the past 12 months, rural women spent the least time "on the clock," as measured by usual hours at paid work per week. From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, time "on the clock" increased for women in all age and population groups except young rural women and older men. Over the same time period, young and middle-aged men in both rural and urban locations spent less time at paid work per week.
- Working rural women experienced the shortest commutes to work compared to other population groups. From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, commute times increased for all age and population groups. Similar to rural men, the vast majority of rural women drove to work alone.


## Socio-economic status

- Four of the top 10 occupations held by rural and urban women were at high risk of being eliminated due to automation. The most common jobs held by rural men collectively had the highest risk of being eliminated due to automation. From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, the risk of job elimination due to automation increased for rural men, while the risk declined for rural women, urban women, and urban men.
- Compared to rural men, the jobs held by rural women, on average, required more education,
while rural women's jobs required less schooling than urbanites, regardless of gender. From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, the jobs held by rural and urban women of all ages, by middle-aged rural men, and by older rural and urban men required more education. The jobs held by young rural and urban men and middle-aged urban men over this time period experienced no change in educational requirements.
- For all age groups, rural women fared the worst economically compared to any population group; rural women had the lowest mean earnings (personal wages and salaries) and the lowest mean family incomes.
- Economically speaking, most age and population groups were better off in 2014-2018 compared to 2005-2009, with a few exceptions: personal earnings were stagnant for young rural and urban men, and family income declined for young rural men, while it was stagnant for young urban men.


## Needs

- Poverty rates were higher for women than for men, whether they lived in rural or urban areas. From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, poverty rates increased for all middle-aged adults across population groups and for young urban men.
- Across age groups, rural women lived in families that more often received public assistance and Social Security compared to rural men.
- For all age groups, receipt of public assistance and Supplemental Security Income was higher for urban women than rural women, while rural women received Social Security more often than urban women.
- From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, across all age and population groups, receipt of Supplemental Security Income increased.
- From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, more middle-aged rural men and all older adults lived in families receiving public assistance benefits.
- Over time, from 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, more adults across nearly all age and population groups, except older urban women and men, lived in families that received Social Security or Railroad Income.
- More rural women lived in households that received SNAP benefits than rural men, but SNAP rates were highest among urban women. From 2005-2009 to 2014-2018, household receipt of SNAP increased for all age, gender, and residence groups.
- Rural women were less linguistically isolated than urban women.


## Health

- Rural and urban women were insured at the same rate. Both groups were more likely to have health insurance than their male counterparts.
- Young rural and urban women had similar rates of disability.
- The prevalence of disability increased across age groups as rural-urban differences emerged in any disability and for hearing difficulty among middle-aged and older women.
- For all age groups, rural men had higher rates of hearing difficulties and lower rates of restriction in independent living than rural women.
- Among middle-aged and older adults and regardless of rural location, women had higher rates of mobility impairment than men.


## Conclusions

This profile of the social and economic well-being of rural Pennsylvanian women provided a deeper understanding of the lives and livelihoods of rural women, their families, and communities. It should be clear that many of the challenges faced by rural women resemble shared issues for rural men, and urban women and men. Other concerns appeared to be unique to rural women.

Pennsylvania's rural populations shrank in size, while urban areas (and the state as a whole) experienced modest growth over the previous decade (2005-2009 to 2014-2019). However, it would be an over-generalization to argue that rural Pennsylvania and rural women have experienced "decline." The research results presented here illustrate that community and economic development have been uneven across the Commonwealth (Alter, Fuller, Raybuck \& Sontheimer, 2018). Much of the evidence described here suggests that rural women and rural men are being "left behind" (Breathitt, 1967) relative to their urban counterparts. There were also areas where rural women experienced gains, and where gains and losses were unevenly shared. The discussion in this section focuses on some of the dynamics behind the research findings, after providing a brief narrative profile of three rural women, based on the statistical results.

## Narrative profiles

On average, in 2014-2018, young rural woman (aged 18-34 years old) were likely to be white, non-Hispanic, and not an immigrant. She likely lived in a non-farm, single-family, detached home with two other people, possibly including a child (under 18 years old), and a member of a different generation from her own (a parent or a child). She has never been married. Her home included nearly three bedrooms, so that each family member has their own room. Either the home was rented (with $\$ 732$ monthly rent) or owned with a single mortgage or home loan (with $\$ 1,165$ monthly costs) and valued around $\$ 161,300$ (to the nearest $\$ 100$ ). Annual utility costs (to the nearest $\$ 10$ ) were as follows: the home was heated with piped natural gas, which in total cost about $\$ 1,480$; electricity cost about $\$ 1,830$; and water and sewer were about $\$ 760$. Both a home telephone and a smartphone were present,
with many types of computer and electronic devices available and connected to a high-speed internet service. Her household had two cars. She was not currently enrolled in school, but had completed her high school diploma or G.E.D. She currently worked for pay outside the home with about a 22 -minute one-way commute, alone in her car, to her job as a secretary. She worked nearly 33 hours per week, annually earning $\$ 13,800$ (to the nearest $\$ 100$ ) per year, or about $\$ 1$ over minimum wage. Her personal earnings were too low to cover her household's housing and utility costs. Her family's total annual income was about $\$ 65,400$ (to the nearest $\$ 100$ ), which helped make ends meet. Her family was categorized as "not poor," with an income-to-needs ratio at 270 percent. No one in her family or household participated in public assistance programs (such SSI, Social Security, or SNAP). She did not have a disability and she carried health insurance.

On average, in 2014-2018, a middle-aged rural woman (aged 35 to 64 years old) similarly could be expected to be white, non-Hispanic, and not an immigrant. Like her younger counterpart, she likely lived in a non-farm, single-family, detached home with close to two other people, including possibly a child. Although someone in her home was probably a member of a different generation from her own (a parent or a child), it was nearly as likely for her to co-reside with only members of her own generation. She was likely to be married, in her first marriage, and living with her spouse of over 22 years. Her home had more than three bedrooms and nearly seven rooms total. The home was owned with a single mortgage or home loan (with $\$ 1,202$ monthly costs) and valued around $\$ 187,200$ (to the nearest $\$ 100$ ). Annual utility costs (to the nearest $\$ 10$ ) were as follows: the home was heated with piped natural gas, which in total cost about $\$ 1,570$; electricity ran about $\$ 1,950$; and water and sewer was $\$ 770$. Both a home telephone and a smartphone were present, with a few types of computer and electronic devices available and connected to a high-speed internet service. Her household had two cars. She was not currently enrolled in school, but had completed her high school diploma or G.E.D. She currently worked for pay outside the home, with about a 24-minute one-way commute, alone in her car, to her job as a secretary. She worked just over 37 hours per week, annually earning \$44,100 (to the nearest
$\$ 100$ ) per year. Her personal earnings (just under about $\$ 23 /$ hour) were high enough to cover her household's housing and utility costs. Her family's total annual income, about \$87,300 (to the nearest $\$ 100$ ), provided an additional cushion. Her family was categorized as "not poor" with an income-toneeds ratio at 324 percent. Similar to her younger counterpart, no one in her family or household participated in public assistance programs. Likewise, she did not have a disability and she carried health insurance.

On average, an older rural woman (aged 65 years and older) in 2014-2018, like her younger counterparts, was most likely white, non-Hispanic, and not an immigrant. She lived in a non-farm, single-family, detached home with one other person from her same generation. She was likely to be married, still in her first marriage, and living with her spouse of nearly 45 years. Her home was ample in size, with fewer than three bedrooms and more than six rooms total. The home was owned free and clear, without a mortgage (\$711 in monthly costs) and valued around $\$ 169,500$ (to the nearest $\$ 100$ ). Annual utility costs (to the nearest $\$ 10$ ) were as follows: the home was heated with piped natural gas, which in total cost about $\$ 1,360$; electricity ran about $\$ 1,640$; and water and sewer was $\$ 700$. Only a home telephone was present, with at least one computer or electronic devices available and connected to a high-speed internet service. Her household had a nearly equal likelihood of having two cars or just one. She was not currently enrolled in school, but had completed her high school diploma or G.E.D. She was no longer in the labor force and had not worked within the previous five years. [If employed, she would work almost 27 hours per week, annually earning $\$ 25,000$ (to the nearest $\$ 100$ ) per year.] Her family's total annual income was about \$55,100 (to the nearest \$100), including Social Security. Less than one-quarter of the family income was needed to cover housing and utility costs. Her family was categorized as "not poor," with an income-to-needs ratio at 290 percent. Other than receiving Social Security income, her family participated in no other public assistance programs. She did not have a disability, but if she did, she would have a mobility impairment. As she was age-qualified for Medicare (Part A), she had health insurance.

For the most part, these three fictitious rural women were doing well, with the youngest the most financially strained. Low levels of completed schooling (just a high school diploma) and limited job opportunities in precarious employment would be key issues for policy interventions that might improve the livelihoods of young and middle-aged rural women.

## Discussion

Often referred to as "the great equalizer," education and schooling provide people with basic literacy and communication skills, with specialized and advanced knowledge, and with experiences that enhance their human and social capital. The educational attainment of rural women increased in the past decade, as it has for the Commonwealth as a whole. High school graduation remained the most common level of completed schooling for rural women. College completion increased for Pennsylvania women, and those gains were stronger for rural women than rural men, but softer for rural women than their urban peers.

For many adults, educational attainment is connected to their employability, work experience, and earnings. The majority (about two-thirds) of rural women participated in the labor force, and in the previous decade participation rates increased for older rural women. However, participation rates were lowest for rural women compared to rural men and urban women and men. While unemployment declined for young and middle-aged rural women, they also tended to have comparably less recent employment than other groups. Increasingly the jobs held by Pennsylvania women required college credentials, as indicated by the occupational education or SEI findings.

At the same time, many of the most-commonly held jobs among rural women were at risk of replacement due to automation or other economic restructuring. However, rural men appeared to be even more vulnerable to such precarious employment.

More rural women work now than in the past, and their time "on the clock" increased (especially among young and middle-aged rural women). Relative to rural men and to urban women and men, though, rural women continued to work fewer hours overall. A similarly mixed picture
emerged when it came to trends and patterns in earnings. Personal wages and salaries of working rural women increased over the past decade, while they also earned the least of any group at any age. By contrast, trends in young men's earnings were either stagnant (for rural men ages 18 to 34 years) or declining (for urban men ages 18 to 34 years).

Rural jobs tend to pay less than urban ones, contributing to overall higher rates of poverty in rural places, including Pennsylvania (Cromartie, 2018; Tickamyer, Sherman, \& Warlick, 2017; Mather \& Jarosz, 2014). Despite increased personal earnings and family incomes for rural women of all ages, poverty became more prevalent among middle-aged women (ages 35 to 64 years) in rural and urban populations. Relatedly, mean income-to-needs ratios were stagnant for middle-aged rural women and declined for urban women in their middle years. With the lowest labor force participation of any age group, poverty declined among older adults, based on both the "not poor" category and on mean income-to-needs ratios. Nevertheless, older rural women increasingly lived in families and/or households that relied on public assistance support through SNAP, SSI, and Social Security.

Such varied indicators seemed even more pressing given the high economic dependency of Pennsylvania's rural population. The number of people outside the labor force relative to those in the labor force was found to be higher in rural locations, with a widening rural-urban gap. If rural sectors continue to restructure, lose capital investments, and softened labor demands (Blinder, 2009; Frey \& Osborne, 2013), it will be crucial to monitor the social consequences of these economic shifts on rural communities and families.
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## Appendix A. Detailed Methods

## Measures

The measures and variables used in this report are described in detail below.
Population definition. To construct a profile of rural women, rural location was determined by the smallest geographic unit available in the public use ACS data: the public used microdata area or PUMA. PUMA boundaries are drawn to contain at least 100,000 people. The 2014-2018 ACS uses PUMAs defined following the 2010 decennial Census. Pennsylvania included 92 PUMAs. Following CRPA's definition a PUMA was defined as rural if the population per square mile within the PUMA was less than 284 , or urban if the population per square mile was 284 or higher. Table A1 lists the 30 PUMAs designated as rural and the 62 urban PUMAs, which were also mapped in Figure 1. Due changes in settlement patterns, some of the PUMA boundaries shift from one decennial Census to another. The PUMAs listed in the 2005-2009 ACS were based on the population distribution measured in the 2000 Census. Although Pennsylvania included the same number of PUMAs (92) in the 20052009 ACS as in the 2014-2018 ACS, they were not the same exact PUMAs in the two time periods. Following CRPA's definition, 33 PUMAs were rural and 59 were urban in the 2005-2009 data (Table A1; Figure 2).

All individuals included in the ACS have their sex reported as either male or female, and their age were measured in years (from 0 to 94 years, with top-coding at 95 and older). Samples were constructed for adults using ages 18 and older. For analytic purposes adult ages were grouped as follows: 18 to 34 years; 35 to 64 years; and 65 years and older. Other age designations were used to designate co-resident children: minors (ages 0 to 17 years) and pre-school-aged children (ages 0-4).

Table A2 provides information about each variable and measure, including the original source survey questions, used in this report.

Demographics. Racial group identification was recoded into five categories: white, black, Native American, Asian American, or Other race/Multiple races. Hispanic ethnicity indicated whether
the person was Hispanic/Latino or not. Detailed questions on citizenship, naturalization, and immigration were recoded into an indicator for immigration status, capturing whether the person was an immigrant (including a naturalized citizen or not a citizen) or that the person was born in the United States. (The citizenship status measure had too few individuals who were not citizens to be analyzed as a standalone variable.)

Family. The ACS collected a detailed household roster of every person living in the home and their relationship to the household head. The Census Bureau defined family as a group of at least two co-resident persons, including the householder, who were related by birth, marriage, or adoption. While most households included a single family, some households include multiple families. Family size was the count of co-resident individuals within each person's family. Households with only one member informed the variable indicating that a person lives alone or not. Other metrics related to family composition were: a count of the number of such children; as well as a count of the number of preschool aged ( $0-4$ years) living with the family. The number of generations living in the home (from one to three) was measured too. Marital status was recoded into five categories: currently married and living with spouse; currently married and spouse absent or separated; divorced; widowed; or never married. Marital history was measured in detail in the 2014-2018 ACS, but not the 2005-2009 ACS. Marital histories were indicated by the following five variables: the number of times a person was married (one, two, or three or more times); for currently married people, the number of years they have been in their marriage; for currently married people, whether or not they were married within the past 12 months (or longer ago); for currently divorced people, whether or not they were divorced within the past 12 months (or longer ago); for currently widowed people, whether or not they were widowed within the past 12 months (or longer ago).

For both time periods, another family variable captured whether or not the person was responsible for rearing a co-resident grandchild (aged 0-17 years).

Home and housing. Using its housing questionnaire answered by the primary respondent, the

ACS collected a large variety of information about the housing unit, the property on which it sat, and the household itself. The type of housing was recoded to distinguish across the following four groups: single-family detached house; two-family or attached single-family house; multi-unit building; or other (e.g., mobile home, trailer, boat, other). A housing tenure measure was constructed to include five categories about the home: owned free and clear; owned with mortgage or loan; owned with mortgage or loan and second mortgage or home equity loan; pays rent; non-cash rent. Properties were also determined to be on a farm or not on a farm. The size of the home was measured by a count of overall rooms and a count of the number of bedrooms in the home.

Three measures of housing costs were included in this report capture the regular costs of the housing unit. All dollar amounts were corrected to reflect the value of dollars from the last year of the survey. (For the 2014-2018 ACS, values are in 2018 dollars, and for the 2005-2009, values are in 2009 dollars. Post-estimation, the 2005-2009 dollar values were inflated by 17 percent, based on the annual Consumer Price Index (CPI) to reflect 2018 dollars.) For owner-occupied homes, the monthly costs were calculated; for rental home, monthly rents were measured; for mobile home or trailer homes, the annual costs were computed. In addition to reporting on actual housing costs, the household respondent reported their estimate of the sale value of their home.

The method of heating each home was collected and recoded to distinguish across the following six types: gas delivered through buried service pipes; bottled, tank, or LP gas; electricity; other fuels (oil, kerosene, etc.); other combustibles including wood, coal, or coke; and a catch-all other group. The annual home heating fuel costs were calculated. Individual utility bills (either monthly or annually) were also collected and calculated on an annual basis: electricity bill; gas bill (if applicable); and the annual water and sewer costs.

Communication resources. In the 2014-2018 ACS, several questions administered at the household level collected information about communication and electronic resources available in the home. A recode of home phone access and smartphone access was included in this report. Households
were categorized as having: only a home phone, only a smartphone, both a home phone and smartphone, or neither. Four types of electronic devices were mentioned-desktop or laptop computer, smartphone, tablet or other portable wireless computer, and some other type of computer-and a count of the number of types of these devices was calculated (from 0 to four). Information on internet access was also collected. A recoded measure distinguished across the following four categories of access: high speed internet; internet, but not high speed; no internet subscription; or no internet access at all. In the 2005-2009 ACS, the only measure of communication was having a home phone or not.

Institutions. Based on its housing sampling frame, the ACS collected information targeting the total population, for this report certain kinds of living arrangements-institutionalized group quarterswere excluded. A variable indicator for non-institutionalized group quarters versus household (nongroup quarters) was included.

A measure of whether or not a person had some form of military service was included, such that two groups were distinguished: currently active duty, training for Reserves or National Guard, or a veteran; or having no military service.

Schooling. Educational attainment (completed schooling) was assessed and recoded into four categories: less than high school; high school diploma or GED; some college (including Associate's degree); or Bachelor's degree or higher (including post-baccalaureate degrees). Current school enrollment (within the past three months) was measured along with the type of school attended (public or private). For those enrolled, a recoded variable for grade (or level) of attendance was included for three categories: pre-college (from pre-school and kindergarten through $12^{\text {th }}$ grade); college undergraduate years; or graduate or professional school.

Work. The ACS collected detailed information about past and present work experiences. Measures analyzed for this report include labor force status (being in the labor force or not) and employment status (currently employed, unemployed, or outside the labor force). Work history categorized individuals in terms of: working now; working within the past year, but not currently;
working within the past five years (but not within the past year); or no work experience within five years or more. Another variable distinguished between workers who worked for a wage and those who worked through self-employment. For currently employed individuals and those employed within the past year, the usual hours worked during a week was measured.

Socio-economic status (SES). Individuals with current employment or a work history were asked follow-up questions about their type of job, their main work responsibilities, and place of work. The Census Bureau coded open-ended job descriptions into a standardized listing of occupations (Census, 2021), cross-referenced with the Bureau of Labor Statistics' Standard Occupational Classification (Census, 2011) for use across federal agencies. These classification and coding systems are amended periodically to account for changes in the employment sector. Occupation codes from 2010 were used in this report for both the 2014-2018 and the 2005-2009 ACS. To capture the relative status ranking of occupations, this report used the Hauser-Warren measure of occupational standing or socio-economic index (SEI), which sorts occupations based on the typical educational credentials help by incumbents (Hauser and Warren, 1997). Additionally for this report, to capture one dimension of job precarity, the probability of occupations becoming automated was found using published values calculated (Frey and Osborne, 2013; Devaraj, Wornell, Faulk, and Hicks, 2020) based on extant data from the U.S. Department of Labor.

The ACS also collected information about the earnings and income of family and household members from a variety of sources. For this report, individual wage and salary earnings were analyzed. A family income variable was constructed across all types of earnings and summed over all family members.

Movement. Based on an item in the housing questionnaire, a variable for vehicle access was recoded as a count of vehicles from 0 to 4 , and top-coded at 5 or more. At the person level, the battery of items related to employment, information about workers' commutes to the workplace was collected. Two measures are included in this report. The travel time (in one direction) from home to work is
measured in minutes. The method used for the commute from home to work was also collected. For this report the mode of commute was recoded into : private vehicle (alone); private carpool or taxi; public mode (including bus, subway, elevated rail, long-distance train, commuter rail, light rail, streetcar, trolley, or ferryboat); on foot or by bicycle; some other method; or works from home.

Needs. Measures of income, from individual earnings to family income, are subject to divisions of labor within the family and the number of "breadwinners" in the home. As a result, many social scientists adjust income in relation to the federal poverty thresholds, which were set based on the size and age composition of the family. For this report the family-level income-to-needs ratio was used to capture family income relative to the family's needs using poverty thresholds. The ratio ranges from $1 \%$ of the poverty threshold to $500 \%$ (or five times) the poverty threshold. The ratio measure was recoded to categorize the poverty status of the family into three groups: poor families had ratios less than or equal to $100 \%$; near poor families had ratio from $101 \%$ to $200 \%$ of the poverty threshold; and not poor families had ratios greater than $200 \%$ the poverty threshold.

Based upon family members' receipt of different sources of income, three indicators were constructed to capture participation in different types of public assistance programs in the previous 12 months: family received Social Security or Railroad income (or not); family received Supplemental Security Income (or not); and family received state or local assistance benefits. From the housing questionnaire, a measure indicates whether, within the past 12 months, the household received benefits from the Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program (SNAP) (formerly food stamps) or not.

The ACS collected information from all individuals (aged 14 and older) about speaking a language other than English at home. Those who spoke a language other than English at home were asked about how well they spoke English. Using these measures across all household members, ACS constructed an indicator of linguistic isolation, where the household is categorized as being linguistically isolated if the home included no one who spoke only English and no one who spoke English "very well" along with another language at home.

Health. Measures related to health were only available in the more recent time period, the 2014-2018 ACS. Individuals were categorized as having health insurance or being uninsured based on having coverage from an employer, private purchase (including on the ACA Marketplace), Medicare, Medicaid (the Medical Assistance in Pennsylvania), other government-based insurance, TRICARE, other military care, or the Veterans Administration. Six indicators of disability types were measured in the ACS and included in this report: whether or not the individual had a vision difficulty; whether or not the individual had a hearing difficulty; whether or not the individual (age 5 and older) had a cognitive impairment; whether or not the individual (age 5 and older) had a mobility impairment; whether or not the individual (age 5 and older) had a self-care limitation; and whether or not the individual (age 15 and older) had a restriction in independent living.

Table A1. List of PUMAs.

2014-2018 PUMAs

|  | Code | Description |
| :---: | ---: | :--- |
| Urban | 101 | Erie City, Lawrence Park Township \& Wesleyville Borough |
| Rural | 102 | Erie County (Outer) |
| Rural | 200 | Crawford \& Warren Counties |
| Rural | 300 | Clearfield, McKean, Elk, Potter \& Cameron Counties |
| Rural | 400 | Bradford, Tioga \& Sullivan Counties |
| Rural | 500 | Pike, Wayne \& Susquehanna Counties |
| Rural | 600 | Monroe County |
| Urban | 701 |  |
|  |  | Moosic Boroughs |
| Rural | 702 | Lackawanna (Outside Greater Scranton City) \& Wyoming Counties |
| Urban | 801 | Luzerne County (East)---Kingston Borough |
| Urban | 802 | Luzerne County (South Central)--Wilkes-Barre \& Hazleton Cities |
| Rural | 803 | Columbia \& Luzerne (West) Counties--Bloomsburg Town \& Ber- |
|  |  | wick Borough |
| Rural | 900 | Lycoming \& Clinton Counties |
| Rural | 1000 | Northumberland \& Montour Counties |
| Rural | 1100 | Mifflin, Union, Snyder \& Juniata Counties |
| Rural | 1200 | Centre County |
| Rural | 1300 | Venango, Jefferson, Clarion \& Forest Counties |
| Rural | 1400 | Mercer County |
| Rural | 1501 | Lawrence \& Beaver (North) Counties---New Castle City |
| Urban | 1502 | Beaver County (South) |
| Rural | 1600 | Butler County |
| Urban | 1701 | Pittsburgh City (North) |
| Urban | 1702 | Pittsburgh City (South) |
| Urban | 1801 | Allegheny County (West) |
| Urban | 1802 | Allegheny County (North)--Franklin Park Borough \& Allison Park |
| Urban | 1803 | Allegheny County (North Central) |


|  | Code | Description |
| :---: | ---: | :--- |
| Urban | 100 | Inner Erie |
| Rural | 200 | Outer Erie |
| Rural | 300 | Crawford \& Warren Counties |
| Rural | 400 | McKean, Elk, Potter \& Cameron Counties |
| Rural | 500 | Bradford, Tioga \& Sullivan Counties |
| Rural | 600 | Pike, Wayne \& Susquehanna Counties |
| Rural | 700 | Monroe County |
| Urban | 801 | Inner Lackawanna (Scranton) County |
|  |  |  |
| Rural | 802 | Outer Lackawanna \& Wyoming Counties |
| Urban | 901 | Inner Luzerne County |
| Urban | 902 | Northern Luzerne County |
| Rural | 903 | Southern Luzerne \& Columbia Counties |
|  |  |  |
| Rural | 1000 | Lycoming County |
| Rural | 1100 | Northumberland County |
| Rural | 1200 | Clinton, Mifflin, Union, Snyder \& Juniata Counties |
| Rural | 1300 | Centre County |
| Rural | 1400 | Jefferson \& Clearfield Counties |
| Rural | 1500 | Venango, Clarion \& Forest Counties |
| Rural | 1600 | Mercer County |
| Urban | 1701 | Central Pittsburgh City (North) |
| Urban | 1702 | Pittsburgh City (East) |
| Urban | 1703 | Pittsburgh City (Southwest) |
| Urban | 1801 | Allegheny County (North) |
| Urban | 1802 | Allegheny County (West) |
| Urban | 1803 | Allegheny County (North Central) |
| Urban | 1804 | Allegheny County (East) |
|  |  |  |

Table A1. List of PUMAs, continued.

## 2014-2018 PUMAs

|  | Code | Description |
| :---: | ---: | :--- |
| Urban | 1804 | Allegheny County (East)--Monroeville Municipality, Plum \& Wil- <br> kinsburg Boroughs |
| Urban | 1805 | Allegheny County (Southeast)--West Mifflin Borough, McKeesport <br> City \& Munhall Borough |
| Urban | 1806 | Allegheny County (South Central)--Baldwin \& Whitehall Boroughs |
| Urban | 1807 | Allegheny County (South)--Bethel Park Municipality \& Jefferson <br> Hills Borough |
| Rural | 1900 | Indiana \& Armstrong Counties |
| Urban | 2001 | Westmoreland County (Northwest)--Murrysville Municipality \& New |
|  |  | Kensington City |
| Urban | 2002 | Westmoreland County (Southwest)--Greensburg City |
| Rural | 2003 | Westmoreland County (East) |
| Rural | 2100 | Cambria County |
| Rural | 2200 | Blair \& Huntingdon Counties--Altoona City |
| Rural | 2301 | Cumberland (West) \& Perry Counties--Carlisle Borough |
| Urban | 2302 | Cumberland County (East) |
| Urban | 2401 | Dauphin County (Central)--Harrisburg City \& Colonial Park |
| Rural | 2402 | Dauphin County (Outer)--Hershey |
| Urban | 2500 | Lebanon County |
| Rural | 2600 | Schuylkill County |
| Urban | 2701 | Berks County (Northwest)--Wyomissing Borough |
| Urban | 2702 | Berks County (Southwest)--Reading City |
| Urban | 2703 | Berks County (East) |
| Rural | 2801 | Carbon \& Lehigh (North) Counties |
| Urban | 2802 | Lehigh County (South--Outside Allentown \& Bethlehem Cities)-- |
| Urban | 2803 | Allentown City |
| Urban | 2901 | Northampton (South) \& Lehigh (East) Counties---Bethlehem (East) |
| Urban | 2902 | \& Easton Cities |
| Northampton County (North) |  |  |


|  | Code |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Urban | 1805 | Allegheny County (Central Southwest) |
|  |  |  |
| Urban | 1806 | Allegheny County (South) |
|  |  |  |
| Urban | 1807 | Allegheny County (Southeast) |
| Rural | 1900 | Butler County |
|  |  |  |
| Rural | 2001 | Lawrence \& Beaver (North) Counties |
| Urban | 2002 | Beaver County (South) |
|  |  |  |
| Urban | 2101 | Westmoreland County (Northwest) |
| Urban | 2102 | Westmoreland County (Southwest) |
| Rural | 2103 | Westmoreland County (East) |
| Rural | 2201 | Northern Washington County |
| Rural | 2202 | Eastern Washington \& Greene Counties |
| Rural | 2300 | Fayette County |
| Rural | 2400 | Indiana \& Armstrong Counties |
| Rural | 2501 | Northern Cambria County |
| Rural | 2502 | Somerset \& Southeast Cambria Counties |
| Rural | 2600 | Blair County |
| Rural | 2700 | Bedford, Fulton \& Huntingdon Counties |
| Rural | 2801 | Adams \& Southeast Franklin Counties |
| Rural | 2802 | Franklin County (North) |
| Urban | 2900 | Lebannon County |
| Urban | 3001 | Inner Dauphin County |
|  |  |  |
| Rural | 3002 | Outer Dauphin County |
| Urban | 3101 | Cumberland County (East) |
|  |  |  |
| Rural | 3102 | Perry \& Western Cumberland Counties |
|  |  |  |

Table A1. List of PUMAs, continued.
2014-2018 PUMAs

|  | Code |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Urban | 3001 | Bucks County (North) |
| Urban | 3002 | Bucks County (Central) |
| Urban | 3003 | Bucks County (Southeast)-Levittown |
| Urban | 3004 | Bucks County (Southwest) |
| Urban | 3101 | Montgomery County (Northwest)--Pottstown Borough |
| Urban | 3102 | Montgomery County (Northeast)--Lansdale Borough |
| Urban | 3103 | Montgomery County (Southwest)--King of Prussia \& Ardmore |
|  |  | (East) |
| Urban | 3104 | Montgomery County (Central)--Norristown Borough |
| Urban | 3105 | Montgomery County (Southeast)--Willow Grove, Horsham \& Mont- |
|  |  | gomeryville |
| Urban | 3106 | Montgomery County (South Central) |
| Urban | 3201 | Philadelphia City (Far Northeast) |
| Urban | 3202 | Philadelphia City (Near Northeast-West) |
| Urban | 3203 | Philadelphia City (Near Northeast-East) |
| Urban | 3204 | Philadelphia City (North) |
| Urban | 3205 | Philadelphia City (East) |
| Urban | 3206 | Philadelphia City (Northwest) |
| Urban | 3207 | Philadelphia City (Central) |
| Urban | 3208 | Philadelphia City (West) |
| Urban | 3209 | Philadelphia City (Center City) |
| Urban | 3210 | Philadelphia City (Southwest) |
| Urban | 3211 | Philadelphia City (Southeast) |
| Urban | 3301 | Delaware County (North)--Broomall \& Ardmore (West) |
| Urban | 3302 |  |
|  |  | Drexel Hill |
| Urban | 3303 | Delaware County (Southeast)--Chester City |
| Urban | 3304 | Delaware County (West) |
| Urban | 3401 | Chester County (North)--Phoenixville Borough |
|  |  |  |


|  | Code |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Urban | 3201 | Northern York County |
| Urban | 3202 | Southern York County |
| Urban | 3203 | Central York County |
| Urban | 3301 | Inner Lancaster County |
| Urban | 3302 | Western Lancaster County |
| Urban | 3303 | Eastern Lancaster County |
| Urban | 3401 | Inner Berks County |
|  |  |  |
| Rural | 3402 | Northern Berks County |
| Rural | 3500 | Schuykill County |
|  |  |  |
| Urban | 3600 | Inner Lehigh County |
| Urban | 3701 | Southern Lehigh County |
| Rural | 3702 | Western Lehigh County |
| Urban | 3801 | Southern Northampton County |
| Urban | 3802 | Northern Northampton County |
| Urban | 3901 | Northern Bucks County |
| Urban | 3902 | North Central Bucks County |
| Urban | 3903 | Eastern Bucks County |
| Urban | 3904 | Southern Bucks County |
| Urban | 4001 | Eastern Montgomery County |
| Urban | 4002 | Northern Montgomery County |
| Urban | 4003 | Western Montgomery County |
| Urban | 4004 | Central Montgomery County |
| Urban | 4005 | Southeast Montgomery County |
| Urban | 4006 | South Montgomery County |
| Urban | 4101 | Northeast Philadelphia County |
| Urban | 4102 | North Philadelphia County |
|  |  |  |

Table A1. List of PUMAs, continued.

2014-2018 PUMAs

|  | Code | Description |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Urban | 3402 | Chester County (East Central)--West Chester Borough |
| Urban | 3403 | Chester County (West Central)--Coatesville City |
| Urban | 3404 | Chester County (South) |
| Urban | 3501 |  |
|  |  | West Lampeter Townships |
| Urban | 3502 | Lancaster County (Northwest)--Elizabethtown Borough |
| Urban | 3503 | Lancaster County (East)--Ephrata Borough |
| Urban | 3504 | Lancaster County (Southwest)--Columbia Borough |
| Urban | 3601 | York County (Central)---York City \& Shiloh |
| Urban | 3602 | York County (North)--Weigelstown |
| Urban | 3603 | York County (South) |
| Rural | 3701 | Adams \& Franklin (Southeast) Counties |
| Rural | 3702 | Franklin County (Outside Washington Township \& Waynesboro |
|  |  | Borough) |
| Rural | 3800 | Somerset, Bedford \& Fulton Counties |
| Rural | 3900 | Fayette County |
| Rural | 4001 | Washington County (North)--Washington City |
| Rural | 4002 | Washington (South) \& Greene Counties |

2005-2009 PUMAs

|  | Code | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Urban | 4103 | North Central Philadelphia County |
| Urban | 4104 | Northwest Philadelphia County |
| Urban | 4105 | Philadelphia City (Downtown) |
| Urban | 4106 | Philadelphia City (Central) |
|  |  |  |
| Urban | 4107 | Philadelphia City (Northwest) |
| Urban | 4108 | Philadelphia City (West Central) |
| Urban | 4109 | Philadelphia City (South Central) |
| Urban | 4110 | Southeast Philadelphia County |
| Urban | 4111 | Southwest Philadelphia County |
| Urban | 4201 | Northern Delaware County |
| Urban | 4202 | Eastern Delaware County |
| Urban | 4203 | Southern Delaware County |
|  |  |  |
| Urban | 4204 | Western Delaware County |
| Urban | 4301 | Northern Chester County |
| Urban | 4302 | Central Chester County |
| Urban | 4303 | Western Chester County |

Notes: Public Use Microdata Area (PUMA) were redrawn and coded by the U.S. Census Bureau following each decennial census. $2014-2018$ PUMAs were based on the 2010 Census, and 2005-2009 PUMAs were based on the 2000 Census. Descriptions for 2014-2018 PUMAs were from the Census Bureau. Descriptions of 2005-2009 PUMAs were written by the report author.

Table A2. Variables and Measures from the American Community Survey.

| Area | Concept | Measures | Source (ACS question text) | Analyzed variables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural residential status | Rural PUMA or not rural/urban PUMA | PUMA designation consistent with previous CRPA reports using 2010+ Census PUMA designations | Rural_CRPA |
|  | Gender | Female or Male | 3. "What is <person's> sex?" | female |
|  | Age group | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 18 \text { to } 34 \text { years, } \\ 35 \text { to } 64 \text { years, or } \\ 65 \text { years and older } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4. "What is <person's> age...and date of birth?" (Measured in years from 0 to 94 with top-coding at 95.) | AgeCat |
|  | Racial group | White, Black, Native American, Asian American, or Other race/Multiple races | 6. "What is <person's> race? | RaceCat |
|  | Hispanic ethnicity | Hispanic or Non-Hispanic | 5. "Is <person> of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin?" | Hispanic |
|  | Immigrant | Immigrant (includes citizen by naturalization and not a citizen) or not (US born) | 8. "Is <person> a citizen of the United States?" | immigrantR |
|  | Family and household structure | Married-couple family household, Householder family (no spouse present), Householder living alone, Non-family householder, or Other | Constructed from household/family roster | hhtypeR3 |
|  | Lives alone | Single person household, or multi-person household | Constructed from household/family roster | livesaloneR |
|  | Family size | 0 to 20 persons in family | Constructed from household/family roster | famsize |
|  | Family composition | 0 to 11 children in family (ages 0 to 17 years) | Constructed from household/family roster and age | Fnumkidz |
|  |  | 0 to 5 children in family (ages 0 to 4 years) | Constructed from household/family roster and age | nchlt5 |
|  |  | 1 to 3 generations in home | Constructed from household/family roster | multgenR |
|  | Marital status | Currently married and living with spouse, separated or currently married to absent spouse absent, divorced, widowed, or never married | 21. "What is <person's> marital status?" | marstR |

Table A2. Variables and Measures from the American Community Survey, continued.

| Area | Concept | Measures | Source (ACS question text) | Analyzed variables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marital history* | Once married, two times married, or three or more times married <br> Number of years married (in current marriage) <br> Married in the past 12 months, or married longer ago <br> Divorced in the past 12 months, or divorced longer ago <br> Widowed in the past 12 months, or widowed longer ago | 23. "How many times has <person> been married?" <br> Calculated from 24. "In what year did <person> last get married?" <br> 22. "In the past 12 months, did <person> get... <br> a) Married? <br> b) Widowed? <br> c) Divorced?" | marrno <br> marriedYrs <br> marrinyr <br> divinyr <br> widinyr |
|  | Living arrangements | Responsible for co-resident grandchild (ages $0-17$ years), or not | 26a. "Does <person> have any of his/her own grandchildren under the age of 18 living in this house or apartment?" 26b. "Is this grandparent currently responsible for most of the basic needs of any grandchildren under the age of 18 who live in this house or apartment?" | gcrespon |
|  | Tenure | Owned free and clear, Owned with mortgage or loan, Owned with mortgage or loan and second mortgage or home equity loan, Pays rent, or Non-cash rent | 17. "Is this house, apartment, or mobile home...?" (housing questionnaire) 23a. "Do you or any member of this household have a second mortgage or a home equity loan on this property?" (housing questionnaire) | Htenure |
|  | Farm | Farm, or Non-farm | 4. "How many acres is this house or mobile home on?" (housing questionnaire) <br> 5. "In the past 12 months, what were the actual sales of all agricultural products from this property?" (housing questionnaire) | farm |
|  | Type | Single-family detached house, Two-family or attached single-family house, Multi-unit building, or other (e.g., mobile home, trailer, boat, other) | 1. "Which bests describes this building?" (housing questionnaire) | housingunitsR2 |

Table A2. Variables and Measures from the American Community Survey, continued.


Table A2. Variables and Measures from the American Community Survey, continued.

| Area | Concept | Measures | Source (ACS question text) | Analyzed variables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Utilities | Type of heating: Gas (service pipes), Bottled, tank, or LP gas, Electricity, Other fuels (oil, kerosene, etc.), Wood, coal, or coke, or Other | 13. "Which fuel is used most for heating this house, apartment, or mobile home?" (housing questionnaire) | fuelheatR |
|  |  | Electricity costs (\$48 to \$8,124 annually) | 14a. "Last month, what was the cost of electricity for this house, apartment, or mobile home?" (housing questionnaire) | costelecR |
|  |  | Gas costs (\$48 to \$9,992 annually) | 14b. "Last month, what was the cost for gas for this house, apartment, or mobile home?" (housing questionnaire) | costgasR |
|  |  | Water and sewer costs (\$4 to \$3,200 annually) | 14c. "In the past 12 months, what was the cost of water and sewer for this house, apartment, or mobile home?" (housing questionnaire) | costwatrR |
|  |  | Home heating fuel costs (\$4 to \$5,819 annually) | $13,14 a, 14 b$. and $14 d$. "In the past 12 months, what was the cost of oil, coal, kerosene, wood, etc., for this house, apartment, or mobile home?" (housing questionnaire) | costfuelR |
|  | Phone** | Home phone, Smartphone, Both home or smartphone, or Neither | 8. "Can you or any member of this household both make and receive phone calls when at this house, apartment, or mobile home?" (housing questionnaire) 9b. "At this house, apartment, or mobile home - do you or any member of this household own or use any of the following types of computers...smartphone?" (housing questionnaire) | PhonezR |

Table A2. Variables and Measures from the American Community Survey, continued.

| Area | Concept | Measures | Source (ACS question text) | Analyzed variables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Electronic devices* | Sum of types of electronic devices (0 to 4) | 9. "At this house, apartment, or mobile home - do you or any member of this household own or use any of the following types of computers: <br> a. Desktop or laptop <br> b. smartphone <br> c. Tablet or other portable wireless computer <br> d. Some other type of computer?" (housing questionnaire) | compdevices |
|  | Internet* | High speed internet, Internet (not high speed), No internet subscription, or No internet access | 10. "At this house, apartment, or mobile home - do you or any member of this household have access to the internet?" (housing questionnaire) <br> 11. "Do you or any member of this household have access to the internet using: a. cellular data plan for a smartphone or other mobile device? <br> b. broadband (high speed) Internet service such as cable, fiber optic, or DSL service installed in this household? <br> c. satellite Internet service installed in this household? <br> d. dial-up Internet service installed in this household? <br> e. some other service?" (housing questionnaire) | InternetR |
|  | Group quarters | Households (non-group quarters), or noninstitutional group quarters (military, college dormitory, rooming house, other) [institutional group quarters (e.g., correctional, mental, and eldery/handicapped/poor) excluded] | Constructed from housing unit sampling frame | gqtypeR |
|  | Military service | Veteran (currently active duty, Training for Reserves or National Guard, Veteran), or No military service | 27. "Has <person> ever served on active duty in the U.S. Armed Forces, Reserves, or National Guard?" | vetstatR |

Table A2. Variables and Measures from the American Community Survey, continued.

| Area | Concept | Measures | Source (ACS question text) | Analyzed variables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Educational attainment | Less than high school, High school diploma/GED, Some college (includes Associate's degree), or Bachelor's degree or higher (including post-baccalaureate degrees) | 11. "What is the highest degree or level of school <person> has completed?" | EducCatR |
|  | Adult enrollment | Pre-college (preschool, K-12), College undergraduate years, or Graduate or professional school beyond a bachelor's degree | 10a. "At any time in the last 3 months, has <person> attended school or college?" <br> 10b. "What grade or level was <person> attending?" | gradeattdR |
|  | Employment | Currently <br> (last week) employed, Not currently employed, or Not in the labor force | 30a. "Last week, did <person> work for pay at a job (or business)?" 30b. "Last week, did <person> do any work for pay, even for as little as one hour?" | empstat |
|  | Labor force participation | In the labor force, or Not in the labor force | 30a \& 30b (above) <br> 36a. "Last week, was <person> on layoff from a job?" <br> 36b. "Last week, was <person> temporarily absent from a job or business?" <br> 36c. "Has <person> been informed that he or she will be recalled to work within the next 6 months or been given a date to return to work?" <br> 37. "During the last 4 weeks, has this person been actively looking for work?" | labforce |
|  | Work history | Working now, Worked within the past year (but not currently working), Worked within the past 5 years (but not within past year or currently), or No such work experience | 30a \& 30b (above) <br> 39. "When did <person> last work, even for a few days?" | workedyr |
|  | Usual hours | From 1 to 99+ hours | 41. "During the past 12 months, in the weeks worked, how many hours did <person> usually work each week?" | uhrsworkR |
|  | Self-employment | Self-employed, or works for wages | 42a. "Which of the following best describes <person's> employment last week or the most recent employment in the past 5 years?" | classwrkR |

Table A2. Variables and Measures from the American Community Survey, continued.

| Area | Concept | Measures | Source (ACS question text) | Analyzed variables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0000000000000000000000 | Occupational standing | Composite score from 0 to 80 (low to high) on prestige, education, and income of job/occupation | Constructed from occupational codes, based on Hauser-Warren Socioeconomic Index (SEI) | hwseiR |
|  | Income and earnings | Income from wages and salary | 43. Income in the past 12 months... <br> 43a. "Wages, salary, commissions, bonuses, or tips from all jobs. Report amount before deductions for taxes, bonds, dues, or other items." | incwageR |
|  |  | Total family income | Constructed across all family members: 44. "What was <person's> total income during the past 12 months?" | ftotincR |
| H200000 | Vehicle access | 0 to 5 or more vehicles | 12. "How many automobiles, vans, and trucks of one-ton capacity or less are kept at home for use by members of this household?" (housing questionnaire) | vehiclesR |
|  | Commuting time | From 1 to 151 minutes | 35. "How many minutes did it usually take <person> to get from home to work last week?" | wrktraveltime |
|  | Commuting mode | Private vehicle (car, truck, van, or Motorcycle), Private carpool or taxi (Carpool, or Taxicab), Public mode (Bus, Subway or elevated rail, Long-distance train or commuter rail, Light rail, streetcar, or trolley, or Ferryboat), Walks or bicycles, Other method, or Works from home | 32. "How did <person> usually get to work last week?" <br> 33. "How many people, including <person> usually rode to work in the car, truck, or van last week?" | tranworkR |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | Poverty status | Family-level income-to-needs ratio from 1 to $500 \%$ or more | Constructed from ratio of Total family income to family economic needs (official poverty thresholds based on family size, and family composition) | povertyR |
|  |  | Poor, Near poor, or Not poor | Recoded from family-level income-to-needs ratio (1 to $100 \%, 101$ to $200 \%$, or $201+\%$ ) | povcatR |

Table A2. Variables and Measures from the American Community Survey, continued.

| Area | Concept | Measures | Source (ACS question text) | Analyzed variables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Program participation | Family receives Social Security or Railroad income, or not <br> Family receives Supplemental Security Income income, or not <br> Family receives state or local welfare payments, or not | 43. Income in the past 12 months... <br> 43d. "Social Security or Railroad Income" <br> 43e. "Supplemental Security Income (SSI)" <br> 43f. "Any public assistance or welfare payments from the state or local welfare office" | FincssRecvF <br> FincSuppRecvF <br> FincwelfrRecvF |
|  | Food stamps | Household receives food stamps, or not | 15. "In the past 12 months, did you or any member of this household receive benefits from the Food Stamp Program or SNAP (Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program)? Do not include WIC, the School Lunch Program, or assistance from food banks?" (household questionnaire) | foodstmp |
|  | Linguistic isolation | No one in household (age 14+ years) speaks English or speaks English "very well" if other language spoken at home, or not | Constructed across all household members: <br> 14a. "Does <person> speak a language other than English at home?" <br> 14c. "How well does <person> speak English?" | lingisolR |
|  | Health insurance coverage* | Has health insurance, or No health insurance | 16. "Is <person> currently covered by any of the following types of health insurance or health coverage plans?" | Hinsured |

Table A2. Variables and Measures from the American Community Survey, continued.

| Area | Concept | Measures | Source (ACS question text) | Analyzed variables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Disability* | Has hearing difficulty, or No hearing difficulty | 18a. "Is <person> deaf or does he/she have serious difficulty hearing?" | diffhear |
|  |  | Has vision difficulty, or No vision difficulty | 18b. "Is <person> blind or does he/she have serious difficulty seeing even when wearing glasses?" | diffeye |
|  |  | Has cognitive impairment, or No cognitive impairment (age 5+ years) | 19a. "Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, does <person> have serious difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions?" | diffrem |
|  |  | Has mobility impairment, or No mobility impairment (age 5+ years) | 19b. "Does <person> have serious difficulty walking or climbing stairs?" | diffphys |
|  |  | Has self-care limitation, or No limitation in self-care (age 5+ years) | 19c. "Does <person> have difficulty dressing or bathing?" | diffcare |
|  |  | Has independent living restriction, or No restriction in independent living (age 15+ years) | 20. "Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, does <person> have serious difficulty doing errands alone such as visiting a doctor's office or shopping?" | diffmob |
|  |  | Has any disability, or not | Recoded from above | anydisability |
| Notes: | All measures are available in both the 2005-2009 and the 2014-2018 American Community Survey (ACS), with the following exceptions: <br> * Measures not included in the 2005-2009 ACS. <br> ${ }^{* *}$ Measure differs between the 2005-2009 and the 2014-2018 ACS. |  |  |  |

## Analyses

To generate this report, the ACS data were analyzed using the statistical software, Stata/SE 16.1 (StataCorp 2019a). All statistical estimates are weighted and design-adjusted using Stata's "svy" procedures, which account for unequal probability of selection and grouping (clustering and stratification) of the housing units selected for participation in the ACS each year. Case weights (using the PERWT variable) calibrate point estimates and correct calculations drawn across multiple survey years (e.g., 2014-2018) to be equivalent to an annual population. The design-adjustment procedures make corrections in the variance of estimates and their standard errors, which are a crucial component of hypothesis testing, test statistics, and confidence intervals. Without variance correction, type I error (rejecting a null hypothesis when it is in fact true) is more likely and confidence intervals are misleadingly narrow. In order to preserve the full integrity of the sample design, procedures were run using the "subpop" feature to restrict the analytic sample to the observations appropriate for each goal of the study.

As mentioned in the body of the report, the analyses were conducted following the four stated goals of the project: 1) to examine age group differences in the experiences and life circumstances of rural women; 2) to examine rural-urban differences in the experiences and life circumstances of women by age group; 3) to examine gender and rural-urban differences in the experiences and life circumstances of Pennsylvanians by age group; and 4) to examine time trends in the experiences and life circumstances of rural Pennsylvanian women.

Goal 1. In order to examine age group differences in the experiences and life circumstances of rural women, each characteristic of interest, $Y$, was modeled as function of age group. The analytic sample for this goal was non-institutionalized rural women. For categorical variables with two possible values on the outcome variable $Y$, a binary logit model was fit:

$$
\log \left[\frac{\operatorname{Pr}(Y=1)}{\operatorname{Pr}(Y=0)}\right]=\alpha+\sum_{k=0}^{K} \beta_{k} X_{k}
$$

where $K$ is the number of predictor variables, $X$, which under Goal 1 includes dummy indicators for age group (with $65+$ years as reference), $\alpha$ indicates the intercept or constant, and $\beta_{k}$ is the "slope" coefficient for the predictor variable, $X_{k}$. For categorical variables with more than two possible outcome values, a multinomial logit model was fit where $J$ is the number of values of $Y$ :

$$
\log \left[\frac{\operatorname{Pr}(Y=j)}{\operatorname{Pr}(Y=1)}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{J}\left[\alpha_{j}+\sum_{k=0}^{K} \beta_{k_{j}} X_{k_{j}}\right]
$$

For continuous variable outcomes, a linear regression model was fit:

$$
Y=\alpha+\sum_{k=0}^{K} \beta_{k} X_{k}
$$

For each model, the following hypothesis tests for regression coefficients were performed at $\alpha=0.05$, or the significance level.

A $\quad \mathrm{H}_{0}: \beta_{\text {agel } 8-34}=\beta_{\text {age35-64 }}=0$
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}: \operatorname{not}\left(\beta_{\text {agel } 8-34}=\beta_{\text {age } 35-64}=0\right)$
Rejecting the null hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$, is evidence for the alternative hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$, that there are age group differences in the characteristic $Y$ among rural women.

Goal 2. In order to examine rural-urban differences in the experiences and life circumstances of women by age group each characteristic of interest, $Y$, was modeled as function of rural residential status, net of age group. The analytic sample for this goal was non-institutionalized women. Binary logit, multinomial logit, and linear regression models were fit as described above in goal 1 with the rural indicator variable added to the model. For each model, the following hypothesis tests for regression coefficients were performed at $\alpha=0.05$.

B $\quad \mathrm{H}_{0}: \beta_{\text {rural }}=0$
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}: \beta_{\text {rural }} \neq 0$
Rejecting the null hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$, is evidence for the alternative hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$, that, adjusted for age group, the characteristic $Y$ differs between urban and rural women.

Goal 3. In order to examine gender and rural-urban differences in the experiences and life circumstances of Pennsylvanians by age group, each characteristic of interest, $Y$, was modeled as function of gender, rural residential status, and a Gender by Rural interaction term, controlling age group. The analytic sample for this goal was non-institutionalized adults (including both women and men). Binary logit, multinomial logit, and linear regression models were fit as described above in goal 1, with additional indicators and the interaction term. For each model, the following three pairs of hypotheses for regression coefficients were tested at $\alpha=0.05$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{C} & \mathrm{H}_{0}: \beta_{\text {female }}=0 \\
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}: \beta_{\text {female }} \neq 0
\end{array}
$$

Rejecting the null hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$, is evidence for the alternative hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$, that, net of age group and rural status, there are gender differences in the characteristic $Y$ among adults.

D $\quad \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{0}: \beta_{\text {rural }}=0 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}: \beta_{\text {rural }} \neq 0\end{aligned}$
Rejecting the null hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$, is evidence for the alternative hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$, that, adjusted for age group and gender, the characteristic $Y$ differs between urban and rural adults. Note that these paired hypotheses (labeled "D") are not equivalent to the paired hypotheses labeled "B" for goal 2, as they are based on different models with different observations. The B hypotheses compare rural to urban among women only, while the D hypotheses compare rural to urban among all adults (both women and men).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{E} \quad & \mathrm{H}_{0}: \beta_{\text {female }}=\beta_{\text {rural }}=\beta_{\text {femaleXrural }}=0 \\
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}: \operatorname{not}\left(\beta_{\text {female }}=\beta_{\text {rural }}=\beta_{\text {femaleXrural }}=0\right)
\end{array}
$$

Rejecting the null hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$, is evidence for the alternative hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$, that, adjusted for age group, there are differences in the characteristic $Y$ across urban men, urban women, rural men, and rural women.

All hypotheses (for goals 1 through 3) were tested for statistical significance using adjusted Wald tests (StataCorp 2019b: 2728), which calculate an $F$ test statistic and its $p$-value for a formal
rejection decision. Summaries of these 250 statistical inferences are provided in Appendix Table B3.
Predicted values and confidence intervals. Following model estimation and hypothesis testing, model-based predicted values were produced using Stata's "margins" command. Following logit models, predicted probabilities, which can be interpreted as proportions, were calculated for each value of the outcome variable, and following linear regression models, predicted means were calculated. These predicted values were estimated by age group (goals 1-3), by rural status (goals $2 \&$ 3), and by gender (goal 3). In the interest of parsimony, only predicted values calculated within estimation under goal 3 are presented. For example, for the categorical, nominal variable, employment status (employed, unemployed, or not in the labor force), the following 12 predicted probabilitiesalong with the $95 \%$ confidence interval for each estimate-were found for currently employed:

> Pr(employed $_{\text {Rural }}$ Women $\left.18-34\right)$
> $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\right.$ employed $\left._{\text {Rural Women } 35-64}\right)$
> $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\right.$ employed $\left._{\text {Rural Women } 65+}\right)$

## Pr(employed Urban Women 18-34)

$\operatorname{Pr}($ employed Urban Women 35-64)
$\operatorname{Pr}$ (employedUrban Women 65+)

Pr(employed ${ }_{\text {Rural Men 18-34 }}$ )
$\operatorname{Pr}\left(\right.$ employed $_{\text {Rural Men }}$ 35-64 $)$
$\operatorname{Pr}$ (employed ${ }_{\text {Rural Men 65+ }}$ )
$\operatorname{Pr}($ employed Urban Men 18-34 )
$\operatorname{Pr}($ employed Urban Men 35-64 )
$\operatorname{Pr}$ (employed Urban Men 65+)

Another set of 12 predicted probabilities were estimated for being unemployed and a third set for not being in the labor force. All predicted probabilities, which range from 0 to 1 , were reported as percentages, which range from 0 to 100 percent, for ease of interpretability.

Another example, for the continuous, ratio variable, family size, the following 12 predicted values, which are interpretable as means, were found along with the $95 \%$ confidence interval around each estimate:


Goal 4. In order to examine time trends in the experiences and life circumstances of rural Pennsylvanian women, parallel analyses were undertaken using the 2005-2009 ACS. As mentioned, not all measures analyzed using the 2014-2018 ACS were available in the 2005-2009 ACS.

A number of traditional demographic metrics were produced to compare rural and urban populations across the two time points. Population pyramid graphs were constructed to compare the age and sex structure of these populations in the current period (using 2014-2018 ACS data) and the prior decade (based on 2005-2009 ACS). Summary measures of the age and/or sex compositions of the rural and urban populations were also calculated: sex ratios (the number of males to the number of females); overall or total dependency ratios (measured by the number of people ages 0-14 years or 65 and older relative to the number of people ages 15-64 years old); child dependency ratios (the number of children ages 0-14 years relative to the number of people ages 15-64 years old); economic dependency ratios (the number of people outside the labor force relative to the number of people in the labor force); aged dependency ratios (adults 65 and older relative to working age, 15-64 years old, adults ); aging indexes (adults 65 and older relative to children ages 0-14 years); and caretaker ratios (adults 80 years and older relative to women ages 50-64 years).

## Appendix B. Detailed Results

Table B3. Summary of Results for Goals One through Three.

| Concept | Measurement | Age group differences among rural women ${ }^{1}$ | Rural/Urban differences among women (net of age group $)^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gen } \\ & \text { (ne1 } \end{aligned}$ | differences age group) ${ }^{3,4}$ | Analyzed Variable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Institutionalized Population |  | 18 to 34 years, 35 to 64 years, or 65 years \& older | Rural PUMA or not rural/urban PUMA | Female or Male ${ }^{3}$ | Rural PUMA <br> rural/urban PU <br> by Female or |  |
| A. Demographics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Racial group | Five categories | **** | **** | **** | **** | RaceCat |
| Hispanic ethnicity | Two categories | **** | **** | * | ns | Hispanic |
| Immigrant | Two categories | ** | **** | ns | ** | immigrantR |
| B. Family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household type | Five categories | **** | **** | **** | **** | hhtypeR3 |
| Lives alone | Two categories | **** | *** | *** | ns | livesaloneR |
| Family size | 0 to 20 persons in family | **** | *** | ** | ns | famsize |
| Family composition | 0 to 11 children | **** | ** | **** | **** | Fnumkidz |
|  | 0 to 5 pre-schoolers | **** | ** | **** | ns | nchlt5 |
|  | 1 to 3 generations | **** | **** | **** | **** | multgenR |
| Marital status | Five categories | **** | **** | **** | **** | marstR |
| Marital history | Four categories (times married) | **** | **** | **** | **** | marrno |
|  | Years married | **** | **** | **** | ns | marriedYrs |
|  | Two categories (married past year) | **** | **** | **** | **** | marrinyr |
|  | Two categories (divorced past year) | **** | **** | **** | **** | divinyr |
|  | Two categories (widowed past year) | **** | **** | **** | **** | widinyr |

Table B3. Summary of Results for Goals One through Three, continued.

| Concept | Measurement | Age group differences among rural women ${ }^{1}$ | Rural/Urban differences among women (net of age group) ${ }^{2}$ |  | nces <br> oup) ${ }^{3,4}$ | Analyzed Variable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Living arrangements | Two categories (raising grandchild) | **** | **** | **** | **** | gcrespon |
| C. Home and Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Housing Tenure | Five categories | **** | **** | **** | ns | Htenure |
| Farm | Two categories | Ns | **** | ns | ns | farm |
| Type of housing unit | Four categories | **** | **** | **** | ns | housingunitsR2 |
| Size of home | 1 to 20 rooms | **** | ns | **** | ns | roomsR |
|  | 0 to 9 bedrooms | **** | ns | **** | * | bedroomsR |
| Housing costs | Owns (\$0 to \$9,596) <br> Rents (\$4 to \$3,037) | **** | **** | **** | **** | owncostR |
|  |  | **** | **** | ns | ns | rentR |
|  | Mobile home (\$4 to $\$ 9,950$ ) | ns | **** | **** | ns | moblhomeR |
|  | Value (\$110 to \$2,317,000) | **** | **** | **** | **** | valuehR |
| Utilities | Six categories (type of heat) <br> Electricity (\$48 to $\$ 8,124$ ) <br> Gas (\$48 to \$9,992) | **** | **** | **** | ns | fuelheatR |
|  |  | **** | **** | **** | ** | costelecR |
|  |  | **** | **** | * | ns | costgasR |
|  | Water and sewer (\$4 to $\$ 3,200$ ) | **** | **** | ns | ns | costwatrR |
|  | Home heating fuel costs (\$4 to \$5,819) | **** | **** | *** | ns | costfuelR |

Table B3. Summary of Results for Goals One through Three, continued.


Table B3. Summary of Results for Goals One through Three, continued.

| Concept | Measurement | Age group differences among rural women ${ }^{1}$ | Rural/Urban differences among women (net of age group) ${ }^{2}$ | Gender differences (net of age group) ${ }^{3,4}$ |  | Analyzed Variable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J. Needs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poverty | 1 to $500+\%$ or more | **** | **** | **** | ns | povertyR |
|  | Three categories | **** | **** | **** | ns | povcatR |
| Program participation | Two categories (Social Security) | **** | **** | **** | **** | FincssRecvF |
|  | Two categories (SSI) | **** | **** | **** | ** | FincSuppRecvF |
|  | Two categories (welfare) | **** | **** | **** | ns | FincwelfrRecvF |
|  | Two categories (food stamps) | **** | **** | *** | * | foodstmp |
| Linguistic isolation | Two categories | **** | **** | ** | ns | lingisolR |
| K. Health |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health insurance coverage | Two categories | **** | ns | **** | ** | Hinsured (hcovany) |

Table B3. Summary of Results for Goals One through Three, continued.

| Concept | Age group differences among rural women ${ }^{1}$ | Rural/Urban differences among women (net of age group) ${ }^{2}$ | Gender differences (net of age group) ${ }^{3,4}$ |  | Analyzed <br> Variable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disability | **** | **** | **** | **** | diffhear |
|  | **** | ns | *** | ns | diffeye |
|  | **** | * | ** | ns | diffrem |
|  | **** | ns | **** | * | diffphys |
|  | **** | ** | **** | ns | diffcare |
|  | **** | ns | **** | ns | diffmob |
|  | **** | **** | **** | *** | anydisability |
| Notes: Statistical significance based on model-based adjusted Wald test:$\begin{array}{cc} * * * * & p \text {-value }<0.0001 \\ * * * & p \text {-value }<0.001 \\ * * & p \text {-value }<0.01 \\ * & p \text {-value }<0.05 \end{array}$$\text { ns not significant at } \alpha=0.05$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal 1 Hypotheses | Goal 2 Hypotheses |  | Goal 3 Hypotheses |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Hypotheses A $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \beta_{\text {age } 18-34}=\beta_{\text {age } 35-64}=0$ <br> $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}: \operatorname{not}\left(\beta_{\text {age 18-34 }}=\beta_{\text {age } 35-64}=0\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} { }^{2} \text { Hypotheses } B H_{0}: & \beta_{\text {rural }}=0 \\ H_{a}: \beta_{\text {rural }} & \neq 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | ${ }^{3}$ Hypotheses C $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \beta_{\text {female }}=0$ <br> $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}: \beta_{\text {female }} \neq 0$ |  |  |

## Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018.

## Panel A. Demographics

Racial group


## Racial group



Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.
Hispanic ethnicity

|  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  |  |
| 18-34 Hispanic | 3.7 | ( 3.4, 4.0 ) |
| 35-64 Hispanic | 2.3 | ( 2.1, 2.4 ) |
| 65+ Hispanic | 0.9 | ( 0.8, 0.9 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |
| 18-34 Hispanic | 11.0 | ( 10.6, 11.5 ) |
| 35-64 Hispanic | 7.0 | $(6.8,7.3)$ |
| 65+ Hispanic | 2.7 | $(2.6,2.9)$ |


|  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | Hispanic | 3.8 | $(3.5,4.1)$ |
| $35-64$ | Hispanic | 2.3 | $(2.1,2.5)$ |
| $65+$ | Hispanic | 0.9 | $(0.8,1.0)$ |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | Hispanic | 11.4 | $(11.0,11.9)$ |
| $35-64$ | Hispanic | 7.3 | $(7.0,7.5)$ |
| $65+$ | Hispanic | 2.9 | $(2.7,3.0)$ |

Immigration status

| Immigration status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women |  |  |
| 18-34 Immigrant | 3.3 | ( 3.1, 3.5 ) |
| Not an immigrant | 96.7 | ( 96.5, 96.9 ) |
| 35-64 Immigrant | 3.5 | ( 3.3, 3.7 ) |
| Not an immigrant | 96.5 | ( 96.3, 96.7) |
| 65+ Immigrant | 2.4 | ( $2.2,2.6$ ) |
| Not an immigrant | 97.6 | ( 97.4, 97.8) |
| Urban Women |  |  |
| 18-34 Immigrant | 10.5 | ( 10.1, 10.9 ) |
| Not an immigrant | 89.5 | ( 89.1, 89.9 ) |
| 35-64 Immigrant | 11.0 | ( 10.7, 11.3 ) |
| Not an immigrant | 89.0 | ( 88.7, 89.3 ) |
| 65+ Immigrant | 7.8 | ( 7.5, 8.1 ) |
| Not an immigrant | 92.2 | ( 91.9, 92.5 ) |

Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

## Panel B. Family

Family size and composition


Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.
Number of Generations in Home
Number of Generations in Home


## Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

| $65+$ | Married, living with spouse | 48.7 | ( 48.1, 49.3 ) | $65+$ | Married, living with spouse | 65.7 | ( 65.1, 66.3 ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Separated or spouse absent | 2.0 | (1.9, 2.2 ) |  | Separated or spouse absent | 2.5 | ( $2.3,2.7$ ) |
|  | Divorced | 11.0 | ( $10.6,11.3$ ) |  | Divorced | 12.3 | ( $12.0,12.7$ ) |
|  | Widowed | 34.6 | ( 34.0, 35.3 ) |  | Widowed | 12.9 | ( $12.4,13.4$ ) |
|  | Never Married | 3.6 | ( $3.5,3.8$ ) |  | Never Married | 6.6 | ( 6.4, 6.8 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  | Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Married, living with spouse | 22.0 | ( 21.6, 22.5 ) | 18-34 | Married, living with spouse | 20.8 | ( 20.4, 21.3 ) |
|  | Separated or spouse absent | 2.8 | ( $2.6,3.0$ ) |  | Separated or spouse absent | 2.1 | ( $2.0,2.2$ ) |
|  | Divorced | 2.3 | ( 2.2, 2.4 ) |  | Divorced | 1.5 | ( $1.5,1.6$ ) |
|  | Widowed | 0.2 | (0.1, 0.2 ) |  | Widowed | 0.0 | ( 0.0, 0.1) |
|  | Never Married | 72.7 | ( 72.2, 73.2 ) |  | Never Married | 75.5 | ( 75.0, 76.0 ) |
| 35-64 | Married, living with spouse | 58.5 | ( 58.1, 58.9 ) | 35-64 | Married, living with spouse | 62.7 | ( $62.3,63.1$ ) |
|  | Separated or spouse absent | 5.4 | ( 5.2, 5.6 ) |  | Separated or spouse absent | 4.6 | ( 4.4, 4.8 ) |
|  | Divorced | 15.6 | ( 15.3, 15.8 ) |  | Divorced | 11.8 | (11.6, 12.1 ) |
|  | Widowed | 3.9 | ( 3.7, 4.0 ) |  | Widowed | 1.1 | (1.0, 1.2 ) |
|  | Never Married | 16.8 | ( 16.5, 17.1 ) |  | Never Married | 19.8 | (19.4, 20.1 ) |
| $65+$ | Married, living with spouse | 46.1 | ( 45.6, 46.6 ) | $65+$ | Married, living with spouse | 64.4 | ( 63.9, 65.0 ) |
|  | Separated or spouse absent | 2.7 | ( $2.6,2.9$ ) |  | Separated or spouse absent | 3.0 | ( $2.9,3.2$ ) |
|  | Divorced | 11.5 | ( $11.2,11.8$ ) |  | Divorced | 11.4 | ( $11.1,11.8$ ) |
|  | Widowed | 34.1 | ( 33.5, 34.6 ) |  | Widowed | 12.6 | ( $12.1,13.0$ ) |
|  | Never Married | 5.5 | ( 5.4, 5.7 ) |  | Never Married | 8.5 | ( 8.3, 8.8 ) |

Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.
Number of times married
Number of times married

|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Wome |  | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Once | 35.1 | ( 34.4, 35.8 ) | 18-34 | Once | 27.3 | ( 26.7, 27.9 ) |
|  | Two times | 1.9 | ( 1.7, 2.0 ) |  | Two times | 1.4 | ( 1.3, 1.5 ) |
|  | Three or more times | 0.1 | ( 0.0, 0.1 ) |  | Three or more times | 0.1 | (0.0, 0.1 ) |
| 35-64 | Once | 66.3 | ( 65.8, 66.7 ) | 35-64 | Once | 63.2 | ( 62.7, 63.6 ) |
|  | Two times | 18.6 | ( 18.3, 19.0 ) |  | Two times | 17.6 | ( 17.2, 18.0 ) |
|  | Three or more times | 3.8 | ( 3.6, 4.0 ) |  | Three or more times | 3.5 | ( 3.4, 3.7 ) |
| 65+ | Once | 69.4 | ( 68.9, 69.9 ) | 65+ | Once | 68.3 | $(67.8,68.9)$ |
|  | Two times | 21.3 | ( 20.8, 21.7 ) |  | Two times | 20.7 | ( 20.3, 21.2 ) |
|  | Three or more times | 5.3 | ( 5.0, 5.5 ) |  | Three or more times | 5.1 | ( 4.8, 5.4 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  | M Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Once | 26.9 | ( 26.4, 27.4 ) | 18-34 | Once | 23.0 | ( 22.6, 23.5 ) |
|  | Two times | 1.1 | ( 1.0, 1.2 ) |  | Two times | 1.0 | (0.9, 1.1 ) |
|  | Three or more times | 0.0 | (0.0, 0.1 ) |  | Three or more times | 0.0 | (0.0, 0.0 ) |
| 35-64 | Once | 66.3 | ( 65.9, 66.7 ) | 35-64 | Once | 63.3 | ( 62.9, 63.6 ) |
|  | Two times | 14.6 | ( 14.3, 14.8 ) |  | Two times | 14.5 | ( 14.3, 14.8 ) |
|  | Three or more times | 2.3 | ( 2.2, 2.4 ) |  | Three or more times | 2.4 | ( 2.3, 2.5 ) |
| 65+ | Once | 72.8 | ( 72.4, 73.3 ) | 65+ | Once | 71.0 | ( 70.6, 71.5 ) |
|  | Two times | 17.4 | ( 17.1, 17.8 ) |  | Two times | 17.8 | ( 17.4, 18.2 ) |
|  | Three or more times | 3.4 | ( 3.2, 3.6 ) |  | Three or more times | 3.6 | ( 3.4, 3.7 ) |
|  | Length of current marriage |  |  |  | Length of current marriage |  |  |
|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Years married | 6.15 | ( 6.02, 6.27 ) | 18-34 | Years married | 4.61 | ( 4.49, 4.74 ) |
| 35-64 | Years married | 22.55 | ( 22.42, 22.69) | 35-64 | Years married | 21.02 | ( 20.88, 21.16 ) |
| 65+ | Years married | 44.83 | ( 44.63, 45.03 ) | 65+ | Years married | 43.30 | ( 43.10, 43.49 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  | M Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Years married | 5.08 | ( 4.99, 5.17 ) | 18-34 | Years married | 3.45 | ( 3.36, 3.54 ) |
| 35-64 | Years married | 21.49 | ( 21.37, 21.60 ) | 35-64 | Years married | 19.86 | ( 19.74, 19.97 ) |
| 65+ | Years married | 43.76 | ( 43.57, 43.95 ) | 65+ | Years married | 42.13 | ( 41.94, 42.32 ) |

Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.
Marital status change within past 12 months
Marital status change within past 12 months
Percent (95\% CI)

| Rural Women |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| 18-34 | Got married |
|  | Divorced |
| $35-64$ | Widowed |
|  | Got married |
|  | Divorced |
|  | Widowed |
|  | Got married |
|  | Divorced |
|  | Widowed |

## Urban Women

| $18-34$ | Got married | 3.71 | $(3.50,3.93)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Divorced | 0.51 | $(0.45,0.57)$ |
|  | Widowed | 0.05 | $(0.02,0.07)$ |
| $35-64$ | Got married | 1.18 | $(1.10,1.26)$ |
|  | Divorced | 1.06 | $(0.97,1.14)$ |
|  | Widowed | 0.44 | $(0.40,0.49)$ |
|  | Got married | 0.18 | $(0.15,0.22)$ |
|  | Divorced | 0.29 | $(0.25,0.33)$ |
|  | Widowed | 2.72 | $(2.54,2.90)$ |

Responsible for co-resident grandchild

| Responsible for co-resident grandchild |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  |  | (95\% CI) |
| $18-34$ | Raising grandchild | 0.01 | $(0.00,0.03)$ |
| $35-64$ | Raising grandchild | 1.64 | $(1.49,1.78)$ |
| $65+$ | Raising grandchild | 1.04 | $(0.92,1.15)$ |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Raising grandchild | 0.01 | $(0.00,0.02)$ |
| $35-64$ | Raising grandchild | 1.61 | $(1.50,1.72)$ |
| $65+$ | Raising grandchild | 1.02 | $(0.91,1.12)$ |


|  | Marital status change within past 12 months |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Got married | 3.43 | ( 3.17, 3.69 ) |
|  | Divorced | 0.57 | ( 0.50, 0.65 ) |
|  | Widowed | 0.03 | (0.01, 0.04 ) |
| 35-64 | Got married | 1.04 | (0.95, 1.14 ) |
|  | Divorced | 1.15 | (1.03, 1.27 ) |
|  | Widowed | 0.25 | (0.22, 0.28 ) |
| 65+ | Got married | 0.16 | (0.13, 0.19 ) |
|  | Divorced | 0.31 | (0.26, 0.36 ) |
|  | Widowed | 1.53 | (1.36, 1.70 ) |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Got married | 3.71 | ( 3.49, 3.93 ) |
|  | Divorced | 0.42 | (0.37, 0.47 ) |
|  | Widowed | 0.02 | (0.01, 0.03 ) |
| 35-64 | Got married | 1.33 | ( $1.24,1.43$ ) |
|  | Divorced | 0.99 | $(0.90,1.07)$ |
|  | Widowed | 0.22 | (0.19, 0.25 ) |
| 65+ | Got married | 0.21 | (0.17, 0.25 ) |
|  | Divorced | 0.28 | (0.23, 0.32 ) |
|  | Widowed | 1.40 | (1.26, 1.55 ) |
|  | Responsible for co-resident grandchild |  |  |
|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Raising grandchild | 0.01 | ( 0.00, 0.02 ) |
| 35-64 | Raising grandchild | 1.22 | ( 1.09, 1.35 ) |
| 65+ | Raising grandchild | 0.78 | ( 0.68, 0.88 ) |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Raising grandchild | 0.01 | (0.00, 0.01 ) |
| 35-64 | Raising grandchild | 0.88 | ( 0.80, 0.96 ) |
| $65+$ | Raising grandchild | 0.56 | ( 0.49, 0.63 ) |

## Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

## Panel C. Home and Housing

Type of housing unit


Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.
Farm location
Farm location

|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Farm | 1.4 | $(1.3,1.5)$ | 18-34 | Farm | 1.6 | ( 1.4, 1.7 ) |
| 35-64 | Farm | 1.3 | ( $1.2,1.4$ ) | 35-64 | Farm | 1.5 | ( 1.4, 1.7 ) |
| 65+ | Farm | 1.1 | ( 1.0, 1.3 ) | 65+ | Farm | 1.3 | ( 1.2, 1.4 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Farm | 0.5 | $(0.4,0.6)$ | 18-34 | Farm | 0.5 | ( 0.5, 0.6 ) |
| 35-64 | Farm | 0.5 | (0.4, 0.5 ) | 35-64 | Farm | 0.5 | ( 0.4, 0.6 ) |
| 65+ | Farm | 0.4 | ( 0.4, 0.5 ) | 65+ | Farm | 0.4 | ( 0.4, 0.5 ) |

## Size of home



Size of home

|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Number of rooms | 6.29 | ( 6.26, 6.32 ) |
|  | Number of bedrooms | 2.95 | ( 2.93, 2.96 ) |
| 35-64 | Number of rooms | 6.90 | ( 6.87, 6.92 ) |
|  | Number of bedrooms | 3.11 | ( 3.10, 3.12 ) |
| 65+ | Number of rooms | 6.44 | ( 6.42, 6.47 ) |
|  | Number of bedrooms | 2.86 | ( 2.85, 2.87 ) |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Number of rooms | 6.31 | ( 6.28, 6.34$)$ |
|  | Number of bedrooms | 2.96 | ( 2.94, 2.97 ) |
| 35-64 | Number of rooms | 6.92 | ( 6.90, 6.94 ) |
|  | Number of bedrooms | 3.12 | ( 3.11, 3.13 ) |
| 65+ | Number of rooms | 6.47 | ( 6.44, 6.49 ) |
|  | Number of bedrooms | 2.87 | ( 2.86, 2.88 ) |

Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.
Housing Tenure
Housing Tenure

|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Owned, free and clear | 15.8 | ( 15.4, 16.3 ) | 18-34 | Owned, free and clear | 16.9 | (16.5, 17.4 ) |
|  | Owned, with mortgage/loan | 37.5 | ( 36.9, 38.2 ) |  | Owned, with mortgage/loan | 39.7 | ( 39.0, 40.4 ) |
|  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 6.1 | ( 5.8, 6.4 ) |  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 6.4 | ( 6.2, 6.7 ) |
|  | Pays rent | 38.4 | ( $37.6,39.1$ ) |  | Pays rent | 34.7 | ( 33.9, 35.5 ) |
|  | Rent, non-cash | 2.2 | ( 2.0, 2.4 ) |  | Rent, non-cash | 2.2 | ( 2.0, 2.4 ) |
| 35-64 | Owned, free and clear | 27.3 | ( 26.9, 27.8 ) | 35-64 | Owned, free and clear | 28.3 | ( 27.9, 28.8 ) |
|  | Owned, with mortgage/loan | 43.0 | ( 42.5, 43.6 ) |  | Owned, with mortgage/loan | 44.1 | ( 43.5, 44.6 ) |
|  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 9.9 | ( 9.5, 10.2 ) |  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 10.1 | ( 9.8, 10.4 ) |
|  | Pays rent | 18.0 | ( $17.6,18.4$ ) |  | Pays rent | 15.8 | ( 15.4, 16.2 ) |
|  | Rent, non-cash | 1.7 | ( $1.6,1.9$ ) |  | Rent, non-cash | 1.7 | ( 1.6, 1.9 ) |
| $65+$ | Owned, free and clear | 58.3 | ( 57.7, 58.9 ) | 65+ | Owned, free and clear | 59.8 | ( 59.2, 60.4 ) |
|  | Owned, with mortgage/loan | 21.3 | ( 20.8, 21.7 ) |  | Owned, with mortgage/loan | 21.6 | ( 21.1, 22.0 ) |
|  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 4.4 | ( 4.2, 4.6 ) |  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 4.5 | ( 4.3, 4.7 ) |
|  | Pays rent | 13.5 | ( $13.1,13.8$ ) |  | Pays rent | 11.7 | ( 11.3, 12.0) |
|  | Rent, non-cash | 2.5 | ( 2.3, 2.7 ) |  | Rent, non-cash | 2.5 | ( 2.3, 2.7 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Owned, free and clear | 10.8 | ( 10.5, 11.1 ) | 18-34 | Owned, free and clear | 11.5 | ( 11.2, 11.9 ) |
|  | Owned, with mortgage/loan | 35.2 | ( 34.7, 35.8 ) |  | Owned, with mortgage/loan | 37.2 | ( 36.6, 37.8 ) |
|  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 6.3 | ( 6.1, 6.6 ) |  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 6.7 | ( 6.4, 6.9 ) |
|  | Pays rent | 46.4 | ( 45.8, 47.0 ) |  | Pays rent | 43.2 | ( 42.6, 43.9 ) |
|  | Rent, non-cash | 1.2 | ( 1.1, 1.4 ) |  | Rent, non-cash | 1.3 | ( 1.2, 1.5 ) |
| 35-64 | Owned, free and clear | 20.3 | ( 20.0, 20.6 ) | 35-64 | Owned, free and clear | 21.0 | ( 20.7, 21.4 ) |
|  | Owned, with mortgage/loan | 43.9 | ( 43.4, 44.3 ) |  | Owned, with mortgage/loan | 45.0 | ( 44.6, 45.5 ) |
|  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 11.1 | ( $10.8,11.4$ ) |  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 11.4 | (11.1, 11.7 ) |
|  | Pays rent | 23.7 | ( 23.3, 24.0 ) |  | Pays rent | 21.4 | ( 21.0, 21.8 ) |
|  | Rent, non-cash | 1.1 | ( $1.0,1.2$ ) |  | Rent, non-cash | 1.1 | ( $1.0,1.2$ ) |
| $65+$ | Owned, free and clear | 48.6 | (48.0, 49.1 ) | 65+ | Owned, free and clear | 49.9 | ( 49.4, 50.5 ) |
|  | Owned, with mortgage/loan | 24.3 | ( $23.9,24.7$ ) |  | Owned, with mortgage/loan | 24.8 | ( 24.3, 25.2 ) |
|  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 5.6 | ( 5.4, 5.8 ) |  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 5.7 | ( 5.5, 5.9 ) |
|  | Pays rent | 19.8 | ( 19.4, 20.2 ) |  | Pays rent | 17.8 | ( 17.4, 18.2 ) |
|  | Rent, non-cash | 1.8 | (1.6, 1.9 ) |  | Rent, non-cash | 1.8 | ( 1.7, 2.0 ) |

Housing value

| Rural Women |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $18-34$ | Home value |
| $35-64$ | Home value |
| $65+$ | Home value |
| Urban Women |  |
| $18-34$ | Home value |
| $35-64$ | Home value |
| $65+$ | Home value |

Housing costs

| Rural Women |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $18-34$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs |
| $35-64$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs |
| $65+$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs |
| Urban Women |  |
| $18-34$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs |
| $35-64$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs |
| $65+$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs |


| Rural Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18-34 | Rent per month | \$ 732.33 | ( \$ 714.35, \$ 750.31 ) |
| 35-64 | Rent per month | \$ 628.37 | ( \$ 613.56, \$ 643.17 ) |
| 65+ | Rent per month | \$ 666.73 | ( \$ 647.89, \$ 685.57 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Rent per month | \$ 985.50 | ( \$ 974.95, \$ 996.06 ) |
| 35-64 | Rent per month | \$ 881.54 | ( \$ 872.52, \$ 890.56 ) |
| 65+ | Rent per month | \$ 919.90 | ( \$ 904.00, \$ 935.80 ) |
|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 2,120.42 | ( \$ 1,946.10, \$ 2,294.75 ) |
| 35-64 | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 2,202.57 | ( \$ 2,084.43, \$ 2,320.71 ) |
| 65+ | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 2,261.49 | ( \$ 2,125.10, \$ 2,397.88) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 3,811.59 | ( \$ 3,567.18, \$ 4,056.00 ) |
| 35-64 | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 3,893.74 | ( \$ 3,705.30, \$ 4,082.18) |
| 65+ | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 3,952.66 | ( \$ 3,762.75, \$ 4,142.57 ) |


| Mean | $(95 \%$ CI) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 161,250.90$ | $(\$ 158,511.80, \$ 163,990.00)$ |
| $\$ 187,166.80$ | $(\$ 185,195.30, \$ 189,138.30)$ |
| $\$ 169,532.90$ | $(\$ 166,989.90, \$ 172,075.90)$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 235,145.80$ | $(\$ 232,182.20, \$ 238,109.30)$ |
| $\$ 261,061.70$ | $(\$ 258,852.00, \$ 263,271.30)$ |
| $\$ 243,427.70$ | $(\$ 240,710.80, \$ 246,144.70)$ |


| Mean | $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\$ 1,165.04$ | $(\$ 1,152.56, \$ 1,177.51)$ |
| $\$ 1,202.06$ | $(\$ 1,192.93, \$ 1,211.19)$ |
| $\$ 710.77$ | $(\$ 700.80, \$ 720.74)$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 1,547.58$ | $(\$ 1,534.83, \$ 1,560.34)$ |
| $\$ 1,584.60$ | $(\$ 1,575.43, \$ 1,593.77)$ |
| $\$ 1,093.31$ | $(\$ 1,083.42, \$ 1,103.21)$ |

## Housing value

Mean
(95\% CI)

| Rural Men |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $18-34$ | Home value |
| $35-64$ | Home value |
| $65+$ | Home value |
| Urban Men |  |
| $18-34$ | Home value |
| $35-64$ | Home value |
| $65+$ | Home value |

## Housing costs

| Rural Men |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $18-34$  <br> $35-64$  <br> $65+$ Owner-occupied monthly costs <br> Urban Men Owner-occupied monthly costs <br> $18-34$  <br> $35-64$ Owner-occupied monthly costs <br> $65+$ Owner-occupied monthly costs <br>  Owner-occupied monthly costs |  |


| Rural Men |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $18-34$ | Rent per month |
| $35-64$ | Rent per month |
| $65+$ | Rent per month |
| Urban Men |  |
| $18-34$ | Rent per month |
| $35-64$ | Rent per month |
| $65+$ | Rent per month |


| Rural Men |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 18-34 | Mobile home annual costs |
| 35-64 | Mobile home annual costs |
| 65+ | Mobile home annual costs |
| Urban Men |  |
| 18-34 | Mobile home annual costs |
| 35-64 | Mobile home annual costs |
| 65+ | Mobile home annual costs |

(95\% CI)

| Mean | $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ |
| ---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\$ 1,164.42$ | $(\$ 1,152.37, \$ 1,176.47)$ |
| $\$ 1,201.44$ | $(\$ 1,192.51, \$ 1,210.38)$ |
| $\$ 710.15$ | $(\$ 700.28, \$ 720.02)$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 1,567.91$ | $(\$ 1,554.83, \$ 1,580.99)$ |
| $\$ 1,604.93$ | $(\$ 1,595.28, \$ 1,614.58)$ |
| $\$ 1,113.64$ | $(\$ 1,103.62, \$ 1,123.66)$ |

\$ 162,832.30 (\$ 160,105.20, \$ 165,559.40)
$\$ 188,748.20$ ( $\$ 186,733.30, \$ 190,763.10)$
\$ 171,114.30 (\$168,546.40, \$ 173,682.10)
\$ 240,460.60 (\$237,467.20, \$ 243,454.00)
$\$ 266,376.50 \quad(\$ 264,110.00, \$ 268,642.90)$
\$ 248,742.50 (\$246,011.30, \$ 251,473.80)
(95\% CI)

| $\$ 762.42$ | $(\$ 744.81, \$ 780.03)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 658.45$ | $(\$ 643.51, \$ 673.39)$ |
| $\$ 696.81$ | $(\$ 676.83, \$ 716.80)$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 1,012.48$ | $(\$ 1,001.07, \$ 1,023.89)$ |
| $\$ 908.52$ | $(\$ 898.64, \$ 918.39)$ |
| $\$ 946.88$ | $(\$ 930.33, \$ 963.43)$ |
| Mean | $(95 \% \mathbf{C l})$ |


| $\$ 2,051.47$ | $(\$ 1,884.62, \$ 2,218.33)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 2,133.63$ | $(\$ 2,022.57, \$ 2,244.69)$ |
| $\$ 2,192.55$ | $(\$ 2,057.48, \$ 2,327.62)$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 3,785.96$ | $(\$ 3,539.47, \$ 4,032.45)$ |
| $\$ 3,868.11$ | $(\$ 3,661.93, \$ 4,074.29)$ |
| $\$ 3,927.03$ | $(\$ 3,723.59, \$ 4,130.46)$ |



Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.



## Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

## Panel D. Communication Resources

## Phone Access



Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.
Computer and Electronic Devices (number of types)

## Computer and Electronic Devices (number of types)

Percent

| Rural Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18-34 | None | 4.5 | ( 4.2, 4.7 ) |
|  | One | 11.0 | ( 10.6, 11.4 ) |
|  | Two | 27.1 | ( 26.5, 27.7 ) |
|  | Three | 55.1 | ( 54.4, 55.8 ) |
|  | Four | 2.4 | ( 2.1, 2.6 ) |
| 35-64 | None | 7.9 | ( 7.6, 8.1 ) |
|  | One | 14.8 | ( 14.4, 15.1 ) |
|  | Two | 23.5 | ( 23.0, 23.9 ) |
|  | Three | 51.8 | ( 51.3, 52.3 ) |
|  | Four | 2.1 | ( 1.9, 2.3 ) |
| 65+ | None | 28.8 | ( 28.2, 29.4 ) |
|  | One | 25.6 | ( 25.0, 26.1 ) |
|  | Two | 18.4 | ( 17.9, 18.8 ) |
|  | Three | 26.3 | ( 25.8, 26.8 ) |
|  | Four | 1.0 | (0.9, 1.1 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | None | 3.6 | ( 3.4, 3.8 ) |
|  | One | 9.1 | ( 8.7, 9.4 ) |
|  | Two | 25.7 | ( 25.2, 26.2 ) |
|  | Three | 58.6 | ( 58.0, 59.1 ) |
|  | Four | 3.0 | ( 2.8, 3.3 ) |
| 35-64 | None | 6.4 | ( 6.2, 6.6 ) |
|  | One | 12.4 | ( 12.1, 12.6 ) |
|  | Two | 22.6 | ( 22.2, 23.0 ) |
|  | Three | 55.9 | ( 55.4, 56.3 ) |
|  | Four | 2.7 | ( 2.6, 2.9 ) |
| 65+ | None | 25.5 | ( 25.0, 26.0 ) |
|  | One | 23.2 | ( 22.7, 23.6 ) |
|  | Two | 19.2 | ( 18.8, 19.6 ) |
|  | Three | 30.7 | ( 30.2, 31.2 ) |
|  | Four | 1.5 | ( 1.3, 1.6 ) |


| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18-34 | None | 4.3 | ( 4.0, 4.5 ) |
|  | One | 11.2 | ( 10.8, 11.6 ) |
|  | Two | 27.2 | ( 26.6, 27.8 ) |
|  | Three | 54.9 | ( 54.2, 55.5 ) |
|  | Four | 2.4 | ( 2.2, 2.7 ) |
| 35-64 | None | 7.5 | ( 7.3, 7.8 ) |
|  | One | 15.1 | ( 14.7, 15.4 ) |
|  | Two | 23.6 | ( 23.1, 24.1 ) |
|  | Three | 51.6 | ( 51.1, 52.2 ) |
|  | Four | 2.2 | ( 2.0, 2.4 ) |
| 65+ | None | 27.7 | ( 27.1, 28.4 ) |
|  | One | 26.3 | ( 25.7, 26.8 ) |
|  | Two | 18.6 | ( 18.1, 19.0 ) |
|  | Three | 26.3 | ( 25.8, 26.9 ) |
|  | Four | 1.1 | ( 1.0, 1.2 ) |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | None | 3.3 | ( 3.1, 3.5 ) |
|  | One | 9.1 | ( 8.8, 9.4 ) |
|  | Two | 25.8 | ( 25.2, 26.3 ) |
|  | Three | 58.7 | ( 58.1, 59.3 ) |
|  | Four | 3.1 | ( 2.9, 3.4 ) |
| 35-64 | None | 5.8 | ( 5.6, 6.0 ) |
|  | One | 12.4 | ( 12.1, 12.7 ) |
|  | Two | 22.7 | ( 22.3, 23.1 ) |
|  | Three | 56.2 | ( 55.7, 56.6 ) |
|  | Four | 2.8 | ( 2.7, 3.0 ) |
| 65+ | None | 23.6 | ( 23.0, 24.1 ) |
|  | One | 23.8 | ( 23.3, 24.3 ) |
|  | Two | 19.6 | ( 19.2, 20.1 ) |
|  | Three | 31.5 | ( 31.0, 32.0 ) |
|  | Four | 1.5 | ( 1.4, 1.7 ) |

Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.
Internet access
internet access


## Panel E. Institutions

## Group quarters

|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Non-institutional group quarters | 7.9 | $(7.5,8.3)$ | 18-34 | Non-institutional group quarters | 7.8 | ( 7.4, 8.1) |
| 35-64 | Non-institutional group quarters | 0.6 | $(0.6,0.7)$ | 35-64 | Non-institutional group quarters | 0.6 | ( 0.6, 0.7 ) |
| 65+ | Non-institutional group quarters | 0.6 | $(0.6,0.7)$ | 65+ | Non-institutional group quarters | 0.6 | ( 0.5, 0.7 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Non-institutional group quarters | 6.4 | ( 6.2, 6.6 ) | 18-34 | Non-institutional group quarters | 6.0 | ( 5.8, 6.3 ) |
| 35-64 | Non-institutional group quarters | 0.5 | ( 0.5, 0.5 ) | 35-64 | Non-institutional group quarters | 0.5 | ( 0.4, 0.5 ) |
| $65+$ | Non-institutional group quarters | 0.5 | ( 0.4, 0.6 ) | 65+ | Non-institutional group quarters | 0.5 | ( 0.4, 0.5 ) |
|  | Military service | Percent | (95\% CI) |  | Military service | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| 18+ | Veteran or current service ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 1.0 | (0.9, 1.1 ) | 18-34 | Veteran or current service | 4.0 | ( 3.8, 4.3 ) |
|  |  |  |  | 35-64 | Veteran or current service | 12.9 | ( 12.6, 13.2 ) |
|  |  |  |  | 65+ | Veteran or current service | 45.9 | ( 45.2, 46.6 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| 18+ | Veteran or current service ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 0.9 | ( 0.8, 1.0 ) | 18-34 | Veteran or current service | 3.1 | ( 3.0, 3.3 ) |
|  |  |  |  | 35-64 | Veteran or current service | 10.2 | ( 9.9, 10.4 ) |
|  |  |  |  | 65+ | Veteran or current service | 39.4 | ( 38.8, 40.0 ) |

## Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

## Panel F. Schooling

School enrollment


## Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

Educational attainment
Educational attainment


## Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

## Panel G. Work <br> Employment and labor force status

|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | In the labor force | 70.7 | ( 70.1, 71.2 ) | 18-34 | In the labor force | 80.2 | ( 79.7, 80.6 ) |
|  | Employed | 65.4 | ( 64.8, 65.9 ) |  | Employed | 73.5 | ( 73.0, 74.0 ) |
|  | Unemployed | 5.2 | ( 4.9, 5.5 ) |  | Unemployed | 6.7 | ( 6.3, 7.1 ) |
|  | Not in the labor force | 29.4 | ( 28.9, 29.9 ) |  | Not in the labor force | 19.8 | ( 19.4, 20.3 ) |
| 35-64 | In the labor force | 70.6 | ( 70.2, 71.1 ) | 35-64 | In the labor force | 80.1 | ( 79.8, 80.5 ) |
|  | Employed | 68.1 | ( 67.6, 68.5 ) |  | Employed | 76.8 | ( 76.4, 77.2 ) |
|  | Unemployed | 2.6 | ( 2.4, 2.7 ) |  | Unemployed | 3.3 | ( 3.2, 3.5 ) |
|  | Not in the labor force | 29.4 | ( 28.9, 29.8 ) |  | Not in the labor force | 19.9 | ( 19.5, 20.2 ) |
| 65+ | In the labor force | 13.4 | ( 13.1, 13.7 ) | 65+ | In the labor force | 20.6 | ( 20.2, 21.1 ) |
|  | Employed | 13.0 | $(12.7,13.3)$ |  | Employed | 19.9 | ( 19.5, 20.3 ) |
|  | Unemployed | 0.4 | $(0.4,0.5)$ |  | Unemployed | 0.8 | ( 0.7, 0.8 ) |
|  | Not in the labor force | 86.6 | ( 86.3, 86.9 ) |  | Not in the labor force | 79.4 | ( 78.9, 79.8 ) |
| Urban Women | Urban Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | In the labor force | 74.5 | ( 74.0, 74.9 ) | 18-34 | In the labor force | 82.8 | ( 82.4, 83.2 ) |
|  | Employed | 68.5 | ( 68.0, 69.0 ) |  | Employed | 75.2 | ( 74.8, 75.7 ) |
|  | Unemployed | 5.9 | (5.7, 6.2 ) |  | Unemployed | 7.6 | ( 7.3, 7.9 ) |
|  | Not in the labor force | 25.6 | ( 25.1, 26.0 ) |  | Not in the labor force | 17.1 | $(16.8,17.5)$ |
| 35-64 | In the labor force | 74.4 | ( 74.1, 74.7 ) | 35-64 | In the labor force | 82.8 | ( 82.5, 83.1 ) |
|  | Employed | 71.5 | ( 71.1, 71.8 ) |  | Employed | 78.9 | ( 78.6, 79.3 ) |
|  | Unemployed | 3.0 | ( 2.8, 3.1 ) |  | Unemployed | 3.8 | ( 3.7, 4.0 ) |
|  | Not in the labor force | 25.6 | ( 25.2, 25.9 ) |  | Not in the labor force | 17.2 | (17.0, 17.5 ) |
| 65+ | In the labor force | 15.8 | ( 15.5, 16.1 ) | 65+ | In the labor force | 23.6 | ( 23.2, 24.1 ) |
|  | Employed | 15.2 | ( 14.9, 15.5 ) |  | Employed | 22.7 | ( 22.2, 23.1 ) |
|  | Unemployed | 0.6 | $(0.5,0.6)$ |  | Unemployed | 1.0 | ( 0.9, 1.1 ) |
|  | Not in the labor force | 84.2 | ( 83.9, 84.5 ) |  | Not in the labor force | 76.4 | ( 76.0, 76.8 ) |

## Work History

|  | Percent |  | (95\% CI) |  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  |  |  | Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | No recent work history | 15.4 | $(15.0,15.8)$ | 18-34 | No recent work history | 9.2 | ( 8.9, 9.5 ) |
|  | Worked within past 5 years | 7.4 | ( 7.1, 7.7 ) |  | Worked within past 5 years | 5.7 | ( $5.5,5.9$ ) |
|  | Worked within the past year | 77.2 | ( 76.7, 77.7 ) |  | Worked within the past year | 85.1 | ( 84.7, 85.5 ) |
| 35-64 | No recent work history | 18.7 | ( 18.4, 19.1 ) | 35-64 | No recent work history | 11.4 | ( 11.1, 11.7 ) |
|  | Worked within past 5 years | 8.6 | ( 8.3, 8.8 ) |  | Worked within past 5 years | 6.8 | $(6.6,7.0)$ |
|  | Worked within the past year | 72.7 | $(72.3,73.1)$ |  | Worked within the past year | 81.8 | ( 81.4, 82.1 ) |
| 65+ | No recent work history | 71.4 | ( 70.9, 71.9 ) | 65+ | No recent work history | 60.9 | $(60.3,61.6)$ |
|  | Worked within past 5 years | 12.5 | ( $12.2,12.9$ ) |  | Worked within past 5 years | 13.8 | ( 13.4, 14.2 ) |
|  | Worked within the past year | 16.0 | ( 15.7, 16.4 ) |  | Worked within the past year | 25.2 | ( 24.7, 25.7 ) |
| Urban Women | Urban Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | No recent work history | 13.8 | ( 13.4, 14.1 ) | 18-34 | No recent work history | 8.5 | ( 8.2, 8.7 ) |
|  | Worked within past 5 years | 6.6 | $(6.3,6.8)$ |  | Worked within past 5 years | 5.2 | ( 5.1, 5.4 ) |
|  | Worked within the past year | 79.7 | ( 79.2, 80.1 ) |  | Worked within the past year | 86.3 | ( 86.0, 86.6 ) |
| 35-64 | No recent work history | 16.9 | ( 16.6, 17.2 ) | 35-64 | No recent work history | 10.5 | $(10.3,10.8)$ |
|  | Worked within past 5 years | 7.7 | ( 7.5, 7.9 ) |  | Worked within past 5 years | 6.2 | ( 6.1, 6.4 ) |
|  | Worked within the past year | 75.5 | ( 75.1, 75.8 ) |  | Worked within the past year | 83.2 | ( 82.9, 83.5 ) |
| 65+ | No recent work history | 69.8 | ( 69.4, 70.3 ) | 65+ | No recent work history | 59.4 | $(58.8,60.0)$ |
|  | Worked within past 5 years | 12.1 | ( 11.8, 12.4 ) |  | Worked within past 5 years | 13.5 | ( 13.1, 13.8 ) |
|  | Worked within the past year | 18.1 | ( 17.7, 18.4 ) |  | Worked within the past year | 27.2 | ( 26.7, 27.6 ) |
|  | Usual work hours within the past 12 months |  |  |  | Usual work hours within the past 12 months |  |  |
|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Hours worked per week | 32.75 | ( 32.59, 32.92 ) | 18-34 | Hours worked per week | 39.01 | ( 38.85, 39.17 ) |
| 35-64 | Hours worked per week | 37.08 | ( 36.94, 37.22 ) | 35-64 | Hours worked per week | 43.34 | ( 43.21, 43.47 ) |
| 65+ | Hours worked per week | 26.93 | ( 26.67, 27.19 ) | 65+ | Hours worked per week | 33.19 | ( 32.94, 33.45 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Hours worked per week | 33.75 | ( 33.62, 33.88 ) | 18-34 | Hours worked per week | 38.80 | ( 38.67, 38.93 ) |
| 35-64 | Hours worked per week | 38.08 | ( $37.98,38.18$ ) | 35-64 | Hours worked per week | 43.13 | ( 43.02, 43.23 ) |
| $65+$ | Hours worked per week | 27.93 | ( 27.69, 28.17 ) | 65+ | Hours worked per week | 32.98 | ( 32.73, 33.22 ) |

Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.
Self employed at current/usual job

|  | Self employed at current/usual job |  | employed at current/usual job |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Self-employed | 2.4 | ( $2.2,2.5$ ) | 18-34 | Self-employed | 4.6 | ( 4.4, 4.8) |
| 35-64 | Self-employed | 6.8 | ( 6.5, 7.0 ) | 35-64 | Self-employed | 12.6 | ( $12.3,13.0$ ) |
| 65+ | Self-employed | 11.2 | ( 10.7, 11.7 ) | 65+ | Self-employed | 20.1 | (19.4, 20.8 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Self-employed | 2.4 | ( $2.2,2.5$ ) | 18-34 | Self-employed | 4.5 | ( 4.3, 4.7) |
| 35-64 | Self-employed | 6.7 | ( 6.5, 7.0 ) | 35-64 | Self-employed | 12.3 | ( 12.0, 12.6 ) |
| $65+$ | Self-employed | 11.2 | ( 10.7, 11.6 ) | 65+ | Self-employed | 19.6 | ( 19.1, 20.2 ) |

## Panel H. Socio-economic Status

Annual income

| Rural Women |  | Mean | $(95 \%$ CI) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $18-34$ | Total family income |  |  |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | $\$ 13,758.46$ | $(\$ 13,314.34, \$ 14,202.57)$ |
| $35-64$ | Total family income | $\$ 87,283.50$ | $(\$ 86,514.25, \$ 88,052.75)$ |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | $\$ 44,094.70$ | $(\$ 43,685.92, \$ 44,503.48)$ |
| 65+ | Total family income | $\$ 55,092.97$ | $(\$ 54,267.83, \$ 55,918.11)$ |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | $\$ 24,966.16$ | $(\$ 23,897.51, \$ 26,034.80)$ |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | Total family income | $\$ 81,701.78$ | $(\$ 80,845.91, \$ 82,557.64)$ |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | $\$ 23,314.93$ | $(\$ 22,917.61, \$ 23,712.25)$ |
| $35-64$ | Total family income | $\$ 103,623.00$ | $(\$ 102,852.60, \$ 104,393.40)$ |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | $\$ 53,651.18$ | $(\$ 53,234.80, \$ 54,067.56)$ |
|  | Total family income | $\$ 71,432.48$ | $(\$ 70,640.58, \$ 72,224.37)$ |

Socio-economic index (SEI)

|  |  | Mean | $(95 \% \mathrm{Cl})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | Occupational education | 33.41 | $(33.23,33.59)$ |
| $35-64$ | Occupational education | 37.08 | $(36.93,37.24)$ |
| $65+$ | Occupational education | 36.08 | $(35.85,36.31)$ |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | Occupational education | 35.77 | $(35.62,35.93)$ |
| $35-64$ | Occupational education | 39.45 | $(39.32,39.57)$ |
| $65+$ | Occupational education | 38.44 | $(38.23,38.65)$ |

Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

## Panel I. Movement

Vehicle Access

|  | Vehicle Access | Vehicle Access |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women |  |  |  | Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | None | 7.3 | (7.0, 7.7 ) | 18-34 | None | 5.1 | $(4.8,5.4)$ |
|  | One | 22.7 | (22.2, 23.2 ) |  | One | 18.7 | ( 18.2, 19.2 ) |
|  | Two | 35.7 | (35.1, 36.3 ) |  | Two | 38.3 | ( 37.6, 38.9 ) |
|  | Three | 19.7 | (19.2, 20.2 ) |  | Three | 21.3 | ( 20.8, 21.9 ) |
|  | Four | 9.6 | ( 9.1, 10.0) |  | Four | 10.6 | ( 10.1, 11.1 ) |
|  | Five or more | 5.0 | ( 4.6, 5.4 ) |  | Five or more | 5.9 | $(5.5,6.4)$ |
| 35-64 | None | 5.0 | ( 4.8, 5.2 ) | 35-64 | None | 3.4 | ( 3.2, 3.6) |
|  | One | 21.0 | ( 20.6, 21.4 ) |  | One | 17.1 | ( $16.7,17.5$ ) |
|  | Two | 41.2 | ( 40.7, 41.7 ) |  | Two | 43.7 | ( 43.1, 44.2 ) |
|  | Three | 21.9 | ( 21.4, 22.3 ) |  | Three | 23.5 | ( 23.0, 23.9 ) |
|  | Four | 7.7 | ( 7.4, 8.0 ) |  | Four | 8.5 | $(8.2,8.8)$ |
|  | Five or more | 3.3 | ( 3.1, 3.5 ) |  | Five or more | 3.8 | ( 3.6, 4.1 ) |
| $65+$ | None | 8.6 | ( 8.3, 9.0 ) | 65+ | None | 6.3 | ( 6.0, 6.6 ) |
|  | One | 35.7 | ( $35.2,36.3$ ) |  | One | 30.8 | ( 30.3, 31.4 ) |
|  | Two | 38.8 | ( $38.3,39.4$ ) |  | Two | 43.6 | ( 43.0, 44.2 ) |
|  | Three | 12.1 | ( $11.8,12.5$ ) |  | Three | 13.8 | ( 13.4, 14.2 ) |
|  | Four | 3.3 | ( 3.1, 3.5 ) |  | Four | 3.9 | ( 3.7, 4.1 ) |
|  | Five or more | 1.3 | (1.2, 1.4 ) |  | Five or more | 1.6 | ( 1.5, 1.7 ) |

Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

| Urban Women |  |  |  | Urban Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18-34 | None | 13.2 | ( $12.7,13.6$ ) | 18-34 | None | 9.9 | (9.5, 10.3 ) |
|  | One | 27.0 | ( 26.5, 27.5 ) |  | One | 23.2 | ( 22.7, 23.7) |
|  | Two | 33.6 | ( 33.0, 34.1 ) |  | Two | 36.7 | ( 36.2, 37.3 ) |
|  | Three | 15.7 | ( $15.3,16.2$ ) |  | Three | 17.7 | ( $17.2,18.1$ ) |
|  | Four | 7.3 | ( 7.0, 7.6 ) |  | Four | 8.6 | ( 8.3, 9.0) |
|  | Five or more | 3.2 | ( 3.0, 3.4) |  | Five or more | 3.9 | $(3.6,4.1)$ |
| 35-64 | None | 9.1 | ( 8.8, 9.3) | 35-64 | None | 6.7 | $(6.5,6.9)$ |
|  | One | 25.4 | ( 25.1, 25.8 ) |  | One | 21.5 | ( 21.2, 21.9 ) |
|  | Two | 39.5 | ( 39.1, 39.9 ) |  | Two | 42.5 | ( 42.1, 43.0 ) |
|  | Three | 17.8 | ( $17.5,18.2$ ) |  | Three | 19.7 | ( 19.3, 20.1 ) |
|  | Four | 6.0 | ( 5.8, 6.2 ) |  | Four | 7.0 | ( 6.8, 7.2 ) |
|  | Five or more | 2.1 | ( $2.0,2.3$ ) |  | Five or more | 2.5 | $(2.4,2.7)$ |
| 65+ | None | 14.4 | ( 14.0, 14.8 ) | $65+$ | None | 11.3 | ( 10.9, 11.6 ) |
|  | One | 39.5 | ( 39.0, 40.0 ) |  | One | 35.5 | ( 34.9, 36.0 ) |
|  | Two | 33.9 | ( 33.5, 34.4 ) |  | Two | 38.8 | ( 38.3, 39.3 ) |
|  | Three | 9.0 | ( 8.7, 9.3 ) |  | Three | 10.6 | ( 10.3, 10.9 ) |
|  | Four | 2.4 | ( 2.2, 2.5 ) |  | Four | 2.9 | $(2.8,3.1)$ |
|  | Five or more | 0.8 | (0.7, 0.8 ) |  | Five or more | 1.0 | ( 0.9, 1.1) |

## Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

## Commute time

|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Travel time to work | 21.74 | ( 21.43, 22.05 ) | 18-34 | Travel time to work | 26.55 | ( 26.20, 26.90 ) |
| 35-64 | Travel time to work | 23.58 | ( 23.30, 23.86 ) | 35-64 | Travel time to work | 28.39 | ( 28.07, 28.70 ) |
| 65+ | Travel time to work | 19.77 | ( 19.29, 20.24 ) | 65+ | Travel time to work | 24.57 | ( 24.08, 25.06 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Travel time to work | 25.35 | ( 25.09, 25.62 ) | 18-34 | Travel time to work | 28.11 | ( 27.83, 28.38 ) |
| 35-64 | Travel time to work | 27.19 | ( 26.98, 27.40 ) | 35-64 | Travel time to work | 29.94 | ( 29.72, 30.17) |
| $65+$ | Travel time to work | 23.38 | ( 22.94, 23.81 ) | 65+ | Travel time to work | 26.13 | ( 25.68, 26.57 ) |
|  | Transportation to Work |  |  |  | Transportation to Work |  |  |
|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Private vehicle (alone) | 78.8 | ( 78.2, 79.5 ) | 18-34 | Private vehicle (alone) | 77.2 | ( 76.6, 77.8 ) |
|  | Private vehicle (carpool or taxi) | 10.5 | ( 10.0, 10.9 ) |  | Private vehicle (carpool or taxi) | 11.6 | (11.1, 12.1 ) |
|  | Public mode | 1.3 | ( 1.1, 1.5 ) |  | Public mode | 1.3 | (1.1, 1.5 ) |
|  | Walks or bicycles | 5.5 | ( 5.1, 5.9 ) |  | Walks or bicycles | 6.3 | ( 5.9, 6.6 ) |
|  | Other method | 0.7 | ( 0.5, 0.8 ) |  | Other method | 1.0 | ( 0.8, 1.1 ) |
|  | Works from home | 3.3 | ( 3.1, 3.5 ) |  | Works from home | 2.6 | ( $2.4,2.8$ ) |
| 35-64 | Private vehicle (alone) | 84.0 | ( 83.5, 84.4 ) | 35-64 | Private vehicle (alone) | 83.4 | ( 83.0, 83.8) |
|  | Private vehicle (carpool or taxi) | 7.4 | ( 7.1, 7.7 ) |  | Private vehicle (carpool or taxi) | 8.4 | $(8.0,8.7)$ |
|  | Public mode | 0.8 | ( 0.6, 0.9 ) |  | Public mode | 0.8 | ( 0.7, 0.9 ) |
|  | Walks or bicycles | 2.1 | ( 2.0, 2.3 ) |  | Walks or bicycles | 2.4 | ( 2.3, 2.6 ) |
|  | Other method | 0.5 | ( 0.4, 0.5 ) |  | Other method | 0.7 | $(0.6,0.8)$ |
|  | Works from home | 5.3 | ( 5.0, 5.6) |  | Works from home | 4.3 | ( 4.1, 4.5 ) |
| 65+ | Private vehicle (alone) | 82.3 | ( 81.5, 83.1 ) | 65+ | Private vehicle (alone) | 82.2 | (81.5, 83.0 ) |
|  | Private vehicle (carpool or taxi) | 5.8 | ( 5.3, 6.3 ) |  | Private vehicle (carpool or taxi) | 6.6 | ( 6.1, 7.1 ) |
|  | Public mode | 0.6 | ( 0.5, 0.7 ) |  | Public mode | 0.6 | ( 0.5, 0.7 ) |
|  | Walks or bicycles | 2.8 | ( $2.5,3.1$ ) |  | Walks or bicycles | 3.3 | ( $2.9,3.6$ ) |
|  | Other method | 0.5 | ( 0.3, 0.6 ) |  | Other method | 0.7 | ( 0.5, 0.9 ) |
|  | Works from home | 8.0 | ( 7.4, 8.6 ) |  | Works from home | 6.5 | ( 6.0, 7.0 ) |

Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

| Urban Women |  |  |  | Urban Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18-34 | Private vehicle (alone) | 67.2 | ( 66.6, 67.8 ) | 18-34 | Private vehicle (alone) | 69.7 | ( 69.1, 70.3 ) |
|  | Private vehicle (carpool or taxi) | 10.0 | ( 9.6, 10.3 ) |  | Private vehicle (carpool or taxi) | 10.2 | ( 9.8, 10.6 ) |
|  | Public mode | 11.4 | ( $10.9,11.9$ ) |  | Public mode | 9.1 | (8.6, 9.5 ) |
|  | Walks or bicycles | 7.3 | ( 7.0, 7.7 ) |  | Walks or bicycles | 7.2 | ( 6.8, 7.5 ) |
|  | Other method | 0.8 | $(0.6,0.9)$ |  | Other method | 0.9 | $(0.8,1.0)$ |
|  | Works from home | 3.3 | ( 3.1, 3.5 ) |  | Works from home | 3.0 | ( $2.9,3.2$ ) |
| 35-64 | Private vehicle (alone) | 75.9 | ( 75.5, 76.4 ) | 35-64 | Private vehicle (alone) | 78.0 | ( $77.6,78.4$ ) |
|  | Private vehicle (carpool or taxi) | 7.5 | ( 7.2, 7.7) |  | Private vehicle (carpool or taxi) | 7.6 | $(7.3,7.8)$ |
|  | Public mode | 7.3 | ( 7.1, 7.6 ) |  | Public mode | 5.8 | $(5.5,6.0)$ |
|  | Walks or bicycles | 3.0 | ( $2.8,3.1$ ) |  | Walks or bicycles | 2.9 | $(2.7,3.0)$ |
|  | Other method | 0.6 | (0.5, 0.6 ) |  | Other method | 0.7 | ( 0.6, 0.7 ) |
|  | Works from home | 5.7 | ( 5.5, 5.9 ) |  | Works from home | 5.2 | ( 4.9, 5.4) |
| 65+ | Private vehicle (alone) | 75.1 | ( 74.3, 76.0 ) | 65+ | Private vehicle (alone) | 77.1 | ( 76.3, 77.9 ) |
|  | Private vehicle (carpool or taxi) | 5.9 | ( 5.5, 6.4 ) |  | Private vehicle (carpool or taxi) | 6.0 | $(5.6,6.5)$ |
|  | Public mode | 5.6 | ( 5.1, 6.1 ) |  | Public mode | 4.4 | $(4.0,4.8)$ |
|  | Walks or bicycles | 4.0 | ( 3.6, 4.4 ) |  | Walks or bicycles | 3.9 | $(3.5,4.3)$ |
|  | Other method | 0.6 | ( 0.4, 0.8 ) |  | Other method | 0.7 | ( 0.5, 0.9 ) |
|  | Works from home | 8.7 | ( 8.1, 9.2 ) |  | Works from home | 7.9 | ( 7.4, 8.4 ) |

## Urban Men

Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

## Panel J. Needs

Family income relative to poverty threshold
Mean (95\% CI)

| Rural Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $18-34$ | Income-to-needs ratio | 270.2 | $(268.1,272.3)$ |
| $35-64$ | Income-to-needs ratio | 323.6 | $(322.0,325.3)$ |
| $65+$ | Income-to-needs ratio | 290.2 | $(288.5,292.0)$ |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | Income-to-needs ratio | 289.9 | $(288.0,291.8)$ |
| $35-64$ | Income-to-needs ratio | 343.4 | $(342.0,344.7)$ |
| $65+$ | Income-to-needs ratio | 310.0 | $(308.4,311.5)$ |

## Poverty status



|  | Family income relative to poverty threshold |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Income-to-needs ratio | 293.3 | ( 291.2, 295.5 ) |
| 35-64 | Income-to-needs ratio | 346.8 | ( 345.1, 348.5 ) |
| 65+ | Income-to-needs ratio | 313.4 | ( 311.6, 315.2 ) |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Income-to-needs ratio | 313.2 | ( 311.3, 315.0) |
| 35-64 | Income-to-needs ratio | 366.6 | ( 365.2, 368.0 ) |
| 65+ | Income-to-needs ratio | 333.2 | ( 331.6, 334.8 ) |
|  | Poverty status |  |  |
|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Poor | 14.6 | ( 14.0, 15.1 ) |
|  | Near poor | 16.8 | ( $16.4,17.3$ ) |
|  | Not poor | 68.6 | ( 67.9, 69.2 ) |
| 35-64 | Poor | 8.5 | ( 8.2, 8.8 ) |
|  | Near poor | 12.5 | ( 12.1, 12.8 ) |
|  | Not poor | 79.0 | ( 78.6, 79.5 ) |
| 65+ | Poor | 6.8 | ( 6.5, 7.1 ) |
|  | Near poor | 20.6 | ( 20.1, 21.1 ) |
|  | Not poor | 72.6 | ( 72.0, 73.1 ) |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Poor | 14.9 | ( 14.4, 15.3 ) |
|  | Near poor | 14.2 | ( 13.8, 14.6 ) |
|  | Not poor | 70.9 | ( 70.4, 71.4 ) |
| 35-64 | Poor | 8.6 | ( 8.3, 8.8 ) |
|  | Near poor | 10.5 | ( $10.2,10.7$ ) |
|  | Not poor | 81.0 | ( 80.6, 81.3 ) |
| 65+ | Poor | 7.0 | ( 6.7, 7.2 ) |
|  | Near poor | 17.5 | (17.1, 17.9 ) |
|  | Not poor | 75.5 | ( 75.0, 75.9 ) |

## Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

Family receives...

|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Welfare | 4.2 | ( 3.9, 4.5 ) | 18-34 | Welfare | 3.4 | $(3.2,3.7)$ |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 5.4 | ( 5.1, 5.6 ) |  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 5.1 | $(4.8,5.4)$ |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 12.0 | ( $11.6,12.3$ ) |  | Social Security or Railroad income | 10.6 | ( 10.3, 11.0) |
| 35-64 | Welfare | 3.1 | ( $2.9,3.3$ ) | 35-64 | Welfare | 2.5 | $(2.3,2.7)$ |
|  | Supplemental Security Income | 6.8 | ( 6.5, 7.0 ) |  | Supplemental Security Income | 6.4 | $(6.2,6.7)$ |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 23.6 | ( 23.2, 24.1 ) |  | Social Security or Railroad income | 21.3 | ( 20.9, 21.8 ) |
| $65+$ | Welfare | 2.2 | ( $2.0,2.3$ ) | 65+ | Welfare | 1.8 | $(1.6,1.9)$ |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 5.6 | ( 5.3, 5.8 ) |  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 5.3 | ( 5.0, 5.6) |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 93.2 | (93.0, 93.4 ) |  | Social Security or Railroad income | 92.3 | ( 92.0, 92.6 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  | an Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Welfare | 5.2 | (4.9, 5.5 ) | 18-34 | Welfare | 3.7 | $(3.5,4.0)$ |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 5.9 | ( 5.7, 6.2 ) |  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 5.3 | ( $5.0,5.5$ ) |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 9.9 | ( 9.6, 10.2 ) |  | Social Security or Railroad income | 9.1 | ( 8.8, 9.4) |
| 35-64 | Welfare | 3.8 | ( 3.7, 4.0 ) | 35-64 | Welfare | 2.7 | $(2.6,2.9)$ |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 7.5 | ( 7.2, 7.7) |  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 6.7 | ( 6.4, 6.9 ) |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 20.0 | (19.6, 20.3 ) |  | Social Security or Railroad income | 18.6 | ( 18.2, 19.0 ) |
| 65+ | Welfare | 2.7 | ( $2.6,2.9$ ) | $65+$ | Welfare | 1.9 | $(1.8,2.1)$ |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 6.2 | ( 5.9, 6.4 ) |  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 5.5 | $(5.3,5.7)$ |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 91.7 | ( 91.4, 91.9 ) |  | Social Security or Railroad income | 91.0 | ( 90.7, 91.3 ) |

## Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

Household receives...


Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

## Panel K. Health

Health Insurance

|  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | Uninsured | 9.3 | $(8.9,9.7)$ |
|  | Insured | 90.7 | $(90.3,91.1)$ |
| $65-64$ | Uninsured | 6.0 | $(5.7,6.2)$ |
|  | Insured | 94.0 | $(93.8,94.3)$ |
|  | Uninsured | 0.3 | $(0.3,0.4)$ |
|  | Insured | 99.7 | $(99.6,99.7)$ |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | Uninsured | 9.3 | $(9.0,9.6)$ |
|  | Insured | 90.7 | $(90.4,91.0)$ |
| $35-64$ | Uninsured | 6.0 | $(5.8,6.2)$ |
|  | Insured | 94.0 | $(93.8,94.2)$ |
| $65+$ | Uninsured | 0.3 | $(0.3,0.4)$ |
|  | Insured | 99.7 | $(99.6,99.7)$ |

## Disability Type and Status

| Rural Women |  | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 18-34 | Any disability | 7.5 |
|  | Vision difficulty | 1.2 |
|  | Hearing difficulty | 0.7 |
|  | Mobility impairment | 1.7 |
|  | Cognitive impairment | 5.0 |
|  | Self-care limitation | 0.9 |
|  | Independent living restriction | 3.1 |
|  | No disability | 92.5 |
|  | Any disability | 14.5 |
|  | Vision difficulty | 2.6 |
|  | Hearing difficulty | 2.3 |
|  | Mobility impairment | 8.7 |
|  | Cognitive impairment | 5.8 |
|  | Self-care limitation | 2.6 |
|  | Independent living restriction | 5.6 |
|  | No disability | 85.5 |


| (95\% CI) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Men |  |  |
| ( $7.3,7.8$ ) | 18-34 | Any disability |
| (1.1, 1.3 ) |  | Vision difficulty |
| (0.7, 0.8 ) |  | Hearing difficulty |
| $(1.6,1.8)$ |  | Mobility impairment |
| ( 4.8, 5.3 ) |  | Cognitive impairment |
| ( 0.8, 1.0 ) |  | Self-care limitation |
| ( 3.0, 3.3 ) |  | Independent living restriction |
| ( 92.2, 92.7 ) |  | No disability |
| ( 14.2, 14.9 ) | 35-64 | Any disability |
| ( 2.4, 2.7 ) |  | Vision difficulty |
| ( 2.2, 2.4 ) |  | Hearing difficulty |
| ( 8.5, 9.0 ) |  | Mobility impairment |
| ( 5.6, 6.0 ) |  | Cognitive impairment |
| ( $2.5,2.8$ ) |  | Self-care limitation |
| ( 5.4, 5.8 ) |  | Independent living restriction |
| ( 85.1, 85.8 ) |  | No disability |
| Profile of Rur | a Wom |  |


| Percent | $(95 \% \mathrm{Cl})$ |
| ---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 12.1 | $(11.6,12.6)$ |
| 87.9 | $(87.4,88.4)$ |
| 7.8 | $(7.5,8.1)$ |
| 92.2 | $(91.9,92.5)$ |
| 0.5 | $(0.4,0.5)$ |
| 99.5 | $(99.5,99.6)$ |
|  |  |
| 13.1 | $(12.6,13.5)$ |
| 86.9 | $(86.5,87.4)$ |
| 8.5 | $(8.2,8.8)$ |
| 91.5 | $(91.2,91.8)$ |
| 0.5 | $(0.4,0.6)$ |
| 99.5 | $(99.4,99.6)$ |


| Percent | $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ |
| ---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 8.0 | $(7.8,8.3)$ |
| 1.2 | $(1.1,1.3)$ |
| 1.5 | $(1.3,1.6)$ |
| 1.3 | $(1.2,1.4)$ |
| 4.8 | $(4.5,5.0)$ |
| 0.8 | $(0.7,0.9)$ |
| 2.3 | $(2.2,2.4)$ |
| 92.0 | $(91.7,92.2)$ |
| 15.4 | $(15.1,15.8)$ |
| 2.4 | $(2.3,2.6)$ |
| 4.6 | $(4.4,4.8)$ |
| 7.0 | $(6.8,7.2)$ |
| 5.5 | $(5.3,5.7)$ |
| 2.4 | $(2.2,2.5)$ |
| 4.2 | $(4.0,4.4)$ |
| 84.6 | $(84.2,84.9)$ |

## Table B4. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2014-2018, continued.

|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $65+$ | Any disability | 35.1 | ( $34.5,35.7$ ) | 65+ | Any disability | 36.7 | ( 36.1, 37.3 ) |
|  | Vision difficulty | 6.0 | ( 5.7, 6.3 ) |  | Vision difficulty | 5.7 | ( 5.4, 6.1 ) |
|  | Hearing difficulty | 12.3 | ( 11.9, 12.8 ) |  | Hearing difficulty | 22.0 | ( 21.5, 22.6 ) |
|  | Mobility impairment | 23.3 | ( 22.8, 23.9 ) |  | Mobility impairment | 19.3 | ( 18.8, 19.9 ) |
|  | Cognitive impairment | 8.4 | ( 8.1, 8.8 ) |  | Cognitive impairment | 8.0 | ( 7.7, 8.3 ) |
|  | Self-care limitation | 7.4 | ( 7.1, 7.8 ) |  | Self-care limitation | 6.7 | ( 6.4, 7.0 ) |
|  | Independent living restriction | 15.7 | ( $15.2,16.2$ ) |  | Independent living restriction | 12.0 | ( 11.5, 12.4 ) |
|  | No disability | 64.9 | ( $64.3,65.5$ ) |  | No disability | 63.3 | ( 62.7, 63.9 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Any disability | 7.1 | ( 6.8, 7.3 ) | 18-34 | Any disability | 6.7 | ( 6.5, 6.9 ) |
|  | Vision difficulty | 1.2 | (1.1, 1.3 ) |  | Vision difficulty | 1.1 | (1.0, 1.2 ) |
|  | Hearing difficulty | 0.6 | $(0.6,0.7)$ |  | Hearing difficulty | 0.9 | ( 0.9, 1.0 ) |
|  | Mobility impairment | 1.7 | ( $1.5,1.8$ ) |  | Mobility impairment | 1.2 | ( 1.1, 1.3 ) |
|  | Cognitive impairment | 4.8 | ( 4.6, 5.0 ) |  | Cognitive impairment | 4.5 | ( 4.3, 4.7 ) |
|  | Self-care limitation | 1.0 | ( 0.9, 1.1 ) |  | Self-care limitation | 0.8 | ( 0.7, 0.9 ) |
|  | Independent living restriction | 3.2 | ( 3.1, 3.4 ) |  | Independent living restriction | 2.4 | ( 2.2, 2.5 ) |
|  | No disability | 92.9 | ( 92.7, 93.2 ) |  | No disability | 93.3 | ( 93.1, 93.5 ) |
| 35-64 | Any disability | 13.7 | ( $13.5,14.0$ ) | 35-64 | Any disability | 13.0 | ( 12.7, 13.3) |
|  | Vision difficulty | 2.5 | ( 2.4, 2.6 ) |  | Vision difficulty | 2.2 | ( 2.1, 2.4 ) |
|  | Hearing difficulty | 2.0 | (1.9, 2.1 ) |  | Hearing difficulty | 3.0 | ( 2.9, 3.1 ) |
|  | Mobility impairment | 8.6 | ( 8.4, 8.8 ) |  | Mobility impairment | 6.5 | ( 6.3, 6.7 ) |
|  | Cognitive impairment | 5.5 | ( 5.3, 5.7) |  | Cognitive impairment | 5.2 | ( 5.0, 5.4) |
|  | Self-care limitation | 2.9 | ( $2.8,3.0$ ) |  | Self-care limitation | 2.4 | ( $2.3,2.5$ ) |
|  | Independent living restriction | 5.8 | ( 5.6, 6.0 ) |  | Independent living restriction | 4.3 | ( 4.1, 4.4 ) |
|  | No disability | 86.3 | (86.0, 86.5 ) |  | No disability | 87.0 | ( 86.7, 87.3 ) |
| $65+$ | Any disability | 33.6 | ( 33.1, 34.1 ) | 65+ | Any disability | 32.2 | ( 31.7, 32.7 ) |
|  | Vision difficulty | 5.9 | ( 5.7, 6.2 ) |  | Vision difficulty | 5.3 | ( $5.1,5.6$ ) |
|  | Hearing difficulty | 10.8 | ( 10.4, 11.1 ) |  | Hearing difficulty | 15.3 | ( 14.9, 15.8 ) |
|  | Mobility impairment | 23.0 | ( 22.6, 23.5 ) |  | Mobility impairment | 18.1 | ( $17.6,18.5$ ) |
|  | Cognitive impairment | 8.0 | ( $7.7,8.3$ ) |  | Cognitive impairment | 7.6 | ( $7.3,7.9$ ) |
|  | Self-care limitation | 8.1 | (7.7, 8.4 ) |  | Self-care limitation | 6.8 | ( 6.5, 7.1 ) |
|  | Independent living restriction | 16.1 | ( $15.7,16.5$ ) |  | Independent living restriction | 12.2 | ( 11.8, 12.5 ) |
|  | No disability | 66.4 | ( 65.9, 66.9 ) |  | No disability | 67.8 | ( 67.3, 68.3 ) |

Notes:

* Model-based estimates -- Estimate practically zero + Not estimable by age group

2014-2018

| Rank | Code* | Occupational Title | Percent | $\operatorname{Pr}\{\mathrm{A}\} \ddagger$ | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural Women | 22.2 | 0.545 |  |
|  | 15700 | Secretaries and Administrative Assistants | 4.4 | 0.96 | 1 |
|  | 23130 | Registered Nurses | 3.1 | 0.009 | 3 |
|  | 34720 | Cashiers | 2.6 | 0.97 | 2 |
|  | 42310 | Elementary and Middle School Teachers | 2.5 | 0.0044 | 4 |
|  | 53600 | Nursing, Psychiatric, and Home Health Aides | 2.2 | 0.43 | 5 |
|  | 64700 | First-line Supervisors of Sales Workers | 1.6 | 0.28 | 7 |
|  | 74760 | Retail Salespersons | 1.6 | 0.92 | 6 |
|  | 84110 | Waiters and Waitresses | 1.5 | 0.94 | 8 |
|  | 93500 | Licensed Practical and Licensed Vocational Nurses | 1.4 | 0.058 | 11 |
| 10 | 04610 | Personal Care Aides | 1.4 | 0.74 | 19 |
|  |  | Urban Women | 21.8 | 0.521 |  |
|  | 15700 | Secretaries and Administrative Assistants | 3.8 | 0.96 | 1 |
|  | 23130 | Registered Nurses | 3.3 | 0.009 | 2 |
|  | 32310 | Elementary and Middle School Teachers | 2.8 | 0.0044 | 3 |
|  | 44720 | Cashiers | 2.3 | 0.97 | 4 |
|  | 54760 | Retail Salespersons | 1.8 | 0.92 | 5 |
|  | 65240 | Customer Service Representatives | 1.7 | 0.55 | 7 |
|  | 73600 | Nursing, Psychiatric, and Home Health Aides | 1.6 | 0.43 | 6 |
|  | 84700 | First-line Supervisors of Sales Workers | 1.6 | 0.28 | 8 |
|  | 9430 | Managers, not elsewhere classified (including Postmasters) | 1.5 | 0.25 | 16 |
| 10 | 04110 | Waiters and Waitresses | 1.5 | 0.94 | 9 |
|  |  | Rural Men | 21.8 | 0.725 |  |
|  | 19130 | Driver/Sales Workers and Truck Drivers | 4.9 | 0.82 | 1 |
|  | 29620 | Laborers and Freight, Stock, and Material Movers (hand) | 3.5 | 0.85 | 2 |
|  | 3430 | Managers, not elsewhere classified (including Postmasters) | 2.1 | 0.25 | 7 |
|  | 44220 | Janitors and Building Cleaners | 2.0 | 0.66 | 4 |
|  | 54700 | First-line Supervisors of Sales Workers | 1.9 | 0.28 | 3 |
|  | 66260 | Construction Laborers | 1.9 | 0.88 | 5 |
|  | 74760 | Retail Salespersons | 1.4 | 0.92 | 8 |
|  | 86230 | Carpenters | 1.4 | 0.72 | 6 |
|  | 94250 | Grounds Maintenance Workers | 1.3 | 0.95 | 13 |
| 10 | 08965 | Other Production Workers § | 1.3 | 0.91 | 9 |
|  |  | Urban Men | 19.3 | 0.557 |  |
|  | 19130 | Driver/Sales Workers and Truck Drivers | 3.1 | 0.82 | 1 |
|  | 2430 | Managers, not elsewhere classified (including Postmasters) | 2.9 | 0.25 | 3 |
|  | 34700 | First-line Supervisors of Sales Workers | 2.3 | 0.28 | 2 |
|  | 49620 | Laborers and Freight, Stock, and Material Movers (hand) | 2.2 | 0.85 | 4 |
|  | 54220 | Janitors and Building Cleaners | 1.8 | 0.66 | 5 |
|  | 64760 | Retail Salespersons | 1.7 | 0.92 | 6 |
|  | 74000 | Chefs and Cooks | 1.5 | 0.10 | 10 |
|  | 86260 | Construction Laborers | 1.3 | 0.88 | 9 |
|  | 91000 | Computer Scientists and Systems Analysts $\dagger \dagger$ | 1.3 | 0.117 | 22 |
| 10 | 05620 | Stock Clerks and Order Fillers | 1.2 | 0.64 | 12 |

2005-2009


Notes: * Census Occupational Codes, 2010.
$\ddagger \operatorname{Pr}\{A\}$ denotes the probability of automation within the occupation.
$\S$ Other production workers, including semiconductor processors and cooling and freezing equipment operators $\dagger \dagger$ Computer Scientists and Systems Analysts, including Network Systems Analysts and Web Developers

Table B6. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2005-2009.

## Panel A. Demographics



Table B6. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2005-2009, continued.
Hispanic ethnicity


Table B6. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2005-2009, continued.

Panel B. Family

## Family size and composition

|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Total persons | 2.90 | ( 2.88, 2.92 ) |
|  | Children (ages 0-17) | 0.75 | ( 0.74, 0.76 ) |
|  | Pre-school children (ages 0-4) | 0.29 | ( 0.29, 0.30 ) |
| 35-64 | Total persons | 2.82 | ( 2.80, 2.83 ) |
|  | Children (ages 0-17) | 0.73 | ( 0.72, 0.74 ) |
|  | Pre-school children (ages 0-4) | 0.10 | ( 0.10, 0.10 ) |
| 65+ | Total persons | 1.87 | ( $1.86,1.89$ ) |
|  | Children (ages 0-17) | 0.08 | (0.07, 0.09 ) |
|  | Pre-school children (ages 0-4) | 0.00 | ( 0.00, 0.01 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Total persons | 2.94 | ( 2.92, 2.96 ) |
|  | Children (ages 0-17) | 0.79 | (0.78, 0.80 ) |
|  | Pre-school children (ages 0-4) | 0.30 | ( 0.29, 0.30 ) |
| 35-64 | Total persons | 2.86 | ( 2.84, 2.87 ) |
|  | Children (ages 0-17) | 0.77 | ( 0.76, 0.78 ) |
|  | Pre-school children (ages 0-4) | 0.11 | (0.10, 0.11 ) |
| 65+ | Total persons | 1.92 | ( 1.90, 1.93 ) |
|  | Children (ages 0-17) | 0.12 | (0.11, 0.12 ) |
|  | Pre-school children (ages 0-4) | 0.01 | (0.01, 0.01 ) |

## Living arrangements

| Percent | $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 11.1 | $(10.7,11.4)$ |
| 12.3 | $(12.1,12.6)$ |
| 29.1 | $(28.5,29.6)$ |
|  |  |
| 13.1 | $(12.7,13.4)$ |
| 14.5 | $(14.2,14.7)$ |
| 33.1 | $(32.5,33.6)$ |

Table B6. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2005-2009, continued.


Table B6. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2005-2009, continued.


Table B6. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2005-2009, continued.

## Panel C. Home and Housing

Type of housing unit

|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Detached, single-family home | 58.2 | ( 57.5, 58.8) |
|  | Attached/row/two-family home | 12.2 | ( 11.8, 12.6 ) |
|  | Multi-unit building | 11.9 | ( 11.4, 12.4) |
|  | Other | 17.7 | ( 17.2, 18.2) |
| 35-64 | Detached, single-family home | 79.4 | ( 79.1, 79.8 ) |
|  | Attached/row/two-family home | 8.5 | ( 8.2, 8.7 ) |
|  | Multi-unit building | 4.9 | ( 4.7, 5.1 ) |
|  | Other | 7.1 | ( 6.9, 7.4 ) |
| 65+ | Detached, single-family home | 75.0 | ( 74.5, 75.5 ) |
|  | Attached/row/two-family home | 7.9 | ( 7.6, 8.2 ) |
|  | Multi-unit building | 9.1 | ( 8.7, 9.4 ) |
|  | Other | 8.0 | ( 7.7, 8.3 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Detached, single-family home | 38.0 | ( 37.5, 38.6 ) |
|  | Attached/row/two-family home | 36.2 | ( 35.6, 36.8 ) |
|  | Multi-unit building | 19.2 | ( 18.7, 19.7) |
|  | Other | 6.6 | ( 6.3, 6.8) |
| 35-64 | Detached, single-family home | 59.2 | ( 58.8, 59.7) |
|  | Attached/row/two-family home | 28.7 | ( 28.3, 29.1 ) |
|  | Multi-unit building | 9.1 | ( 8.8, 9.3 ) |
|  | Other | 3.0 | ( $2.9,3.1$ ) |
| 65+ | Detached, single-family home | 54.5 | ( 53.9, 55.0) |
|  | Attached/row/two-family home | 26.0 | ( 25.5, 26.5 ) |
|  | Multi-unit building | 16.2 | ( 15.8, 16.6 ) |
|  | Other | 3.3 | ( 3.1, 3.5 ) |

Type of housing unit

| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18-34 | Detached, single-family home | 60.9 | ( 60.3, 61.6) |
|  | Attached/row/two-family home | 11.1 | ( 10.7, 11.5) |
|  | Multi-unit building | 9.8 | ( 9.3, 10.3) |
|  | Other | 18.2 | ( $17.6,18.7$ ) |
| 35-64 | Detached, single-family home | 81.3 | ( 80.9, 81.7 ) |
|  | Attached/row/two-family home | 7.5 | $(7.3,7.8)$ |
|  | Multi-unit building | 4.0 | $(3.8,4.2)$ |
|  | Other | 7.2 | (6.9, 7.4) |
| 65+ | Detached, single-family home | 77.4 | ( 76.9, 77.9 ) |
|  | Attached/row/two-family home | 7.1 | $(6.8,7.4)$ |
|  | Multi-unit building | 7.4 | $(7.0,7.7)$ |
|  | Other | 8.1 | ( 7.8, 8.5 ) |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Detached, single-family home | 41.7 | ( 41.1, 42.3 ) |
|  | Attached/row/two-family home | 33.8 | ( 33.2, 34.4 ) |
|  | Multi-unit building | 17.9 | ( 17.4, 18.4 ) |
|  | Other | 6.6 | $(6.3,6.9)$ |
| 35-64 | Detached, single-family home | 63.0 | ( 62.5, 63.4 ) |
|  | Attached/row/two-family home | 25.9 | ( 25.5, 26.3 ) |
|  | Multi-unit building | 8.2 | ( 7.9, 8.4 ) |
|  | Other | 2.9 | $(2.8,3.1)$ |
| $65+$ | Detached, single-family home | 58.3 | ( $57.8,58.9$ ) |
|  | Attached/row/two-family home | 23.7 | ( 23.2, 24.2 ) |
|  | Multi-unit building | 14.7 | ( 14.3, 15.2) |
|  | Other | 3.2 | ( 3.1, 3.4 ) |



## Housing Tenure

## Rural Women

| 18-34 | Owned, free and clear | 13.3 | ( 12.9, 13.6 ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Owned, with mortgage/load | 36.8 | ( 36.2, 37.4 ) |
|  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 12.6 | ( $12.3,13.0$ ) |
|  | Pays rent | 34.8 | ( 34.1, 35.5 ) |
|  | Rent, non-cash | 2.5 | $(2.3,2.7)$ |
| 35-64 | Owned, free and clear | 25.6 | ( 25.2, 26.0 ) |
|  | Owned, with mortgage/load | 41.8 | ( 41.3, 42.3 ) |
|  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 15.9 | ( 15.6, 16.3 ) |
|  | Pays rent | 15.0 | ( 14.7, 15.4 ) |
|  | Rent, non-cash | 1.6 | $(1.5,1.7)$ |
| $65+$ | Owned, free and clear | 64.4 | ( 63.9, 65.0 ) |
|  | Owned, with mortgage/load | 16.0 | ( 15.7, 16.4 ) |
|  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 3.7 | $(3.6,3.9)$ |
|  | Pays rent | 12.9 | ( $12.5,13.3$ ) |
|  | Rent, non-cash | 2.9 | ( 2.7, 3.1 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Owned, free and clear | 9.0 | (8.7, 9.3 ) |
|  | Owned, with mortgage/load | 33.8 | ( 33.3, 34.4 ) |
|  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 13.7 | ( 13.4, 14.1) |
|  | Pays rent | 42.0 | ( 41.4, 42.7 ) |
|  | Rent, non-cash | 1.4 | $(1.3,1.5)$ |
| 35-64 | Owned, free and clear | 18.9 | (18.6, 19.2 ) |
|  | Owned, with mortgage/load | 41.7 | ( 41.2, 42.1 ) |
|  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 18.7 | (18.4, 19.1 ) |
|  | Pays rent | 19.7 | (19.4, 20.1 ) |
|  | Rent, non-cash | 1.0 | (0.9, 1.1) |
| $65+$ | Owned, free and clear | 54.9 | ( 54.3, 55.4 ) |
|  | Owned, with mortgage/load | 18.5 | ( 18.1, 18.9 ) |
|  | Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan | 5.1 | $(4.9,5.3$ ) |
|  | Pays rent | 19.6 | (19.1, 20.0 ) |
|  | Rent, non-cash | 2.0 | (1.9, 2.2 ) |

Housing Tenure

## Rural Men

18-34

| Owned, free and clear | 13.9 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Owned, with mortgage/load | 39.3 |
| Owned, with 2 |  |
| nd mortgage/loan | 13.3 |
| Pays rent | 31.0 |
| Rent, non-cash | 2.5 |
| Owned, free and clear | 26.0 |
| Owned, with mortgage/load | 43.2 |
| Owned, with 2 |  |
| nd mortgage/loan | 16.3 |
| Pays rent | 13.0 |
| Rent, non-cash | 1.6 |
| Owned, free and clear | 65.6 |
| Owned, with mortgage/load | 16.6 |
| Owned, with 2 |  |
| nd | 3.8 |
| Pays rent | 11.2 |
| Rent, non-cash | 2.8 |

18-34
Owned, free and clear
9.7

Owned, with mortgage/load 35.6
Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan
Pays rent
Rent, non-cash
Owned, free and clear
Owned, with mortgage/load
1.4

Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan
Pays rent
Rent, non-cash 0.9

Owned, free and clear 56.8
Owned, with mortgage/load
18.7

Owned, with $2^{\text {nd }}$ mortgage/loan
Pays rent
17.4

Rent, non-cash
1.9
(95\% CI)
(13.5, 14.3 )
( 38.6, 39.9 )
(12.9, 13.7 )
(30.3, 31.7 )
(2.3, 2.7 )
(25.6, 26.4 )
(42.7, 43.7 )
(15.9, 16.7 )
(12.6, 13.3 )
(1.4, 1.7 )
(65.0, 66.2 )
(16.2, 17.0 )
(3.6, 4.0 )
(10.8, 11.6 )
( $2.6,3.0$ )
(9.4, 10.0)
(35.0, 36.2 )
(14.3, 15.1 )
(38.0, 39.3 )
(1.2, 1.5 )
(19.3, 20.0 )
(42.0, 42.9 )
(19.1, 19.8 )
(17.2, 17.9 )
(0.9, 1.0)
(56.2, 57.4 )
(18.3, 19.2 )
(5.0, 5.5 )
(16.9, 17.8 )
(1.7, 2.1 )

Table B6. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2005-2009, continued.
Housing value (2009 dollars)

| Rural Women |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| $18-34$ | Home value |
| $35-64$ | Home value |
| $65+$ | Home value |
| Urban Women |  |
| $18-34$ | Home value |
| $35-64$ | Home value |
| $65+$ | Home value |


| Rural Women |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| $18-34$ | Home value |
| $35-64$ | Home value |
| $65+$ | Home value |
| Urban Women |  |
| $18-34$ | Home value |
| $35-64$ | Home value |
| $65+$ | Home value |

Housing costs (2009 dollars)

## Rural Women

| 18-34 | Owner-occupied monthly costs |
| :--- | :--- |
| $35-64$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs |
| $65+$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs |

Urban Women

| 18-34 | Owner-occupied monthly costs |
| :--- | :--- |
| 35-64 | Owner-occupied monthly costs |

Mean
(95\% CI)

| $\$ 144,691.40$ | $(\$ 142,747.20, \$ 146,635.60)$ | $18-34$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 169,405.90$ | $(\$ 168,007.90, \$ 170,804.00)$ | $35-64$ |
| $\$ 134,198.60$ | $(\$ 132,363.50, \$ 136,033.70)$ | $65+$ |
|  |  | Urban Men |
| $\$ 217,331.60$ | $(\$ 215,281.90, \$ 219,381.20)$ | $18-34$ |
| $\$ 242,046.10$ | $(\$ 240,493.40, \$ 243,598.80)$ | $35-64$ |
| $\$ 206,838.70$ | $(\$ 204,976.70, \$ 208,700.70)$ | $65+$ |


| $\$ 169,288.94$ | $(\$ 167,014.22, \$ 171,563.65)$ | $18-34$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 198,204.90$ | $(\$ 196,569.24, \$ 199,840.68)$ | $35-64$ |
| $\$ 157,012.36$ | $(\$ 154,865.30, \$ 159,159.43)$ | $65+$ |
|  |  | Urban Men |
| $\$ 254,277.97$ | $(\$ 251,879.82, \$ 256,676.00)$ | $18-34$ |
| $\$ 283,193.94$ | $(\$ 281,377.28, \$ 285,010.60)$ | $35-64$ |
| $\$ 242,001.28$ | $(\$ 239,822.74, \$ 244,179.82)$ | $65+$ |


| Mean | $(95 \% \mathrm{Cl})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 1,125.74$ | $(\$ 1,115.33, \$ 1,136.14)$ |
| $\$ 1,126.84$ | $(\$ 1,119.15, \$ 1,134.52)$ |
| $\$ 522.12$ | $(\$ 513.69, \$ 530.54)$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 1,491.47$ | $(\$ 1,480.31, \$ 1,502.62)$ |
| $\$ 1,492.57$ | $(\$ 1,483.96, \$ 1,501.18)$ |
| $\$ 887.85$ | $(\$ 879.73, \$ 895.97)$ |


|  | Housing value (2009 dollars) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  |  |  | Mean |

Housing value (2009 dollars)

Table B6. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2005-2009, continued.

| Rural Women |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $18-34$ | Rent per month |
| $35-64$ | Rent per month |
| $65+$ | Rent per month |
| Urban Women |  |
| $18-34$ | Rent per month |
| $35-64$ | Rent per month |
| $65+$ | Rent per month |
|  |  |
| Rural Women |  |
| $18-35-64$ | Mobile home annual costs |
| $65+$ | Mobile home annual costs |
| Urban Women |  |
| $18-34$ |  |
| $35-64$ |  |
| $65+$ | Mobile home annual costs |


| Rural Women |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $18-34$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs |
| $35-64$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs |
| $65+$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs |
| Urban Women |  |
| $18-34$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs |
| $35-64$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs |
| $65+$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs |


| Mean | $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\$ 563.10$ | $(\$ 550.00, \$ 576.19)$ |
| $\$ 489.03$ | $(\$ 478.18, \$ 499.89)$ |
| $\$ 537.41$ | $(\$ 521.82, \$ 553.01)$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 752.82$ | $(\$ 743.80, \$ 761.83)$ |
| $\$ 678.76$ | $(\$ 671.22, \$ 686.29)$ |
| $\$ 727.14$ | $(\$ 713.18, \$ 741.10)$ |

Rural Women

| $18-34$ | Rent per month | $\$ 658.82$ | $(\$ 643.50, \$ 674.14)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $35-64$ | Rent per month | $\$ 572.17$ | $(\$ 559.47, \$ 584.87)$ |
| $65+$ | Rent per month | $\$ 628.77$ | $(\$ 610.53, \$ 647.02)$ |
| Women |  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | Rent per month | $\$ 880.80$ | $(\$ 870.25, \$ 891.35)$ |
| $35-64$ | Rent per month | $\$ 794.14$ | $(\$ 785.33, \$ 802.96)$ |
| $65+$ | Rent per month | $\$ 850.75$ | $(\$ 834.41, \$ 867.08)$ |

(95\% CI)

| $\$ 1,317.11$ | $(\$ 1,304.94, \$ 1,329.28)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 1,318.40$ | $(\$ 1,309.41, \$ 1,327.39)$ |
| $\$ 610.88$ | $(\$ 601.02, \$ 620.73)$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 1,745.02$ | $(\$ 1,731.97, \$ 1,758.07)$ |
| $\$ 1,746.30$ | $(\$ 1,736.23, \$ 1,756.38)$ |
| $\$ 1,038.78$ | $(\$ 1,029.29, \$ 1,048.28)$ |


| Rural Men |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $18-34$ | Rent per month |
| $35-64$ | Rent per month |
| $65+$ | Rent per month |
| Urban Men |  |
| $18-34$ | Rent per month |
| $35-64$ | Rent per month |
| $65+$ | Rent per month |

Mean
(95\% CI)

| Rural Men |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $18-34$ | Mobile home annual costs |
| $35-64$ | Mobile home annual costs |
| $65+$ | Mobile home annual costs |
| Urban Men |  |
| $18-34$ | Mobile home annual costs |
| $35-64$ | Mobile home annual costs |
| $65+$ | Mobile home annual costs |

Housing costs (2018 dollars)

|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs | $\$ 1,327.81$ | $(\$ 1,315.13, \$ 1,340.48)$ |
| $35-64$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs | $\$ 1,329.10$ | $(\$ 1,319.34, \$ 1,338.85)$ |
| $65+$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs | $\$ 621.57$ | $(\$ 610.80, \$ 632.34)$ |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs | $\$ 1,779.60$ | $(\$ 1,765.99, \$ 1,793.21)$ |
| $35-64$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs | $\$ 1,780.89$ | $(\$ 1,770.11, \$ 1,791.65)$ |
| $65+$ | Owner-occupied monthly costs | $\$ 1,073.36$ | $(\$ 1,063.28, \$ 1,083.45)$ |


| $\$ 581.30$ | $(\$ 566.45, \$ 596.16)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 507.24$ | $(\$ 494.90, \$ 519.58)$ |
| $\$ 555.62$ | $(\$ 537.94, \$ 573.30)$ |
| $\$ 778.10$ | $(\$ 768.73, \$ 787.48)$ |
| $\$ 704.04$ | $(\$ 695.62, \$ 712.46)$ |
| $\$ 752.42$ | $(\$ 737.61, \$ 767.24)$ |
|  |  |
| Mean |  |
|  | $(95 \%$ CI) |
|  |  |
|  | $(\$ 1,799.78, \$ 2,019.90)$ |
| $1,909.84$ | $(\$ 1,764.69, \$ 1,929.26)$ |
| $1,846.98$ | $(\$ 1,752.62, \$ 1,976.33)$ |
| $\$ 3,350.67$ | $(\$ 3,185.11, \$ 3,516.23)$ |
| $3,287.81$ | $(\$ 3,140.99, \$ 3,434.63)$ |
| $\$ 3,305.30$ | $(\$ 3,148.55, \$ 3,462.06)$ |

## Mean <br> (95\% CI)

| $\$ 680.13$ | $(\$ 662.75, \$ 697.51)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 593.47$ | $(\$ 579.04, \$ 607.91)$ |
| $\$ 650.08$ | $(\$ 629.39, \$ 670.77)$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 910.38$ | $(\$ 899.41, \$ 921.35)$ |
| $\$ 823.73$ | $(\$ 813.88, \$ 833.58)$ |
| $\$ 880.33$ | $(\$ 863.00, \$ 897.67)$ |

Table B6. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2005-2009, continued.

|  | Mean (95\% CI) |  |  |  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 2,276.45 | ( \$ 2,142.03, \$ 2,410.86 ) | 18-34 | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 2,234.51 | ( \$ 2,105.74, \$ 2,363.29 ) |
| 35-64 | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 2,202.90 | ( \$ 2,106.70, \$ 2,299.10 ) | 35-64 | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 2,160.96 | ( \$ 2,064.69, \$ 2,257.24) |
| 65+ | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 2,223.37 | ( \$ 2,093.25, \$ 2,353.49 ) | 65+ | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 2,181.43 | ( \$ 2,050.56, \$ 2,312.30 ) |
| Urban Women | Urban Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 3,926.01 | ( \$ 3,738.72, \$ 4,113.29) | 18-34 | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 3,920.29 | ( \$ 3,726.58, \$ 4,113.99 ) |
| 35-64 | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 3,852.46 | ( \$ 3,694.25, \$ 4,010.67) | 35-64 | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 3,846.74 | ( \$ 3,674.96, \$ 4,018.51 ) |
| $65+$ | Mobile home annual costs | \$3,872.93 | ( \$ 3,698.99, \$ 4,046.87 ) | $65+$ | Mobile home annual costs | \$ 3,867.20 | ( \$ 3,683.80, \$ 4,050.61 ) |
| Utility costs (annual, 2009 dollars) |  |  |  |  | Utility costs (annual, 2009 dollars) |  |  |
|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Electricity costs | \$1,336.20 | ( \$ 1,324.61, \$ 1,347.79 ) | 18-34 | Electricity costs | \$ 1,351.70 | ( \$ 1,339.99, \$ 1,363.41 ) |
| 35-64 | Electricity costs | \$ 1,425.90 | ( \$ 1,417.20, \$ 1,434.61) | 35-64 | Electricity costs | \$ 1,441.40 | ( \$ 1,432.41, \$ 1,450.38) |
| 65+ | Electricity costs | \$ 1,080.38 | ( \$ 1,069.87, \$ 1,090.90 ) | 65+ | Electricity costs | \$ 1,095.88 | ( \$ 1,084.95, \$ 1,106.81 ) |
| Urban Women | Urban Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Electricity costs | \$ 1,542.63 | ( \$ 1,530.47, \$ 1,554.80) | 18-34 | Electricity costs | \$ 1,575.76 | ( \$ 1,562.86, \$ 1,588.66 ) |
| 35-64 | Electricity costs | \$ 1,632.33 | ( \$ 1,623.20, \$ 1,641.47) | 35-64 | Electricity costs | \$ 1,665.46 | ( \$ 1,655.64, \$ 1,675.27) |
| $65+$ | Electricity costs | \$ 1,286.81 | ( \$ 1,276.46, \$ 1,297.17) | $65+$ | Electricity costs | \$ 1,319.94 | ( \$ 1,309.04, \$ 1,330.84 ) |
|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Gas costs | \$ 1,694.40 | ( \$ 1,662.04, \$ 1,726.77 ) | 18-34 | Gas costs | \$ 1,684.58 | ( \$ 1,651.77, \$ 1,717.39 ) |
| 35-64 | Gas costs | \$1,701.59 | ( \$ 1,680.26, \$ 1,722.93) | 35-64 | Gas costs | \$ 1,691.77 | ( \$ 1,669.58, \$ 1,713.96) |
| $65+$ | Gas costs | \$ 1,610.29 | ( \$ 1,580.95, \$ 1,639.63) | 65+ | Gas costs | \$ 1,600.47 | ( \$ 1,569.98, \$ 1,630.96 ) |
| Urban Women | Urban Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Gas costs | \$ 2,259.44 | ( \$ 2,223.09, \$ 2,295.79 ) | 18-34 | Gas costs | \$ 2,230.02 | ( \$ 2,191.21, \$ 2,268.82 ) |
| 35-64 | Gas costs | \$ 2,266.63 | ( \$ 2,241.53, \$ 2,291.74) | 35-64 | Gas costs | \$2,237.21 | ( \$ 2,210.11, \$ 2,264.30) |
| $65+$ | Gas costs | \$2,175.33 | ( \$ 2,145.04, \$ 2,205.62 ) | $65+$ | Gas costs | \$2,145.91 | ( \$ 2,114.39, \$ 2,177.43) |
|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 586.39 | (\$579.40, \$ 593.38 ) | 18-34 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 584.42 | ( \$ 577.53, \$ 591.31) |
| 35-64 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 594.20 | ( \$ 588.84, \$ 599.55 ) | 35-64 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 592.22 | ( \$ 586.93, \$ 597.52 ) |
| 65+ | Water and sewer costs | \$ 517.79 | (\$512.04, \$ 523.55 ) | 65+ | Water and sewer costs | \$ 515.82 | ( \$ 509.94, \$ 521.70) |
| Urban Women | Urban Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 583.88 | ( \$ 577.54, \$ 590.23 ) | 18-34 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 588.57 | (\$581.99, \$ 595.15 ) |
| 35-64 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 591.68 | ( \$ 587.53, \$ 595.84 ) | 35-64 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 596.37 | ( \$ 591.95, \$ 600.79 ) |
| 65+ | Water and sewer costs | \$ 515.28 | ( \$ 510.38, \$ 520.19 ) | 65+ | Water and sewer costs | \$ 519.97 | (\$514.85, \$ 525.08 ) |

Table B6. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2005-2009, continued.

|  | Mean (95\% CI) |  |  |  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Heating fuel costs | \$ 1,233.73 | ( \$ 1,215.19, \$ 1,252.27 ) | 18-34 | Heating fuel costs | \$ 1,203.36 | ( \$ 1,184.96, \$ 1,221.76 ) |
| 35-64 | Heating fuel costs | \$ 1,264.89 | ( \$ 1,251.67, \$ 1,278.11 ) | 35-64 | Heating fuel costs | \$ 1,234.52 | ( \$ 1,221.29, \$ 1,247.76) |
| 65+ | Heating fuel costs | \$ 1,413.63 | ( \$ 1,396.15, \$ 1,431.11 ) | 65+ | Heating fuel costs | \$ 1,383.26 | ( \$ 1,365.78, \$ 1,400.75 ) |
| Urban Women | Urban Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Heating fuel costs | \$ 1,404.93 | ( \$ 1,383.09, \$ 1,426.78) | 18-34 | Heating fuel costs | \$ 1,384.36 | ( \$ 1,362.09, \$ 1,406.64 ) |
| 35-64 | Heating fuel costs | \$ 1,436.09 | ( \$ 1,419.65, \$ 1,452.54 ) | 35-64 | Heating fuel costs | \$ 1,415.52 | ( \$ 1,398.66, \$ 1,432.39) |
| $65+$ | Heating fuel costs | \$ 1,584.83 | ( \$ 1,565.37, \$ 1,604.29 ) | $65+$ | Heating fuel costs | \$ 1,564.27 | ( \$ 1,544.57, \$ 1,583.96 ) |
| Utility costs (annual, 2018 dollars) |  |  |  |  | Utility costs (annual, 2018 dollars) |  |  |
|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Electricity costs | \$ 1,563.36 | ( \$ 1,549.80, \$ 1,576.92 ) | 18-34 | Electricity costs | \$ 1,581.49 | ( \$ 1,567.78, \$ 1,595.19) |
| 35-64 | Electricity costs | \$ 1,668.31 | ( \$ 1,658.12, \$ 1,678.49) | 35-64 | Electricity costs | \$ 1,686.43 | ( \$ 1,675.92, \$ 1,696.94) |
| 65+ | Electricity costs | \$ 1,264.05 | ( \$ 1,251.75, \$ 1,276.35 ) | 65+ | Electricity costs | \$ 1,282.17 | ( \$ 1,269.39, \$ 1,294.96 ) |
| Urban Women | Urban Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Electricity costs | \$ 1,804.88 | ( \$ 1,790.65, \$ 1,819.11 ) | 18-34 | Electricity costs | \$ 1,843.64 | ( \$ 1,828.54, \$ 1,858.73 ) |
| 35-64 | Electricity costs | \$ 1,909.83 | ( \$ 1,899.14, \$ 1,920.51 ) | 35-64 | Electricity costs | \$ 1,948.58 | ( \$ 1,937.10, \$ 1,960.07) |
| 65+ | Electricity costs | \$ 1,505.57 | ( \$ 1,493.45, \$ 1,517.69 ) | 65+ | Electricity costs | \$ 1,544.33 | ( \$ 1,531.58, \$ 1,557.08) |
|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Gas costs | \$ 1,982.45 | ( \$ 1,944.58, \$ 2,020.32 ) | 18-34 | Gas costs | \$ 1,970.96 | ( \$ 1,932.57, \$ 2,009.35 ) |
| 35-64 | Gas costs | \$ 1,990.86 | ( \$ 1,965.90, \$ 2,015.82) | 35-64 | Gas costs | \$ 1,979.37 | ( \$ 1,953.41, \$ 2,005.33 ) |
| 65+ | Gas costs | \$ 1,884.04 | ( \$ 1,849.71, \$ 1,918.37 ) | 65+ | Gas costs | \$ 1,872.55 | ( \$ 1,836.88, \$ 1,908.23 ) |
| Urban Women | Urban Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Gas costs | \$ 2,643.55 | ( \$ 2,601.02, \$ 2,686.07 ) | 18-34 | Gas costs | \$ 2,609.12 | ( \$ 2,563.72, \$ 2,654.52) |
| 35-64 | Gas costs | \$ 2,651.96 | ( \$ 2,622.59, \$ 2,681.33 ) | 35-64 | Gas costs | \$ 2,617.53 | ( \$ 2,585.83, \$ 2,649.24) |
| 65+ | Gas costs | \$ 2,545.14 | ( \$ 2,509.70, \$ 2,580.58) | $65+$ | Gas costs | \$ 2,510.71 | ( \$ 2,473.83, \$ 2,547.59) |
|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 686.08 | ( \$ 677.90, \$ 694.26 ) | 18-34 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 683.77 | ( \$ 675.71, \$ 691.84 ) |
| 35-64 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 695.21 | ( \$ 688.94, \$ 701.47 ) | 35-64 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 692.90 | ( \$ 686.71, \$ 699.10 ) |
| 65+ | Water and sewer costs | \$ 605.82 | (\$599.09, \$ 612.55 ) | $65+$ | Water and sewer costs | \$ 603.51 | (\$596.63, \$ 610.39 ) |
| Urban Women | Urban Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 683.14 | ( \$ 675.72, \$ 690.57 ) | 18-34 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 688.62 | ( \$ 680.92, \$ 696.32 ) |
| 35-64 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 692.27 | ( \$ 687.41, \$ 697.14 ) | 35-64 | Water and sewer costs | \$ 697.75 | ( \$ 692.58, \$ 702.93 ) |
| 65+ | Water and sewer costs | \$ 602.88 | ( \$ 597.14, \$ 608.62 ) | $65+$ | Water and sewer costs | \$ 608.36 | ( \$ 602.38, \$ 614.35 ) |


| Mean | $(95 \%$ CI) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rural Men |  |
| $\$ 1,443.46$ | $(\$ 1,421.77, \$ 1,465.15)$ | $18-34$ | Heating fuel costs |
| $1,479.92$ | $(\$ 1,464.45, \$ 1,495.38)$ | $35-64$ | Heating fuel costs |
| $1,653.94$ | $(\$ 1,633.49, \$ 1,674.40)$ | $65+$ | Heating fuel costs |
|  |  | Urban Men |  |
| $1,643.77$ | $(\$ 1,618.21, \$ 1,669.33)$ | $18-34$ | Heating fuel costs |
| $1,680.23$ | $(\$ 1,660.98, \$ 1,699.47)$ | $35-64$ | Heating fuel costs |
| $1,854.25$ | $(\$ 1,831.49, \$ 1,877.02)$ | $65+$ | Heating fuel costs |


| Mean | $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\$ 1,407.93$ | $(\$ 1,386.41, \$ 1,429.46)$ |
| $\$ 1,444.39$ | $(\$ 1,428.90, \$ 1,459.88)$ |
| $\$ 1,618.42$ | $(\$ 1,597.96, \$ 1,638.87)$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 1,619.71$ | $(\$ 1,593.65, \$ 1,645.76)$ |
| $\$ 1,656.16$ | $(\$ 1,636.43, \$ 1,675.89)$ |
| $\$ 1,830.19$ | $(\$ 1,807.15, \$ 1,853.23)$ |

Table B6. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2005-2009, continued.

## Panel D. Communication Resources

|  | Phone Access | Phone Access |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | No home phone | 4.7 | ( 4.3, 5.1 ) | 18-34 | No home phone | 5.4 | (5.0, 5.9 ) |
|  | Home phone | 95.3 | ( 94.9, 95.7 ) |  | Home phone | 94.6 | ( 94.1, 95.0 ) |
| 35-64 | No home phone | 1.6 | ( 1.5, 1.7 ) | 35-64 | No home phone | 1.9 | (1.7, 2.0 ) |
|  | Home phone | 98.4 | ( 98.3, 98.5 ) |  | Home phone | 98.1 | ( 98.0, 98.3 ) |
| 65+ | No home phone | 0.7 | (0.6, 0.8 ) | 65+ | No home phone | 0.8 | (0.7, 0.9 ) |
|  | Home phone | 99.3 | ( 99.2, 99.4 ) |  | Home phone | 99.2 | ( 99.1, 99.3 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | No home phone | 4.9 | ( 4.6, 5.2 ) | 18-34 | No home phone | 6.1 | (5.7, 6.5 ) |
|  | Home phone | 95.1 | ( 94.8, 95.4 ) |  | Home phone | 93.9 | ( 93.5, 94.3 ) |
| 35-64 | No home phone | 1.7 | ( 1.6, 1.8 ) | 35-64 | No home phone | 2.1 | (1.9, 2.3 ) |
|  | Home phone | 98.3 | ( 98.2, 98.4 ) |  | Home phone | 97.9 | ( 97.7, 98.1 ) |
| 65+ | No home phone | 0.7 | ( 0.6, 0.8 ) | 65+ | No home phone | 0.9 | ( 0.8, 1.0 ) |
|  | Home phone | 99.3 | ( 99.2, 99.4 ) |  | Home phone | 99.1 | ( 99.0, 99.2 ) |

## Panel E. Institutions

Group quarters

|  | Percent | $(95 \% ~ C I)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | Non-institutional group quarters | 7.5 | $(7.1,7.9)$ |
| $35-64$ | Non-institutional group quarters | 0.8 | $(0.7,0.9)$ |
| $65+$ | Non-institutional group quarters | 1.1 | $(1.0,1.2)$ |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | Non-institutional group quarters | 6.9 | $(6.6,7.3)$ |
| $35-64$ | Non-institutional group quarters | 0.7 | $(0.7,0.8)$ |
| $65+$ | Non-institutional group quarters | 1.0 | $(0.9,1.1)$ |
|  | Military Service |  |  |
|  |  | Percent | $(95 \%$ CI) |
| Rural Women | Veteran or current service ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 1.2 | $(1.1,1.3)$ |
| $18+$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1.0 | $(0.9,1.1)$ |

## Group quarters

|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Non-institutional group quarters | 7.2 | ( 6.8, 7.6 ) |
| 35-64 | Non-institutional group quarters | 0.8 | $(0.7,0.8)$ |
| 65+ | Non-institutional group quarters | 1.1 | (1.0, 1.2 ) |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Non-institutional group quarters | 6.6 | ( 6.3, 6.9 ) |
| 35-64 | Non-institutional group quarters | 0.7 | $(0.6,0.7)$ |
| $65+$ | Non-institutional group quarters | 1.0 | (0.9, 1.1 ) |
|  | Military Service |  |  |
|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Veteran or current service | 5.3 | ( 5.0, 5.6 ) |
| 35-64 | Veteran or current service | 22.0 | ( 21.6, 22.3 ) |
| $65+$ | Veteran or current service | 59.7 | ( 59.0, 60.3 ) |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Veteran or current service | 4.3 | ( 4.1, 4.5 ) |
| 35-64 | Veteran or current service | 18.6 | (18.3, 18.9 ) |
| 65+ | Veteran or current service | 54.5 | ( 53.9, 55.2 ) |

## Panel F. Schooling

School enrollment

|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  |  |  | Rural Men |
| 18-34 | Primary/Secondary school | 3.8 | ( 3.6, 4.1 ) | 18-34 |
|  | College | 26.4 | ( 25.6, 27.2 ) |  |
|  | Graduate or professional school | 3.4 | $(3.2,3.7)$ |  |
|  | Not enrolled in school | 66.3 | ( 65.5, 67.1 ) |  |
| 35-64 | Primary/Secondary school | 0.2 | ( 0.2, 0.3 ) | 35-64 |
|  | College | 2.0 | ( 1.9, 2.1 ) |  |
|  | Graduate or professional school | 0.9 | (0.8, 0.9 ) |  |
|  | Not enrolled in school | 96.9 | ( 96.8, 97.1 ) |  |
| $65+$ | Primary/Secondary school | 0.2 | ( 0.2, 0.3 ) | 65+ |
|  | College | 0.2 | (0.1, 0.2 ) |  |
|  | Graduate or professional school | 0.1 | (0.1, 0.1 ) |  |
|  | Not enrolled in school | 99.5 | ( 99.5, 99.6 ) |  |
| Urban Women |  |  |  | Urban Men |
| 18-34 | Primary/Secondary school | 3.6 | ( 3.4, 3.8 ) | 18-34 |
|  | College | 25.1 | ( 24.5, 25.7 ) |  |
|  | Graduate or professional school | 5.7 | ( 5.4, 6.0 ) |  |
|  | Not enrolled in school | 65.6 | ( 65.0, 66.3 ) |  |
| 35-64 | Primary/Secondary school | 0.2 | ( 0.2, 0.2 ) | 35-64 |
|  | College | 1.9 | ( 1.8, 2.0 ) |  |
|  | Graduate or professional school | 1.5 | ( 1.4, 1.5 ) |  |
|  | Not enrolled in school | 96.5 | ( 96.3, 96.6) |  |
| $65+$ | Primary/Secondary school | 0.2 | ( 0.2, 0.2 ) | $65+$ |
|  | College | 0.2 | (0.1, 0.2 ) |  |
|  | Graduate or professional school | 0.1 | (0.1, 0.2 ) |  |
|  | Not enrolled in school | 99.5 | ( 99.4, 99.5 ) |  |

## School enrollment

|  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Primary/Secondary school | 4.1 | $(3.8,4.4)$ |
| College | 21.0 | $(20.3,21.8)$ |
| Graduate or professional school | 2.3 | $(2.1,2.6)$ |
| Not enrolled in school | 72.5 | $(71.7,73.4)$ |
| Primary/Secondary school | 0.2 | $(0.2,0.2)$ |
| College | 1.4 | $(1.4,1.5)$ |
| Graduate or professional school | 0.5 | $(0.5,0.6)$ |
| Not enrolled in school | 97.8 | $(97.7,97.9)$ |
| Primary/Secondary school | 0.2 | $(0.2,0.3)$ |
| College | 0.1 | $(0.1,0.2)$ |
| Graduate or professional school | 0.0 | $(0.0,0.1)$ |
| Not enrolled in school | 99.6 | $(99.6,99.6)$ |
|  |  |  |
| Primary/Secondary school | 4.6 | $(4.3,4.8)$ |
| College | 19.9 | $(19.3,20.5)$ |
| Graduate or professional school | 4.0 | $(3.7,4.2)$ |
| Not enrolled in school | 71.5 | $(70.9,72.2)$ |
| Primary/Secondary school | 0.2 | $(0.2,0.3)$ |
| College | 1.4 | $(1.3,1.5)$ |
| Graduate or professional school | 0.9 | $(0.9,1.0)$ |
| Not enrolled in school | 97.4 | $(97.3,97.5)$ |
| Primary/Secondary school | 0.2 | $(0.2,0.3)$ |
| College | 0.1 | $(0.1,0.2)$ |
| Graduate or professional school | 0.1 | $(0.1,0.1)$ |
| Not enrolled in school | 99.5 | $(99.5,99.6)$ |

Educational attainment


Educational attainment

|  |  | Percent | 5\% CI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Less than high school | 10.5 | ( 10.1, 10.8 ) |
|  | High school diploma/GED | 45.8 | ( 45.2, 46.4 ) |
|  | Some college | 25.7 | ( 25.2, 26.3 ) |
|  | Bachelor's degree or higher | 18.0 | ( $17.6,18.4$ ) |
| 35-64 | Less than high school | 8.7 | ( 8.5, 9.0 ) |
|  | High school diploma/GED | 52.4 | ( 51.9, 52.8 ) |
|  | Some college | 18.0 | ( 17.6, 18.3 ) |
|  | Bachelor's degree or higher | 20.9 | ( 20.5, 21.3 ) |
| 65+ | Less than high school | 24.3 | (23.7, 24.9 ) |
|  | High school diploma/GED | 55.6 | ( 55.1, 56.2 ) |
|  | Some college | 9.0 | ( 8.7, 9.2 ) |
|  | Bachelor's degree or higher | 11.1 | ( 10.8, 11.4 ) |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Less than high school | 9.4 | ( 9.1, 9.8 ) |
|  | High school diploma/GED | 36.5 | ( 36.0, 37.0 ) |
|  | Some college | 25.8 | ( 25.3, 26.3 ) |
|  | Bachelor's degree or higher | 28.3 | ( 27.8, 28.7 ) |
| 35-64 | Less than high school | 7.8 | ( 7.6, 8.0 ) |
|  | High school diploma/GED | 41.6 | ( 41.2, 42.0 ) |
|  | Some college | 18.0 | ( 17.7, 18.3 ) |
|  | Bachelor's degree or higher | 32.6 | ( 32.3, 33.0 ) |
| 65+ | Less than high school | 23.6 | (23.1, 24.1 ) |
|  | High school diploma/GED | 47.9 | ( 47.4, 48.4 ) |
|  | Some college | 9.7 | ( 9.4, 10.0 ) |
|  | Bachelor's degree or higher | 18.8 | ( 18.4, 19.2 ) |

## Panel G. Work

Employment and labor force status


## Employment and labor force status

|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | In the labor force | 81.1 | ( 80.6, 81.5 ) |
|  | Employed | 72.8 | $(72.3,73.3)$ |
|  | Unemployed | 8.3 | ( 8.0, 8.7 ) |
|  | Not in the labor force | 18.9 | ( 18.5, 19.3 ) |
| 35-64 | In the labor force | 81.8 | ( 81.5, 82.1 ) |
|  | Employed | 77.8 | ( 77.4, 78.1 ) |
|  | Unemployed | 4.0 | ( 3.8, 4.2 ) |
|  | Not in the labor force | 18.2 | ( 17.9, 18.6 ) |
| 65+ | In the labor force | 18.0 | ( $17.6,18.5$ ) |
|  | Employed | 17.2 | ( 16.8, 17.6 ) |
|  | Unemployed | 0.8 | (0.7, 0.9 ) |
|  | Not in the labor force | 82.0 | ( 81.5, 82.4 ) |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | In the labor force | 83.1 | ( 82.7, 83.4 ) |
|  | Employed | 74.3 | ( $73.8,74.7$ ) |
|  | Unemployed | 8.8 | (8.5, 9.2 ) |
|  | Not in the labor force | 16.9 | ( 16.5, 17.3 ) |
| 35-64 | In the labor force | 83.7 | ( 83.4, 84.0 ) |
|  | Employed | 79.4 | ( 79.1, 79.8 ) |
|  | Unemployed | 4.3 | ( 4.1, 4.4 ) |
|  | Not in the labor force | 16.3 | ( 16.0, 16.6 ) |
| 65+ | In the labor force | 20.1 | ( 19.7, 20.5 ) |
|  | Employed | 19.2 | ( 18.7, 19.6 ) |
|  | Unemployed | 0.9 | ( 0.8, 1.0 ) |
|  | Not in the labor force | 79.9 | ( 79.5, 80.3 ) |


|  | Work history |  |  |  | Work history |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women |  |  |  | Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | No recent work history | 11.9 | ( 11.5, 12.3 ) | 18-34 | No recent work history | 6.0 | ( 5.8, 6.2 ) |
|  | Worked within past 5 years | 8.1 | ( 7.8, 8.4 ) |  | Worked within past 5 years | 5.7 | ( 5.5, 6.0 ) |
|  | Worked within past year | 80.0 | ( 79.6, 80.5 ) |  | Worked within past year | 88.3 | ( 88.0, 88.6 ) |
| 35-64 | No recent work history | 17.1 | ( 16.8, 17.5 ) | 35-64 | No recent work history | 8.9 | ( 8.7, 9.1) |
|  | Worked within past 5 years | 8.5 | ( 8.2, 8.7 ) |  | Worked within past 5 years | 6.2 | ( 6.0, 6.4 ) |
|  | Worked within past year | 74.4 | ( $74.0,74.8$ ) |  | Worked within past year | 84.9 | ( 84.6, 85.2 ) |
| $65+$ | No recent work history | 78.8 | ( 78.4, 79.3 ) | 65+ | No recent work history | 66.4 | ( 65.7, 67.0 ) |
|  | Worked within past 5 years | 8.5 | ( 8.2, 8.8 ) |  | Worked within past 5 years | 10.1 | ( 9.8, 10.5 ) |
|  | Worked within past year | 12.7 | ( 12.4, 13.0 ) |  | Worked within past year | 23.5 | ( 23.0, 24.0 ) |
| Urban Women | Urban Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | No recent work history | 11.2 | ( 10.9, 11.5 ) | 18-34 | No recent work history | 5.8 | ( 5.6, 6.1 ) |
|  | Worked within past 5 years | 7.7 | ( 7.4.4.0) |  | Worked within past 5 years | 5.6 | ( 5.4, 5.8 ) |
|  | Worked within past year | 81.1 | ( 80.7, 81.5 ) |  | Worked within past year | 88.6 | ( 88.3, 88.9 ) |
| 35-64 | No recent work history | 16.2 | ( $15.9,16.5$ ) | 35-64 | No recent work history | 8.7 | ( 8.5, 8.9 ) |
|  | Worked within past 5 years | 8.1 | ( 7.9, 8.3 ) |  | Worked within past 5 years | 6.1 | ( $5.9,6.2$ ) |
|  | Worked within past year | 75.7 | ( $75.3,76.0$ ) |  | Worked within past year | 85.2 | ( 84.9, 85.5 ) |
| 65+ | No recent work history | 78.0 | (77.6, 78.4 ) | 65+ | No recent work history | 66.0 | ( 65.4, 66.6 ) |
|  | Worked within past 5 years | 8.5 | ( 8.3, 8.8 ) |  | Worked within past 5 years | 10.0 | ( 9.7, 10.4) |
|  | Worked within past year | 13.5 | ( 13.2, 13.8 ) |  | Worked within past year | 24.0 | ( 23.5, 24.4 ) |
|  | Usual work hours within the past 12 months |  |  |  | Usual work hours within the past 12 months |  |  |
|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women |  |  |  | Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Hours worked per week | 32.53 | ( 32.38, 32.68 ) | 18-34 | Hours worked per week | 39.51 | ( 39.35, 39.66 ) |
| 35-64 | Hours worked per week | 36.69 | ( 36.57, 36.81 ) | 35-64 | Hours worked per week | 43.67 | ( 43.54, 43.79 ) |
| 65+ | Hours worked per week | 25.15 | ( 24.86, 25.43 ) | 65+ | Hours worked per week | 32.12 | ( 31.84, 32.40 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  | Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Hours worked per week | 33.36 | ( 33.23, 33.49 ) | 18-34 | Hours worked per week | 39.32 | ( 39.19, 39.46 ) |
| 35-64 | Hours worked per week | 37.52 | ( 37.42, 37.62 ) | 35-64 | Hours worked per week | 43.48 | ( 43.38, 43.58 ) |
| $65+$ | Hours worked per week | 25.97 | ( 25.70, 26.25 ) | $65+$ | Hours worked per week | 31.94 | ( 31.66, 32.21 ) |

Table B6. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2005-2009, continued.
Self-employment at current/usual job


## Panel H. Socio-economic Status

Annual income (2009 dollars)

|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Total family income | \$ 53,526.08 | ( \$ 52,852.65, \$ 54,199.51 ) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 10,397.49 | ( \$ 10,077.20, \$ 10,717.78) |
| 35-64 | Total family income | \$ 70,957.79 | ( \$ 70,414.22, \$ 71,501.36) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 33,913.51 | ( \$ 33,638.08, \$ 34,188.95 ) |
| 65+ | Total family income | \$ 38,573.70 | ( \$ 37,962.71, \$ 39,184.69 ) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 12,261.44 | ( \$ 11,432.70, \$ 13,090.18) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Total family income | \$67,635.80 | ( \$ 66,967.05, \$ 68,304.54 ) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 18,453.56 | ( \$ 18,141.73, \$ 18,765.39 ) |
| 35-64 | Total family income | \$85,067.50 | ( \$ 84,472.03, \$ 85,662.98) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 41,969.58 | ( \$ 41,662.23, \$ 42,276.93) |
| 65+ | Total family income | \$ 52,683.41 | ( \$ 52,084.02, \$ 53,282.80) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 20,317.51 | ( \$ 19,495.39, \$ 21,139.62 ) |

Annual income (2018 dollars)

## Rural Women

18-34
Total family income
Personal wage and salary income
Personal wage and salary income
65+
Personal wage and salary income

## Urban Women

| 18-34 | Total family income | $\$ 79,133.89$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | $\$ 21,590.67$ |
| $35-64$ | Total family income | $\$ 99,528.98$ |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | $\$ 49,104.41$ |
| $65+$ | Total family income | $\$ 61,639.59$ |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | $\$ 23,771.49$ |

Mean

| $\$ 62,625.51$ | $(\$ 61,837.60, \$ 63,413.43)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 12,165.06$ | $(\$ 11,790.32, \$ 12,539.80)$ |
| $\$ 83,020.61$ | $(\$ 82,384.64, \$ 83,656.59)$ |
| $\$ 39,678.81$ | $(\$ 39,356.55, \$ 40,001.07)$ |
| $\$ 45,131.23$ | $(\$ 44,416.37, \$ 45,846.09)$ |
| $\$ 14,345.88$ | $(\$ 13,376.26, \$ 15,315.51)$ |

## Annual income (2009 dollars)

|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Total family income | \$ 57,637.29 | ( \$ 56,918.32, \$ 58,356.27 ) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 26,024.95 | ( \$ 25,613.22, \$ 26,436.67 ) |
| 35-64 | Total family income | \$ 75,069.00 | ( \$ 74,472.12, \$ 75,665.88) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 49,540.97 | ( \$ 49,112.55, \$ 49,969.39 ) |
| $65+$ | Total family income | \$ 42,684.91 | ( \$ 42,006.00, \$ 43,363.82 ) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 27,888.90 | ( \$ 27,014.27, \$ 28,763.53 ) |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Total family income | \$ 74,599.80 | ( \$ 73,863.77, \$ 75,335.83 ) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 37,851.57 | ( \$ 37,442.13, \$ 38,261.02 ) |
| 35-64 | Total family income | \$ 92,031.51 | ( \$ 91,363.93, \$ 92,699.08) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 61,367.59 | ( \$ 60,878.76, \$ 61,856.43 ) |
| 65+ | Total family income | \$ 59,647.42 | ( \$ 58,976.04, \$ 60,318.80 ) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 39,715.52 | ( \$ 38,828.58, \$ 40,602.46) |
|  | Annual income (2018 dollars) |  |  |
|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Total family income | \$ 67,435.63 | ( \$ 66,594.43, \$ 68,276.84 ) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 30,449.19 | ( \$ 29,967.47, \$ 30,930.90 ) |
| 35-64 | Total family income | \$ 87,830.73 | ( \$ 87,132.38, \$ 88,529.08) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 57,962.93 | ( \$ 57,461.68, \$ 58,464.19 ) |
| $65+$ | Total family income | \$ 49,941.34 | ( \$ 49,147.02, \$ 50,735.67 ) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 32,630.01 | ( \$ 31,606.70, \$ 33,653.33 ) |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Total family income | \$87,281.77 | ( \$ 86,420.61, \$88,142.92 ) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 44,286.34 | ( \$ 43,807.29, \$ 44,765.39 ) |
| 35-64 | Total family income | \$ 107,676.87 | ( \$ 106,895.80, \$ 108,457.92 ) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 71,800.08 | ( \$ 71,228.15, \$ 72,372.02 ) |
| 65+ | Total family income | \$ 69,787.48 | ( \$ 69,001.97, \$ 70,573.00 ) |
|  | Personal wage and salary income | \$ 46,467.16 | ( \$ 45,429.44, \$ 47,504.88) |



Table B6. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2005-2009, continued.

Panel I. Movement

|  | Vehicle Access | Vehicle Access |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women$18-34$ |  | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | None | 6.7 | ( 6.4, 7.1 ) | 18-34 | None | 4.0 | ( 3.8, 4.2 ) |
|  | One | 22.3 | ( 21.7, 22.8 ) |  | One | 17.9 | ( $17.4,18.3$ ) |
|  | Two | 37.7 | ( 37.1, 38.3 ) |  | Two | 40.7 | ( 40.1, 41.4 ) |
|  | Three | 19.9 | ( 19.4, 20.4 ) |  | Three | 21.9 | ( 21.4, 22.5) |
|  | Four | 9.2 | ( 8.8, 9.7 ) |  | Four | 10.3 | ( 9.9, 10.8 ) |
|  | Five or more | 4.1 | ( 3.8, 4.4 ) |  | Five or more | 5.1 | $(4.8,5.5)$ |
| 35-64 | None | 4.4 | ( 4.2, 4.6) | 35-64 | None | 2.6 | ( $2.4,2.7$ ) |
|  | One | 20.6 | ( 20.2, 21.0 ) |  | One | 16.3 | ( $16.0,16.7$ ) |
|  | Two | 43.4 | ( 42.9, 43.8 ) |  | Two | 46.2 | ( 45.7, 46.7) |
|  | Three | 22.0 | ( 21.6, 22.4 ) |  | Three | 23.9 | ( 23.5, 24.4 ) |
|  | Four | 7.1 | ( 6.8, 7.4 ) |  | Four | 7.8 | ( 7.5, 8.1 ) |
|  | Five or more | 2.5 | ( $2.4,2.7$ ) |  | Five or more | 3.1 | ( $2.9,3.3$ ) |
| 65+ | None | 10.1 | ( 9.7, 10.5) | 65+ | None | 6.5 | ( 6.2, 6.9 ) |
|  | One | 41.4 | ( 40.8, 42.0 ) |  | One | 36.1 | ( 35.5, 36.7 ) |
|  | Two | 35.7 | ( 35.2, 36.3 ) |  | Two | 41.9 | ( 41.3, 42.5 ) |
|  | Three | 9.5 | ( 9.1, 9.8 ) |  | Three | 11.3 | ( 10.9, 11.7 ) |
|  | Four | 2.3 | ( 2.1, 2.4 ) |  | Four | 2.7 | ( $2.6,2.9$ ) |
|  | Five or more | 1.1 | ( 0.9, 1.2 ) |  | Five or more | 1.4 | (1.3, 1.6) |
| Urban Women | Urban Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | None | 14.0 | ( 13.5, 14.5 ) | 18-34 | None | 9.6 | ( 9.2, 10.0 ) |
|  | One | 26.3 | ( 25.8, 26.8 ) |  | One | 22.5 | ( 22.0, 23.0 ) |
|  | Two | 35.1 | ( $34.5,35.6$ ) |  | Two | 39.2 | ( 38.6, 39.7 ) |
|  | Three | 15.2 | ( 14.8, 15.6 ) |  | Three | 17.3 | ( 16.9, 17.8 ) |
|  | Four | 6.6 | ( 6.3, 6.9 ) |  | Four | 7.8 | (7.5, 8.2 ) |
|  | Five or more | 2.8 | ( $2.6,3.1$ ) |  | Five or more | 3.6 | ( 3.3, 3.9) |
| 35-64 | None | 9.4 | ( 9.1, 9.7 ) | 35-64 | None | 6.3 | ( 6.0, 6.5 ) |
|  | One | 25.0 | ( 24.6, 25.4 ) |  | One | 20.9 | ( 20.6, 21.3 ) |
|  | Two | 41.4 | ( 41.0, 41.8 ) |  | Two | 45.3 | ( 44.8, 45.7 ) |
|  | Three | 17.2 | ( 16.9, 17.5 ) |  | Three | 19.2 | ( 18.9, 19.6 ) |
|  | Four | 5.2 | ( 5.0, 5.4) |  | Four | 6.0 | ( 5.8, 6.3 ) |
|  | Five or more | 1.8 | ( 1.7, 1.9 ) |  | Five or more | 2.2 | ( 2.1, 2.4 ) |
| 65+ | None | 18.7 | ( 18.2, 19.2 ) | 65+ | None | 13.8 | ( 13.3, 14.2) |
|  | One | 43.4 | ( 42.8, 43.9 ) |  | One | 40.1 | ( 39.5, 40.7 ) |
|  | Two | 29.5 | ( 29.0, 29.9 ) |  | Two | 35.5 | ( 35.0, 36.1) |
|  | Three | 6.4 | ( 6.2, 6.6 ) |  | Three | 7.9 | ( 7.6, 8.2 ) |
|  | Four | 1.4 | $(1.3,1.5)$ |  | Four | 1.8 | (1.7, 2.0 ) |
|  | Five or more | 0.7 | ( 0.6, 0.7 ) |  | Five or more | 0.9 | ( 0.8, 1.0 ) |


| Commute time |  | Commute time |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women | Rural Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Travel time to work | 20.23 | ( 19.95, 20.51 ) | 18-34 | Travel time to work | 25.73 | ( 25.39, 26.08 ) |
| 35-64 | Travel time to work | 21.98 | ( 21.74, 22.22 ) | 35-64 | Travel time to work | 27.48 | ( 27.18, 27.79 ) |
| 65+ | Travel time to work | 17.41 | ( 16.90, 17.92 ) | 65+ | Travel time to work | 22.92 | ( 22.38, 23.45 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Travel time to work | 23.58 | ( 23.31, 23.85 ) | 18-34 | Travel time to work | 26.82 | ( 26.54, 27.11 ) |
| 35-64 | Travel time to work | 25.33 | ( 25.13, 25.54 ) | 35-64 | Travel time to work | 28.58 | ( 28.35, 28.80 ) |
| 65+ | Travel time to work | 20.77 | ( 20.27, 21.27 ) | 65+ | Travel time to work | 24.01 | ( 23.52, 24.50 ) |

Table B6. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2005-2009, continued.

Panel J. Needs
Family income relative to poverty threshold

|  | Family income relative to poverty threshold |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  |  | Mean |

## Poverty status



## Family income relative to poverty threshold

|  |  | Mean | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | Income-to-needs ratio | 290.47 | $(288.44,292.50)$ |
| $35-64$ | Income-to-needs ratio | 345.98 | $(344.49,347.48)$ |
| $65+$ | Income-to-needs ratio | 286.09 | $(284.34,287.85)$ |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | Income-to-needs ratio | 317.43 | $(315.51,319.35)$ |
| $35-64$ | Income-to-needs ratio | 372.94 | $(371.55,374.33)$ |
| $65+$ | Income-to-needs ratio | 313.05 | $(311.40,314.71)$ |


|  | Percent | $(95 \%$ CI) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Poor | 13.6 | $(13.1,14.2)$ |
| Near poor | 17.3 | $(16.9,17.8)$ |
| Not poor | 69.0 | $(68.4,69.7)$ |
| Poor | 7.0 | $(6.8,7.3)$ |
| Near poor | 12.7 | $(12.4,13.0)$ |
| Not poor | 80.3 | $(79.9,80.7)$ |
| Poor | 7.2 | $(6.9,7.5)$ |
| Near poor | 26.0 | $(25.5,26.6)$ |
| Not poor | 66.7 | $(66.2,67.3)$ |
|  |  |  |
| Poor | 13.7 | $(13.2,14.1)$ |
| Near poor | 13.5 | $(13.1,13.9)$ |
| Not poor | 72.8 | $(72.3,73.4)$ |
| Poor | 6.9 | $(6.7,7.2)$ |
| Near poor | 9.7 | $(9.5,10.0)$ |
| Not poor | 83.4 | $(83.0,83.7)$ |
| Poor | 7.4 | $(7.1,7.7)$ |
| Near poor | 20.7 | $(20.2,21.2)$ |
| Not poor | 71.9 | $(71.4,72.4)$ |

## Percent <br> (95\% CI)

## Rural Men

Poverty status

Family receives...

|  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Women |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Welfare | 4.0 | ( 3.7, 4.2 ) |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 3.7 | ( 3.4, 3.9 ) |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 8.8 | ( 8.5, 9.1 ) |
| 35-64 | Welfare | 2.7 | ( $2.6,2.9$ ) |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 5.0 | ( 4.8, 5.2 ) |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 20.4 | ( 20.0, 20.8 ) |
| 65+ | Welfare | 1.6 | ( 1.5, 1.7 ) |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 4.7 | ( 4.4, 4.9 ) |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 95.0 | ( 94.8, 95.2 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Welfare | 5.7 | ( 5.4, 6.0 ) |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 4.0 | ( 3.7, 4.2 ) |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 7.4 | ( 7.1, 7.6 ) |
| 35-64 | Welfare | 3.9 | ( 3.7, 4.1 ) |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 5.4 | ( 5.2, 5.6 ) |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 17.4 | ( 17.0, 17.7 ) |
| 65+ | Welfare | 2.3 | ( 2.2, 2.5 ) |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 5.0 | ( 4.8, 5.3 ) |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 94.0 | ( 93.8, 94.3 ) |

Household receives...

| Rural Women |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $18-34$ | SNAP/Food Stamps |
| $35-64$ | SNAP/Food Stamps |
| $65+$ | SNAP/Food Stamps |

Urban Women
18-34 SNAP/Food Stamps
35-64 SNAP/Food Stamps
65+ SNAP/Food Stamps

Family receives..

|  |  | en | (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Welfare | 3.0 | ( 2.8, 3.2 ) |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 3.3 | ( 3.1, 3.5 ) |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 7.6 | ( 7.3, 7.8 ) |
| 35-64 | Welfare | 2.0 | (1.9, 2.2 ) |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 4.5 | ( 4.3, 4.7 ) |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 17.8 | ( 17.4, 18.2 ) |
| 65+ | Welfare | 1.2 | ( 1.1, 1.3 ) |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 4.2 | ( 4.0, 4.4 ) |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 94.2 | ( 93.9, 94.4 ) |
| Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | Welfare | 3.9 | ( 3.6, 4.1 ) |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 3.5 | ( 3.3, 3.7 ) |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 6.7 | ( 6.5, 7.0 ) |
| 35-64 | Welfare | 2.7 | ( 2.5, 2.8 ) |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 4.8 | $(4.6,5.0)$ |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 16.0 | $(15.7,16.4)$ |
| 65+ | Welfare | 1.6 | ( $1.5,1.7$ ) |
|  | Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 4.5 | ( 4.2, 4.7 ) |
|  | Social Security or Railroad income | 93.5 | ( 93.2, 93.7 ) |

Percent
(95\% CI)
(8.7, 9.5 )
$(5.6,6.1)$
(3.5, 3.8 )
(8.7, 9.4 )
$(5.6,6.1)$
(3.4, 3.8 )

Table B6. Estimates across Populations, American Community Survey, 2005-2009, continued.

| Linguistic isolation | Linguistic isolation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | (95\% CI) |  |  | Percent | (95\% CI) |
| Rural Women |  |  | Rural Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 Linguistically isolated | 0.8 | (0.7, 0.9 ) | 18-34 | Linguistically isolated | 0.9 | ( 0.8, 1.0 ) |
| 35-64 Linguistically isolated | 0.6 | $(0.5,0.6)$ | 35-64 | Linguistically isolated | 0.6 | (0.5, 0.7) |
| 65+ Linguistically isolated | 0.6 | ( 0.5, 0.7 ) | 65+ | Linguistically isolated | 0.7 | ( 0.6, 0.8 ) |
| Urban Women |  |  | Urban Men |  |  |  |
| 18-34 Linguistically isolated | 3.3 | ( 3.1, 3.6) | 18-34 | Linguistically isolated | 3.8 | ( 3.4, 4.2) |
| 35-64 Linguistically isolated | 2.3 | ( 2.2, 2.5 ) | 35-64 | Linguistically isolated | 2.7 | ( $2.5,2.9$ ) |
| 65+ Linguistically isolated | 2.5 | ( 2.3, 2.7 ) | $65+$ | Linguistically isolated | 2.9 | ( 2.6, 3.1) |

Notes:

* Model-based estimates.
+ Not estimable by age group
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[^0]:    Source: Author's analysis of 2014-2018 American Community Survey, based on weighted descriptive statistics

[^1]:    Source: Author's analysis of 2014-2018 American Community Survey, based on weighted descriptive statistics

